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OF 'THE LORD'S PRAYER.

## BY J. HAMMOND TRUMBULL.



From the Transactions of the Am. Philological Association, 1872.
$\qquad$

HARTFORD:
1873.
$\square$

## NOTES ON FORTY VERSIONS OF THE LORD'S PRAYER IN ALGONKIN LANGUAGES.*

In offering as a contribution to the comparative grammar of Algonkin languages some desultory notes on versions of the Lord's Prayer, I do not overlook two considerations that affect the value of any results to which collation and analysis of these versions may lead: first, the probability that few of the translators had a competent knowledge of the languages into which, respectively, their translations were made; and secondly, a certainty that the true meaning of this prayer, in its several petitions, cannot be conveyed to any savage tribe by mere translation, and consequently that the best version is not likely to be that which is most literal. Scarcely a word - not more than three or four, certainly, - in the English version can be literally translated into any Algonkin language without injury to the sense of the clause in which it occurs. Some words represent ideas which are foreign to the Indian mind. Others have become to all who, in any tongue, have made this prayer their own, mere vocal symbols, whose significance does not inhere in the letter. The words father, heaven, kingdom, earth, bread, debts, trespasses, temptation, have, to a Christian, other than their literal or primary meanings. For hallowing and forgiving, the untaught savage had neither words nor conceptions.

The versions here brought together cover a period of nearly two and a half centuries - between the Montaguais of Father Massé (printed in 1632) and the latest revision of the Chippeway New Testament. They are the work of missionaries of various nations and languages - French, English, Swedish, German, - and were made, not directly from the Greek, but each from that European version which was most familiar to the translator. And each translator has adopted a phonetic

[^0]system of his own - to which we are too often left without a sufficient key. Some liave been satisfied with giving a very - free translation or paraphrase. Others have aimed at literal exactness. Hence, the difference between two versions does not necessarily indicate a corresponding difference between the dialects in which they are made. Two versions in the same dialect even, by different translators, may have scarcely a word or a grammatical form in common, and yet both may be equally good, or bad. Illustrations of this may be found in the notes, by comparing the re-translations of any one petition in several versions. As regards some particular words - those for which the Indian languages furnish no satisfactory equivalents - $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ few examples will shew how much of the difference of versions belongs to the translators and not to the dialects :

There is no verb ' to be' in Algonkin languages, and no relative pronoun. 'Qui es' or 'who art' cannot be exactly translated into any of these languages. Eliot, following the Greek, omits the verb in the invocation, and puts "Our father in heaven" (vers. 10). Others are divided in their preference for one or the other of two verbs (both of which are, I believe, to be found in every Algonkin dialect) meaning, respectively, 'to sit' - hence, ' to remain,' - and 'to be in (this or that) place' - hence, 'to dwell.' To the former belong Micm. ebin ( v .1 ), Del. $t^{\prime}$ áppin, epian (vv. 16, 17), Cree epian (v. 19), Alg., Chip. and Ott. epian, ebiian (vv. 23, 24, 28), Potaw. ebiyin (v. 31) \&c.; to the latter, Abnaki ehine, aiian, ayan, eïon (vv. 6, 7, 8, 9), Moh. oieon (v. 13), Cree eyayan (vv. 18, 20b), Chip. ayahyan, eaiun (v. 26, 27), \&e.
"In heaven ". is variously rendered -' in the sky,' 'in the place of light,' 'on high,' 'beyond the clouds,' etc. - by words any one of which (divested of its locative inflection) would have been as readily understood, in its natural sense, by Algonkins of other dialects as by those for whom Christian teachers gave it a secondary and special meaning.

Bread was not the staff of life to an Indian, and his little corn-cake, baked in hot ashes, was perhaps about the last thing he would remember to pray for. So, on "daily bread,"
translators were left to a large discretion. The diversity of judgment manifested in the selection of a corresponding Indian word is noticeable. Eliot (in Matt. vi. 11) has 'our eatings' or 'victuals'-avoiding a litoral translation of 'bread': and so, in the earliest Montagnais version (21) of Masse, - about which anothci Jesuit father, Paul Le Jeune, in the Relation for 1635, has a story: a Montagnais disciple being questioned as to his religious life, professed to have "always remembered the best of the prayers which had been taught him" by the missionaries; "I asked this savage," says Le Jeune, "what prayer this was, that he preferred to all others ? 'Thou hast told us many things,' he replied, 'but the petition which seemed to me best of all is: Mirinan oukachigakhi nimitchimina $\iota$, give us to-day our victuals, give us something to eat: voila une excellente oraison!' said he." "I was not surprised," remarks the good father: "he who has been in no other school than that of the flesh knows not how to speak the language of the spirit."*

The root of ni-mitchi-minan - that of the primary verb 'to eat' - is found in the Quiripi version (15), Montagnais (v. 22), Chippeway (vv. 24, 27), Illinois (v. 37), and Potawatomi (v. 31). In Luke xi. 3, Eliot has petukqunneg, tie common name for an Indian cake, meaning literally 'something rounded'; and with this correspond the Comn. versions (11, 12), Mohegan tcuiogh (v. 13), Shawano tukwhait (v. 35), tuckwhana (v. 33), and tockquanimi (34). The Abnaki versions (6-9) have 'baked corn'; the Delaware $(16,17)$ 'pone' or 'Indian bread'-literally, 'something baked'; one of the modern Cree versions (Archdeacon Hunter's, 20b) substitutes 'what we may live on,' 'what sustaius life '; the Algonkin of Canada (23), Cree (18, 19, 20), Chippeway of Belcourt and Jones (25, 26), Ottawa of Baraga (28), Menomini of Bonduel (32), have dialectic forms of a name by which the northern Algonkins distinguished a wheat loaf of the European fashion - as 'something from which pieces are to be cut off,' that is, ' to be cut in slices,' not broken like the corn cake: Chip. pakwejigan; and pakwejiganimin 'loaf-bread corn,' i. e. wheat.

[^1]Of the versions here brought together, two are printed for the first time - Mayhew's Commecticut (Mohegan), from his own MS., and the Kemnebec Abnaki (v. 9) from a copy made by some missionary from Rasles's or an earlier original. Peirson's Quiripi version (15) was printed in 1658, but it may be regarded as unpublished, since no more than two copies of the volume which contains it are known to be extant, and only one of these is on this side of the Atlantic.* The Montagnais of Futher Masse (21) is from Champlain's Voyages in the edition of 1632 - to be found in few American libraries; and the later Montagnais of La Brosse (2:) is from a volume of which I have not been able to trace more than three or four copies. Of the remaining versions the greater number are from books printed by missionaries or for mission use, which seldom find their way to public libraries or come within reach of private collectors.

I have been at some pains to ensure accuracy of text, but some errors of former impressions have doubtless escaped correction or notice, and in one or two instances, where the version was hopelessly bad and it was not possible to distinguish the mistakes of the printer from those of the translator, I have chosen to leave the text as I found it, merely calling attention to its general inaccuracy. I have found few versions of of this prayer, not printed at a mission press or under the cye of the translator, which were free from typographical errors. Even in that great philological museum, the Mithridates of Adelung and Vater, the Algonkin specimens are by no means well preserved. Some six or seven errors appear in the reprint of one Shawano version (33) and the division of its last three clauses is mistaken, the sixth and seventh petitions being joined as one, and a new seventh borrowed from the first words of the doxology. In the copy of Edwards's Mohegan (13), taken at second hand from the American Museum, are eight errors; six, at least, in the Massachusetts of Eliot, and in Zeisberger's Delaware (from Loskiel) four, besides an important omission of two words in the last clause.

[^2]In many of these versions, perhaps in nearly all of them, mistakes may be found for which neither printers nor editors are responsible. The translations are of unequal merit. There is a wide difference between Masse's Montagnais version of 1632 and the last revision of the Nipissing-Algonkin version of the mission at Kanachtageng. The latter, with a few others, in dialects which have been studied by generation after generation of missionaries for a century or two, and with the assistance of educated natives, may be regarded as nearly perfect. But the greater number were first essays at translation into languages which the translators did not yet - well understand. That they did not always succeed in giving the precise meaning at which they aimed, or that the rules of Indian grammar were often violated, is not to be wondered at. On the contrary, it is surprising, the difficulties of the task considered, that so much has, on the whole, been so well done. Absolute mastery of an Indian tongue is, for one to whom it is not vernacular, the work of a life-time. "Neither have I yet fully beat it out,"-Jolm Eliot confessed, after tweuty-five years' study of the mystery of Algonkin verbs. "Ils ont une richesse si importune qu'elle me jette quasi dans la créance que je seray pauvre toute ma vie en leur langue," - wrote Father Paul Le Jeune from Canada in 1634: "Je jargonne neantmoins, et à force de crier je me fais entendre." And the first missionaries all 'jargonned' long before they learned to speak or write correctly any Indian language. Under what disadvantages their studies were prosecuted need not be pointed out. They had no competent interpreters, and the Indians, generally, were not " apt to teach." "I must ask twenty questions to learn the meaning of one word," says Le Jeune, " so little inclined is my teacher to give instruction, and at every new difficulty I encounter, I must give him a piece of tobacco, to gain his attention." And sometimes the teacher was mischievous and played cruel tricks at the expense of his spiritual guides - as one of the pioneers in Canadian missions* sadly, yet not without a touch of humor, relates : "These savages have no settled religion and no words or forms

[^3]of spoech suited to religions expression: 'holy, blessed, angel, grace, mystery, sacranent, temptation, faith, law, government,' etc. - what resource have you in a language which is destitute of all such words, or how can you do without them? 0 Dieu, que nous devisons à nostre aise en France! . . . . And the savages often make sport of us instead of teaching us, and sometimes they give us indecent phrases (paroles déshonnètes) which we imnocently go on preaching as the beautiful words of the gospel. God knows who have been the instigators of such sacrilege as this!" And yet the interpreter may have been guiltless and have fallen on the "paroles déshonnetes" while doing his best to translate words he didnot understand into a language which had no forms of specech to express their meaning. Such mistakes are familiar to the experience of almost every missionary. When the Jesuits established, in 1845, the mission of St. Ignatius among the Selish Kaluspels and Pend d'Oreilles on Clark River, they found these Indians "utterly ignorant of spiritual things; they had no idea of a future State, or of a Great Spirit, neither had they any idea of a soul. . . . In the beginuing the priests were obliged to depend upon the imperfect translations of half breed interpreters. The word 'soul' was singularly translated to the Indians by telling them that they had a gut which never rotted, and that this was their living principle or soul."*

Some of the ancient versions, though generally less accurate than those which are more recent, have an incidental value in the evidence they give of the constancy of Indian dialcets - a subject to which I must here only briefly allude. Similar testimony is borne by every old vocabulary, by geographical and local names which come to us from the sixteenth century, by all that early missionaries tell us of the peculiarities of Algonkin dialects, and by such specimens of these dialects as can be gleaned from the amual Relations of the Jesuits and from the narratives of discoverers and explorers of New France. Not that these languages more than

[^4]others have been exempt from the operation of the law of decay and growth. In the course of two or three centuries some changes have doubtless been wrought in Algonkin forms of inflection and transition, old words have been dropped and new syntheses framed. In the frequent migrations of tribes, in the isolation of clans, by the gathering of remmants of nations in new communities, and as a result of long subjection to foreign influence, local dialects may have sprung up. But that changes by dialectic growth and phonetic decay have been more rapid or more extensive in North American than in European languages, I find no good reason for asserting.
The order in which the following versions are arranged is nearly the same that Mr. Gallatin adopted in his Introduction to Hale's Vocabularies. I have placed by themselves the dialects which have been called "Delaware"-one of which, at leust, seems to have closer affinity with languages of the interior than with those of the Atlantic seaboard. There is less difference between the dialects of New England and the Powhatan of Virginia, than between either of these and the "Lenni-Lenape" of Zeisberger.

EASTERN.


DELAWARE.
16. Renapi, of New Sweden, Delaware Bay and River.
17. Leuni Lenape, Northern Pennsylvania. NORTHERN.


Red River.
Saskatchewun.
Red River and Northern.
Quebec, 1632.
" Saguenay, 1767.
LAKE REGION.

38. Sitsika or Blackfeet.

The authorities on which I have cliefly relied are indicated in the notes on the several versions. To one or another of the following works, references will be found on almost every page : Eliot's translation of the Bible in the Massachusetts dialect, in the edition of 1685 (El.), and his "Indian Grammar Begun," 1666 (El. Gr.) ; Roger Williams's "Key into the Language of America" (R. W.) in the dialect of Narraganset, which does not much differ from that of Massachusetts;

Edwards's " Observations on the Language of the Muhhekaneew Indians" of Stockbridge, Mass., first printed in 1788 (Edw.) ; Maillard's " Grammaire Mikmaque" (M.) ; Rasles' "Dictionary of the [Canniba dialect of the] Abnaki Language," edited by J. Pickering (Rasles, or R.) ; Baraga's "Otchipwe Dictionary" (Bar.) and "Otchipwe Grammar" (Bar. Mr.), and the American Bible Society's last revision of the "Ojibwa Testament"; Howse's Cree Grammar (Howse); "Études Philologiques sur quelques Langues Sauvages de l'Amérique, par N. O., ancien missionaire," Montreal, 1866, and the "Jugement Errone de M. Ernest Renan sur les Langues Sauvages," by the same author - a learned Sulpitian, lately of the mission of the Lake of the Two Mountains, near Montreal, whose valuable contributions to the knowledge of North American languages I have ventured to cite by a name (Cuoq) which does not appear on their title-pages.

## 1. MICMAC.

From Mithridates, Th III. Abth. 3, p. 401, where it was printed from a MS. letter of Veyssière de La Croze, to H. Bartseh of Königsbera, written between 1717 and 1728.* The $\bar{u}$ stands for Germ. $u$ long (the 8 of the Jesuit missionaries and oo of Eliot).
$\overline{\text { Üchiek }}$ ūaiok ebin:

1. Kehijūrek kech kermūrek ignemūiek.
2. Ooiok evidadeziben ignemūiek.
3. Chaktūrideziben ignemūiek telamokchitich oaiok ekkik chaktachkik.
4. Kichkū nir ūnan echimūiek ndo echimideziben markodemidezibell.
5. $\overline{\text { Üinsoudi mū }}$ ktigariū telamok ūinsoudi duūigik ninen mū ktigariock.
6. Mū to tentationka pemiedeziben ignemūiek.
7. Merūich kechinogūambil ūinchigil tūaktuiek.

Telek eta Jesūs.
As translated:
" Omnium-rerum-creator in colis habitans: ${ }^{1}$ Te-amare et honorare da-nobis;
2 In-coelum nt-eumus da-nobis. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Ut}$ tibi-simus-obedientes da-nobis yuemadmo-

[^5]dum in-coells tibi obedientia præstatur. ${ }^{4}$ Hodie nostram escam da-nobis-manducandam tune habentes-ad-manducandam manducabimus. ${ }^{5}$ Peccatorum non recorderis sicut peccatorum in-nos hominum non recordumur. ${ }^{6}$ ( Ut ) non in tentationem intremus da-nobis, ${ }^{7}$ potius malas cogitationes procul-a-nobis repelle. Sit itu, u-Jesu."

After large allowance for errors of transcription and the
 word, for "in coelis"), it is evident that the translator's knowledge of the Micmac language was very slight. Of the inflections or transitions of verbs he seems to have known nothing. Maillard's paradigms* enable us to point ont and correct some of the more obvions errors of this version. Ignemuiek, which stands in the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{~d}$, and 3 d petitions, for "da nobis," is in the indicative present, $2 \mathrm{~d} \sim 1$ st person, and means ' thou givest me,' or 'you give us,' - and the form requires an inanimate object in the singular. It is from the verb ignemoey I give (M. 56). For "da nobis," the proper form is ignemüin. Evidadeziben (a misprint for erida-or elida- from eliey 'I go,' M. 91), chaktürideziben (from chaktem ' I obey,' M. 57), echimideziben from echemoey ' I give to eat,' M. 93), markodemideziben (from malkodem 'I eat it,' M. 62), and pemiedeziben (from pemaooley 'I conduct,' M. 56), have the termination (-kcheben, M.) which belongs to the 2 d pers. pl. of the conditional preterit. Echimüiek, in the 4th petition, and tūaktūiek, in the 7hh, are in the indicative, and signify, respectively, 'thou givest us to eat' and 'thou eastest out' (from teoaxtoey $\dagger$ "je jette dehors," M. 93) - not 'give thou to us' and 'cast out from us.' Kichkī (4th pet.) means 'dies,' not 'hodie'; the adverbial form is kichkük' on a day', i. e. to-day (M. 28).
$\bar{U}$ chiek (in the Latin translation, " omnium rerum creator") has the transition-form of 2 d sing. $\sim 1$ st pl., from the root $\bar{u} c h$ (Mass. wutche, otchi, Abn. otsi, Chip. ondji) 'from, by, out of.' From this root come the name for 'father' and the

[^6]primary verbs signifying ' to proceed from, or out of,' 'to have as a cause or origin,' and, actively, 'to cause, originate,' 'to from,' 'to father' (Mass. noh wutchu . . . . nish wame " of him are all things," Rom. xi. 36; Abn. kia wotsi "tu es cause que; c'est à cause de toi'"): uchiek means 'thou art the cause of us,' 'thou from-est us,' the form being that of the indicative - not of the conditional 'thou who art' or 'thou as,' \&c. This invocation, literally translated, is: 'Thou art the cause of us , in brightness thou who sittest.'
4. Nirūnan 'our provision,' what we provide (or receive) for food. In version $2 a$ we have the same word with the termination of the possessive, nilunem, and in $\nabla .2 b$ the inan. plural, nilunal. 5. Uinsoudi is in the singular: its plural appears in version 2 , as winsudil: the root win signifies, primarily, ' unclean,' ' impure,' and in composition often, ' bad,' ' disagreeable': winiei ‘je suis souille,' wini keguinamwei ' j 'instruis mal' (Maill.) : comp. Chip. winia ' I defile, make unclean,' winisi 'he is dirty, impure' (Bar.). Dnüigik ninen cannot mean "in nos hominum": perhaps we should read lnoigik ninen: ninen is the excl. plural of nil ' 1 me,' and l'no ' man' makes l'nokik in the plural preterit. 6. Tentationka is evidently transferred from the French or Latin, receiving liere the postposition of the locative.

Telek from tèli' so' (dèli, dèleg 'it is so,' Maill. 26): eta 'thus, so,' unless it stands here for the 3d sing. future (idal, M.) of edek ' it is,' i. c. is 80 .

> 2(a). MICMAC.

Rev. C. Kauder, R. C. missionary, 1861 (accompanying "Miemac or Recollet Hieroglyphics," Historical Magazine, vol. v., p. 289). The vowels as in Ger$\operatorname{man}: w$ for $c o$ or $\bar{u}$.

Nutschinen wasok ebin:

1. Tschiptuk deluisin mekidedemek ;
2. Wasok n'telidanen tschiptuk igenemuiek ula nemulek uledessenen:
3. Nadel wasok eikik deli-skedask, tschiptuk elp ninen deli -skedulek magamikek eimek.
4. Delamugubenikel essemiekel apseh nigetsch kiskuk delamuktetsch penegunemuin niltnal;

# 5. Deli-abisiktaksik wegaiuinamedenik, elp kil Nikskam deli -abisiktuin elueultiek; 

6. Melkenin metsch winsudil mu k'tigalinen,
7. Kesinukwamkel winschikel kokwel tuachtuin. N'deliatsch.

## 2(b). MICMAC.

The same version, in a different phonctic notation, from Vetromile's Indian Good Book, ${ }^{*}$ p. 225. Also printed, with an interlinear English translation which is fill of errors - in Vetromile's The Abnakis and their History (New York, 1866), p. 43. $W$ and $\infty$ stand for $\bar{u}(\infty) ; k$ (italic) for Germ. ch; $j$ and $c h$, for $s$ of the preceding version.

Nuschinen wajòk ebin :

1. Tchiptook delwigin meguidèdemek;
2. Wajok n'telidànen tchiptook ignemwiek, ula nemùlek uledèchinen;
3. Nàtèl wajok deli chkedulk, tchiptook deli chkedulek makamiguek eimek ;
4. Delamùkubeniguel echimièguel, apch neguèch kichkook delamuktech penegunnemwin nilùnem;
5. Deli abikchiktakachik wègaiwinametnik, elkpil [elk kel] deli abikchiktwin elwèultiek;
6. Melkenin mech winnchudil mu k'tygàlinen ;
7. Keginukamkel winnchiguel twaktwin.

N'delietch.
As translated in the Historical Magazine :
"Our-Father light thou-art-sitting: ${ }^{1}$ May as-those-art named honored. ${ }^{2}$ Heaven that we-go may us-give there we-see-thee we-will-be-happy. ${ }^{3}$ There [in]-heaven they-are as-they-obey-thee may also we so-we-obey-thee, [on]earth we-are. *The-same-food us-thou-hast-given again now to-day the-samefood to us let-come for-our-nourishment. ${ }^{5}$ As-we-pardon who-have-been-nngry-with-us, also thou Great-Spirit thou-us-pardon sinners. ${ }^{6}$ Us-strengthen neveragain bad-things not we-are-brought. ${ }^{7}$ Evils bad of-every-kind remove-from-us. That is true."

## Vetromile's Translation:

"Our-Father in-heaven seated. ${ }^{1}$ May thy-name be-respected. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{In}$-heaven tous may grant thee to-sce in-staying. ${ }^{8}$ There in-heaven as thon-art-obeyed may so be obeyed onearth where-we-are. ${ }^{4}$ As thou-hast given-it-to us in-the-samemanner also now to-day give-it our-nourishment to-us. ${ }^{5}$ [As-] we-forgive-them who-have offended-ns so thou O-God forgive our-faults. ${ }^{6}$ Hold-us-strong hy-thehand not to-fall. ${ }^{7}$ Keep-far-from-ns sufferings, evils. Amen."

Nuschinen (n'oschinen, M.) 'our father'; from otch, with 1 st pl. pronominal affixes. Wajok (wasok in vers. 2 a) means 'where brightness, or light, is,' 'in the light': wajokwek

[^7]'light,' 'aajokooi 'I am light' (M.). Comp. Abn. wasoé 'the sun shines,' masseghen 'it is clear,' with wasagheio " vacue," wasagaïi " inaniter, vide" (Rasles) : Chip. wassa ' far off, ver'y distant,' and wásseia ' light,' 'it is light.' From the same root, probably, come waskutsh in the Montaguais version (22), aûsequamuk in the Quiripi, and the Delaware awossagame. Ebin (2d pers. sing. cond. pres. of abi) 'thou who sittest' or ' remainest at rest': Mass. apean (" thou that sittest," El. in Jer. xxii. 2), Del. epian, Alg. \& Cree epian. Maillard wrote wajok eimeligel for "qui est au ciel," the verb being formed from eim "je suis" - more correctly, 'j'y suis,' ' I am in or at' a place named.

1. "May thy-naming be remembered,' 'found-in-mind.' Delwigin ' as thou art called' or 'thy so-calling'; delwigit 'as he is called,' ' his name' (Vetrom. 501, 385). Mekidèdemek is from mekidedem (miguidedem, V. 401) 'I remember,' literally, 'find in mind,' Chip. mikwendam, Abn. mi'koitêhan. damen. The form, in -mek, is that which Maillard gives as the infinitive present. The same word is used in a Miemac Te Deum, given by Vetromile, where k'maldemek pegili meguidèdemek stands for 'thy-blood most precious' (p.500).
2. Vetromile's translation is all wrong here; the other is nearly correct. $N$ 'telidanen is from eliey ' I go,' 1st pl. pres. subjunctive, or infinitive future: ula ( (ala, M.) is a demonstrative adverb, 'there, in that place ': nemulek, the socalled participle of the verb nemile 'I see' (an animate object), means 'we having seen thee' or 'we when seeing thee.' 'To-heaven that-we-go mayest thou-grant-us, where we-seeing-thee we-will-be-happy.'
3. Natel (natail, Howse*) ' yonder,' 'in that place.' Vetromile omits eikik' they [who] are' and elp ninen 'so also we.' Eikik is 1st plural and eimel 1st plur. of eim 'I am there.' Deli, an adverb meaning 'such as,' ' so,' is a common prefix : as in delwigin 'thy so-naming,' in the final $n$ 'deliatsch 'I so wish,' and six times before verbs in the $3 \mathrm{~d}, 4$ th, and 5 th petitions. Chxedulk, chxedulek, are from chaktem (with arim.

[^8]obj. chaktool) 'I obey' (M. 57) ; comp. nemulek, above. Makamigueas 'the earth,' maxamiguek ' on the earth,' is compounded of ma (mao, Maill. 31) 'all together', ' the whole,' and the generic -kamige 'place': comp. Abn. ketakamigo ' main land,' literally, ' greatest place.'
4. Neitloer translation is correct. In fact, the Miemac is untranslatable. What it was intended to mean is this: 'As we-have-eaten-that which-thon givest-us-to-eat, agrain now today so-let-us-eat [bread ?] to-nourish-us.' Dela-mulkubeniguel and dela-muktech, are forms of deli-malkodem 'I so eat' (Maill. 62) : comp. markodem-ideziben, in vers. 1: -ben is the characteristic of the preterit; -el final requires an inanimate object. Echemiegucl (from echemocy 'I give to cat') is the object of the preceding verb: see Maillard (94), "Du verbe regime, alors un des verbes devient nominatif et l'autre accusatif," each receiving change of form. Penegunemuin is of uncertain origin, but seems to be derived from a word sometimes used for 'bread, - peneguik, and in the Micmac catechism, as printed by Vetromile (Good Book, 391, 393), pene-guik-took ' of bread'; though pibenakan 'bread' is more common (M. 39, V. 393). Nilunal is not the plural of the pronoun ' to us,' but a plural noun-inanimate, or verbal, meaning ' our provisions,' 'supply of food ': comp. nirünan '" nostram escam" (vers. 1), nillonĕn (v. 3).
5. Abikchikt-axachik and -win, from abikchiktooy 'I pardon,' literally, ' I completely wipe away, blot out, efface.' The prefix, $a b i$, is intensive. 'The root kchik, ksik, appears in Mass. chiskham 'he sweeps,' 'wipes,' Del. tschiskham, id., Clip. gássiigy-ade ' it is blotted out, pardoned,' and tchigataige 'he sweeps.' Elp 'moreover, also '; kil 'thou' (not clk kel; nor elpkil, in one word, as in Vetr. 225). Nikskam (nixkam, V.), introduced in vers. 2 , is a word which the missionaries understood to mean 'spirit' and appropriated as a name for God*: Kchi Nixkam 'Great Spirit,' Wegi-Uli-Nixkam 'from Good Spirit' or 'Good Spirit proceeding from,' for the third person of the Trinity (Vetr. 365, 366): Abn.

[^9]nimeska 'spirit,' ketti-nioneskco 'the Great Spirit' (Rasles). Maillard uses Kijoolk (' the Creator') for 'God.'
6. Melkenin 'strengthen us,' 'make us firm'; from root melki 'hard, strong, firm' (Alm. merké, Mass. menukki), melkei 'I an firm, hard'; melkalosey 'I strengthen, make secure' (M. 26, 87). Metsch, mech, ' more,' ' again.' Winsudil (winnchudil V.) inan. pl. of ODinsosdi; see vers. 1: Vetromile's translation, " by the hand," is a strange mistake. Mu letigalinen, from ygaie 'je heurte' (Maill. 47), for the negative form of the sulj. pres. 1st plur., but the sign of the inclusive plural, $k^{\prime} t y$-, is improperly used for $n ' y$ - (nous autres).
7. The two English translations disagree - and Vetromile's is wrong - in every word : comp. vers. 1. Winchiguel kokwel (the plural of kokwei 'something)' means 'bad things'; tuachtuin, or twalktwin as in vers. 1, from tecoxtosey "je jette dehors" (M. 93), means 'cast out from us'; keginuxamkel (kechinogūambil " malæ cogitationes," vers. 1) is less elear.
$N$ 'deliatsch ' be it so'; see, above, pet. 8, deeli.

## 3. MICMAC.

From The Gospel according to Saint Matherv, printed for the use of the Micmae Mission by the British and Foreign Bible Society (Charlottetown, 1853). Tramsliterated from the "phonetie alphabet" used in that version.*

Noochīučn tan wasok eyumun:

1. Sabewadŭsich ukwīsonnumu.
2. Uktělige witewoodim choogooĭch ch.
3. Ukoolīdedakumun tuliach makumīgěk stugech tělīak wasogu.
4. Tesīgiskugewe nīloněn kīskøk igunumooin.
5. Ăk tulī-abiksiktumoin n'tětădimkeweumĩnulu, stugech nīnĕn těī-abiksiktakujiк taniк têtoo-inăınujiк.
6. Ak moo ulīguldakunin asimtimkeweiktuk;
7. Kadoo ootalkalin winsoodiktoogu.
8. Mudı kīl wedălīgămin elīgewagī, ak mulgigunodī, ak ukpumīdčlsoodī, yăpchoon. Amen.
[^10]
## J. H. Trumbull,

'Our-Father who in-light dwellest.' Tan (pi. tanik) is used here and in the Eth petition as a relative, 'who,' and was so classed by Maillard (Gr. 21), though it is properly a demonstrative and interrogative ; Mass. toh, Narrag. tou, ta? where? what? tunna 'whence'? Cree tina 'which'? tanitte 'where'? Del. ta, tani? Eyumun (eïmen, Maill.), 2d pers. indicative present from cyum (eï, M.) 'I am there'; tan wasok chl' 'he who is in heaven,' Matt. v. 16.

1. 'Let-it-be-thought-holy thy-name'-seems to be the meaning intended; but the verb is of questionable origin and form. The author of this version of Matthew uses săbewit and (inan.) sübewik for 'holy,' 'just,' 'righteous,' i. 19, vii. 6, säbeurooltijill" "the righteous" (plur.) ix. 13; and so, Vetromile in P's. cxi. 3, shebèwit 'righteous.' Maillard translates the same participle, chabeoit, by "sage." It is from the equivalent of Mass. sampwi = Lat. rectus (used by Eliot for 'straight,' 'right, just, righteous,' \&c.) and of Abn. sanbicoi ' fairly, justly,' " sans feinte" (R.) : săbewit is properly used in Matt. i. 19 for ' $a$ just man'; the derived verb зĕbewadasi (chabecoidachi Maill.) means 'to think it just, or right,' not 'to think it holy.' $N$ 'wisonum 'my name' (xviii. 20); tel-oñsit ' named,' i. e. ' so called' (x. 2: comp. Mass. wesuonk 'calling,' 'name'): k'wisonumu 'thy name'; the pronom. prefix ( $k$ ) "se prononce eŭk, très bref" (Maill. 11), or as this translator writes it, $u k$.
2. 'Thy-kingdom let-it-come.' Elĭgevit (eléguécoit, M.) ' king'; cot-eligewagim ' his kingdom' (xi. 12) or ' ownership.'
3. 'What-thou-willest be-it-so on-earth as it-is-so in-heaven (place of light).' Tulīach, tëlīak, from tĕlī (deli, v. 2) 'so, such,' tëlele (dèleg, M.) 'it is such ': télek stugech "it is like to," such as (xiii. 31), teelelc stuge, teleek stuge (xiii. 24, 33).
4. 'Of-each-day our-nourishment to-day give-us.' Tesī (dech, M.) as a prefix means ' each' or' 'every'; tesigisklk 'daily' (xxvi. 55). Nilomẽn, see vv. 2, 2b. Kīskow 'today' (kiskcogu, xvi. 3 ; kichkwh, M.).
merely); ch as in church; the consonants as in English. In this phonetie alphabet $c$ is marked as "always hard," but in the text both $c$ and $k$ are used, and apparently represent the same sound. I have substituted $k$ for the $c$ (when not followed by $k$ ) and distinguished the $k$ of the original by a small capital.
5. 'And so-forgive-us our-owings as we so-forgive-them who owe-us.' I'an tétoinu " what thou owest" me (xviii. 28), igunumooch tertadimkeweyu" he forgave [lit. gave] him the debt" (V. 27) : tétoininu 'what is owed to me,' tétadimku ' what is owed by mo.'
6. 'And not lead-us-away temptation-into.' The last word has the common Micmac postposition iktook 'into, within, with, on,' - which, says Maillard "va à merveille à la fin des mots surtout au singulier," but is often contracted to a simple ' $k$.
7. 'But keep-us.from what-is-evil.' Kadon=chkado" cepeudant" (Maill.), Mass. qut ' yet, except that, but' (El.).
8. "For to-thee it-belongs-to kingdom, and strength, and glory (?), Always." Mudu= mosdo "cependant," Maill. Wedăligămin is incorrect in form; whether used as verb or noun it should have the prefix of the second person and the termination -al or ' $l$ of the inanimate plural ; comp. aligan, pl. aliganal 'property, goods,' k'taliyuemin'l or -gam'l 'thy goods' (Maill. 18), cotaligamul 'his goods,' Matt. xxv. 14. $\boldsymbol{Y}$ äpchoou 'always'; yapchioo, M.

## 4. MILICITE.

[Indians of St. John's River; Ulastekuhiek, "Etehemins" of the French; Mareschites.] From Vetromile's Good Book, 71, 579.

N'miktankusena spemkik èyàne:

1. Sangmanwi tetanzit k'tliwizoti.
2. Tchibetook witcheyulèku.
3. Tanne etutchi saktask spemook, telibatook na etutchi saktask k'tahkamikook.
4. N'pipenakan mina ena messiwi gliskahkil weulinamekil clmighiskak n'pètsamièku.
5. Wenwekalinewinemet eli wenlitelmoghet, kil na wekayulèku cli weulitehelmine.
6. Klotemwine katawi aneyulièku.
7. Melwas mètch ahikik mikokemièku ayma te tahantamwine.

Tè èleyt.
Vetromile gives this as a specimen of "pure Mareschite," copied from "an ancient manuseript." Whatever difference of speech may formerly have been between the 'Etchemins'
of St. John's River and of Passamaquoddy Bay, the remnants of the two tribes now use substantially the same language, and a prayer (v. 6) which Vetromile prints on one page as "pure l"ussamaquoddy" appears on another as "Mareschite, that is, in St. John's Indian language" (Good book, 20, 268). In an old MS. volume (more particularly described in a note after version 8) I find among prayers in "Marichit," another form of the above version, in which the Camiba $r$ takes the place of Vetromile's "pure Mareschite" $l$, except in one word, mailois (=meluas) in the serenth petition ; and some other peculiarities of local dialect are perhaps to be detected under the disguise of the writer's strange spelling. He used, indifferently, $c$ and $q u$ for $k$ (but his $c$ is soft before $e$ ), and $v$ for Engl, $w$ consonant (which I have substituted, in printing):

## 4(b). MILICITE.

* Quemitangonsua spemquic eyn :

1. Sagmani todaso triuisodi.
2. Chiptoc ouichayorec.
3. Tanaitochei sactoceque spomoe, chiptoc matochei sactoree quetacmigoue.
4. Tepeipenognepin meceiu quisgaquir uecouareino nemequir ermequiscac smin.
5. Woinoneca yonouinemete erinewoureitermeguet quir na woika yorec eri-woiwoureitermin.
6. Gucrotemo ouin catiwomai yortiec.
7. Mailois maijai yguir micocmaiguir aymatatmouin. 'T'erech.
The invocation is substantially the same as in the Penob-scot-Abuaki. 1. Sangmanwi (sagamowee, Rand) is from sangman, " the title which the Indians give to the first chief" of the tribe, and" (according to Vetromile, Good Book, 278) "it means Orer-the-whole-World." It is, in fact, the name which has been anglicized as 'sagamore' and 'sachem,' and means, simply, a 'chief,' 'one who has precedence.' Some of the missionaries used it for 'lord,' 'sovereign', \&e.;

[^11]k'sangmàn'mena Zezus "our Sangman Jesus" (Vetr. 281) sanymanui Malial (Hymn, id. 192) and sangmanskwèwi Matial 'female-sangman Mary (217); Micmac, chakman (chaxman, M.) and k'chakmaminen (id. 438). The Canadian missionary, P. Le Jemne, says, of sagamo, "I believo this word came from Acadie. The true [Montagnais] word is oukhiman" (Relation, 1633, p. 8) ; comp. Chip. ogima. K'tlivizoti (kalawazati and -zoti, Vetr. 206, 190) 'thy name,' 'what thou cullest thyself'; telewe'sote'k, v. is: but the form is incorrect, for $t$ in the last syllable marks the name as belonging to an inanimate ohject: comp. Abn. èliviziyin, aliwision, vv. 7, 8. Tetanzit (toda*o, v. 4b) stands for Fr.' soit,' and is manufactured from the inamimate demonstrative (Abn. tanni) with the mark of the future imperative, to give the meaning, "Chief let-it-be (or, become) thy-name.'
2. Wchibatook (chepptooke, Rand), as in Micmae, is a strong affirmative, used only with regard to future or conditional aetion: Abn. tsobatosi" vraiment, oui" (Râle). Witchiyulike 'come to us' (from the place where thou art): the root denotes 'coming from,' and does not necessarily imply 'coming to' the speaker: Miem. tän oegien 'whence thou comest' (Maill. 22) ; Mass. wutchaiyeu 'he comes from,' toh wadchiit 'whence he comes' (El.) ; Chip. odishi and ondashan 'come hither' (Bar.). The verb is hero in the imperative, 2d sing. Other forms oceur in the Milicite prayers and hymns printed by Vetromile: weitchi uleyin 'thou who comest,' wètchi uleyt 'he who comes' (Veni Creator, p. 2U6).
3. 'Wanne etutchi . . . na etuchi,' as it is thero . . . so be it hero.' Saktask (comp. skcdask, chXciloolk, vv. 2, 3), from a verb meaning 'to obey, the equivalent of Miem. chaltem, Abn. ne-kiktam. S'pemook, spemkik, 'in heaven,' literally, 'on high ': spemk te le'talkemiku' 'heaven and earth' (Vetr. 307) and spemook, ktalkamikook (id. 190) : see Abuaki versions.
4. N'pipenakan'mina 'our bread': Micmae pipcnakan (Vetr. 393), pibenokun (Rand). In the Milicite Catechism (Vetr. 333, 334) hepane stands for 'bread,' $=\mathrm{Abn} a. b a^{n} n$; see vv. 6, 7, post. Messivi 'ail, every' (Abn. messiwi).

Ghiskakil 'days,' inan. pl. of ghiskak (Mass. kesukok, Chip. gajigak) ' when it is day,' 'the day-time'; elmighiskak 'during this day, to-day,' = Abn. Ermekizigak (R.).
$N$ 'petsamielku was intended to express 'give us': comp. Abn, ne-pismimiran' 1 give it him, gratuitously,' and Micm. pepcheimi 'I give him.' But the prefixed pronoun camnot properly be used with the imporative, and the verb itself is not well chosen, - ' I give to ent' being always expressed in Algonkin, by a single verb.
5. Wèulitèhèlmine ' purdon us' (comp. Abu. noritehan ma $^{n}$ 'I pardon him' (R.), is found in prayers \&c. in the three dialects, Miemac, Milicitc, and Abmaki (see Vetr. Good Book, 103, 183, 218, 45, \&c.): weulitelmanètch 'pardon thou' (id. 214) : k'veillitelmukunussa 'thon who pardonest.' Wekayuleku (weghihenku, V. 349) 'we do wrong' to others: wekahinewinemet 'who does wrong to us'? Comp. wègaiwinametnik, vers. 3; and Abn. n'méglhihaghé́ ' he does mo wrong,' n'méghilhan' I do him wrong' (R.).

## 5. MILICITE

Rev. S. 'T. Rand, in Schoolcraft's Indian Tribes, \&c , vol. v., p. 592.
Metoxsen'a spumkēk ayeën

1. Sagamowê telmoxse'en telewēsotêk.
2. Chēptooke wēcheyulēk
3. Spumkēk taun etoochē sauktoolēk spŭmakaye'en.
4. Tooēpnauknamēn kesekēsskalıkēl wekayculēk elmekēskaak kēlmetsinin awoolē.
5. Mahatemooin katē aléwanayoolte'ek
6. Elmas weehēakēl mekokemaykēl nemahatchumtoomooin.

I have substituted $\bar{e}$ for Mr. Rand's donble $e e$, and omitted the hyphens between syllables. His rowet, here apparently the English sounds. Schooleraft prints this version in four clauses, marked by the four periods I have retained, and without other punctuation or separation of the petitions. The third petition is incomplete, the fifth is omitted, and the whole is, so thick-strewed with errors of copy that time given to its examination would be wasted.

Mr. Rand was a Protestant missionary to the Indians of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. He contributed to School-
craft's Thdian Tribes (vol. v., pp. 578-i89), a vocabulary of the Micmac language, and (vol. v., pp. 690, 691) a table of Milicite numerals. I regret my inability to procure a corrected copy of this version.

## 6. ABNAKI.

## PASSAMAQUODDY.

From Vetromile's Good Book, p. 268, where it is saill to be taken from "an old manuscript belonging (as Mr. Vetronale thought) to Rev. Sehastian Rasles." $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}$ 1. 20, the vime version is given, ins "in Mareschite [Milielte] language." See note on Milicite v. 4.

N'miktakusen spemkik c̀hine :

1. Sagmanwelmegudets èliwiziyin.
2. Ketepeltemwaghen petzussewitch.
3. Keteleltemwaghen uli tsiksotagudets yuttel ktalkemigook tahalo te spemkik.
4. Miline tekètch bemghiskak etaskiskwè n'tapanemen,
5. Te anelıèltemolnyeku n'twabellokowaghenenuūl tahalo nilon èli ancheltemohuyeku 'ewabellokedjik.
6. Te ekkwi losseline unemiotwaghenek.
7. Wedji ghighihine tannik mèdzikkil.

Nialetcl.

## 7. ABNAKI.

## PASSAMAQUODDY.

Vetromile, 578, ats "pare Abnaki," from "an nncient manuscript." "Every vowel marked with an necent has a masal sonncl." The diateet does not differ materintly from that of the preceding version, though the writers did not agree in their phonetic notation.

Nemitòksena spemkik aiian:

1. Sògmòwalneguadich aliwisian.
2. Ketehaldamwògan paiòmwich.

3 Kalaldamwògan likitòguadich tali kik tahòlawi tali spemkik.
4. Nòmilina ${ }^{1}$ nikuòbi pamgiskak nedattosgiskuè abònmena.
5. T'a mahaldamawina nebalalòkawògaunenewal tahòlawí nimua ali anahaldamawòàk palikadòguagik.
6. Ta akui losalina wenemilhoduòganek.
7. Weji kaduinahadaki tèni majigek.

Nialach.
${ }^{1}$ Misprinted, for Momilina

## 8. ABNAKI.

## PENOBSCOT.

Rev. Edmond Demilier, in Annales de la Propragation de la Foi, vol, viii., p. 197 (Nov. 1835), where it is printed without pmethation, capitals, or division by petitions. It is full of errors, which I have not nttengued to correct, except by interlining the same version nearly, in a different orthography, from Vetromile's Good Book, p. 19.

Kemitanksena spomkik ayan:
K'mitanqsemi spomkik eyan:

1. Waiwaiselmognateh ayiliwisian. Weweselmoquotch eliwisian.
2. Amantai paitriwai witawaikai ketepeltamohangeneck. Amànte neghè petsiwewitavelepane keteppltanohanganèck.
3. Aylikitankonak ketelailtamohangan spomkik tali yo Eli kiktanguàk ket'letamohingan spomkik tali yo nampikik paitchi kiktankonataitche. nampikik petchikiktanguatetche.
4. Mamilinai yo pami ghisorak daitaskiskonai aipoumena. Mamiline yo pemighisgük itaskikué n’tapòmenà.
j. Yopa hatchi anaihailtama wihaikai kaissikakan wihiolaiYopảatchi aneheldamawihèk kessi kakanuihiolekaipan aliniona kisi anaihailtamakokaik kaikauwia k’pan, èli myona leisi aneheldamahokèt kekanwiakaitaipanik. k'tepanik.
5. Mosak kaita litchi kitawikaik tampamohontchi saghihouMosak ketali tehikiktawighik tamambintchi saghihunneminamai.
mihinam'ke.
6. Oulahamistakai saghihousouaminai mamaitchikill.

Ulamist'ke saghehusulumine mematchikil.

## Nialest.

Nialetch.
Father Demilier came to America in 183:3, and was stationed at Pleasant Point (Porry, Me.), on the west side of Passamaquoddy Bay. His letter printed in the Aunales (l. c.) was written in the spring of 1834 , less than a year after his arrival and certainly before he had made great progress in learning the language. The form of prayer, he writes, "is such as is said daily" at the mission, for though the Indians
of Pleasant Point are of the Passamaquoddy tribe, "the Penobscot dialect is, there, what the Latin is in France, the consecrated language." His predecessor, the Rev. Mr. Romagné (who returned to France in 18\%) left a little book of prayers, in manuseript, and this was printed for the use of the mission carly in 1834. From it, probably, Demilier took this version; but he complains that the book was full of errors, and that he "had to mudertake a new work, going through all the prayers with the Indians, to compare and correct them."

A small volume of prayers, in manuseript, which may have been Romagne's, but probably is of earlier date, is now in the library of Mr. Brinley, of Hartford. It was formerly in the possession of Bishop Cheverus, by whom it was presented to Dr. Jolm Pickering. It contains "Prière du matin, en Marichit" (Milicite), "Prière du soir, en Caniba," "Catechisme," \&e. The Milicite version (4b) of the Pater-noster agrees, for the most part, with Vetromile's " pure Mareschit," but has $r$ in place of $l, \& \mathrm{c}$. The Camiba version, which corresponds to the Penobscot (v. 8) of Demilier and Vetromile, will be found on the next page (r.96).

## 9. ABNAKI.

CANNIBA.
From n MS. volnme of Prières des Sanvages Alnakis de St. Frungois; in the iibraty of Geo. Brinkey, Estp.

Nemitta"gosena spemkik eïan:

1. Sa"ghamin oermegonatets erioisian.
2. Amanté negai petsi weositlaoneghesa keteberdamoangan. 3. [Ari kiktengouale keterérdamoon"yan] spemkik dari io nanbi kik petsi kiktongoats.
3. Mammiriné io pemkiskak ettassekiskoe ahamemena.
4. Ioba atsi anaherdamanoiéghe gheganoihoregheban, eri niona anaherdamanked gheganowihiakedebanik.
5. Moosak dari tsighittamikkek tammanpa motsi seoghi aritooangonik.
6. Orommistaki saghcoosooa"miné mématsighik.

Ni -irets.
This version is nearly the same which Vetromile and Demilier give for the modern Penobseot, but the dialect is
that of the "Cannibas" or Kennebec-Abnakis, anong whom Rasles labored and compiled his dictionary. The MS. volume from which it is taken formerly belonged to Dr. Pickering, to whom it was given by Bishof Cheverus. From the general accordance of its phonography with that of Rasles, I infer that it is a copy of a manual prepared by that missionary. It was written, probably, before the middle of the last century. After Rasles' death about 150 of his Norridgewock Indians removed from the Kemebec to St. Francis, on the St. Lawrence, and others of the tribe were scattered among different Abnaki bands in Maine.

In transcribing, I have substituted ${ }^{n}$ (superior) for the $\ddot{n}$ which is used by the writer (as it was by Rasles) to mark a nasalized vowel ; $\infty$ for his 8 ; and I have supplied three words omitted from the third petition. The Norridgewock Indians used $r$ for the Penobscot $l$, and $t s$ for the stronger $t c h$ and $c h$ of the eastern tribes, as in ni-alets ('so be it') for Penobscot ni-aletch; but among the St. Francis band, the Penobscot dialect has prevailed. According to Vetromile (Good Book, 268) " the Passamaquoddy tribe at present recite the Lord's Prayer \&c. in Canniba language, yet a great many of them say the same in pure Passamaquoddy language."

I insert here, t'ie form from "Prière du soir en Caniba," in another MS. volume (mentioned on the preceding page). It is the same which Demilier and Vetromile give in the Penobscot dialect, except in the 6 th and 7 th petitions.

> 9b. canniba.*
> Quemitangousna spomquic eyane:

1. Uenersermongouadge criuisiane.
2. Amantai naigai paichi ucuitauegsa quetepertamoanganeque.
3. Eriquetongouac quetererdamoangane spomquic tare nabeiquic paichi quitangouadge.
4. Mamirinai yopaimquisea etasquisquoi abanemena.
5. Yobachi anerdama aronyecai, caicanui oraigbane eriniona quisi anerdama nocout caicanuyo quetepanai.
6. Mosak tari chiguitanicaig tamanpachei saguci aritoanganic.
7. Oranmistoqui saguaiusoanminai machigquic.

Niarets.

[^12]In the following notes I principally rely on Rasles's Dictionary (R.), with occasional references to Vetromile's Good Book (Vetr.), and to a little volume* prepared for the St. Francis Indians by Pefer Paul Ozunkherhine or Wzokhilain (Wzk.), a native Abnaki, educated in Moor's Indian School, Hanover, N. H., who maintained a mission-school at St. Francis from 1830 to 1858 . Ozunkherhine spoke and wrote English with ease and accuracy, was a man of more than ordinary intelligence, and - living among and writing for his own people - lis authority is of the highest, on all that concerns the western-Abnaki dialect.
'Our-Father on-high who-there-dwellest.' Nemita"gus (R.), n'mitogues (Wzk.) 'my father': comp. nadango 'my son-in-law,' n'nadangos 'my cousin' (R.) and Narrag. natonks 'my cousin'; Mass. adtonkqs 'kinsman,' togquos 'a twin' (El.); Chip. nidangoshe 'my female cousin' (Bar.). In vv. 6, 7 , and 9 , the affixes are those of the 1 st person exclusive plural, but in v. 8 (Demilier's or Romagne's, and Vetromile's) the form is that of the inclusive plural, and the Deity is addressed, not as 'Father of us all' but as 'Father of thyself and us': Kemitangoséna means 'Our and your Father,' a proper expression when God is spoken of, but a very improper one in addressing prayer to him. We shall find the same mistake in other versions. Spemkik 'on high'; spemek 'high' (R.) ; Chip. ishpiming, Moh. spummuck (v. 13), Shawn. spimmiki (v. 34) : spukgisko ta ki' heaven and earth ' (Wzk. in Ex. xx. 11): Rasles has kizokw for 'heaven.' Eitan, eyan, ihine, 'thou who art (dwellest) there'; sec p. 114.

1. Let it be greatly-estecmed thy-name.' Sa"yhamanoe, from $8 a^{n} g m a^{n}$ 'chief, captain'; ne-s $a^{n g m a n o e ́ r m a n ~ ' I ~ r e g a r d ~}$ lim as chicf,' or 'esteem him highly '; with an inan. object, $s a^{n}$ gma ${ }^{n}$ oérmegoont 'it is regarded as chief' or 'esteemed high.' In v. 8, a different verb is nsed, weweselmoguatch 'let it be greatly distiuguished,' literally, 'embellished' or 'honorably decorated'; ne-méwéssihan 'I emhellish him greatly' (R.) ; with inan. olject, wantasitoko 'he blessed it,' and

[^13]wawasi 'holy, hallowed' (Wzk.), aoceoessi'blessed' (MS.). Eriwisian, èliwiziyin, ayiliwisian, 2d pers. sing. conditional (participle) of ariowiso 'he is called' (R.), lit. 'thy socalling' or ' as thou art called.'
2. Amunté "phût ì Dieu" (R.), 'would that,' Lat. utinam. Negai is omitted in vv. 6, 7, and by Demilier in v. 8, where Vetromile inserts neghe, which seems to be naighé of Rasles, ' when, at that time': but Rasles has also néga and nekka, ' there, in that place.' Keteberdamoungan 'thy govermment,' a verbal from ne'teberdam 'I govern' (R.). In v. 8, this verbal has the locative suffix, and the meaning aimed at perhaps was: 'May we be with thee in thy kingdom.' In vers. 7, only, we have a correct form of the verb, paiomwich (Mass. peyaumoutch, v. 10) 'let it come.' In Algonkin grammar an inanimate olject camnot properly be made the subject of an active verl, but is always regarded as aeted upon, the verb taking a quasi passive form. In the eastern dialects, $m$, in the formative, is a characteristic of these "personifying" verbs: e. g. Mass. peyau 'he comes,' peyaumos 'it comes,' i. e. ' is caused to come'; so, peyaumo-utch, imperat. 3d sing. - let it come'; and in the Abnaki we have the corresponding forms used by Rasles, ion $a b a^{n} n$ ' he comes here,' baia"moio 'it comes,' and more accurately by Ozunkherhine, paiont (payont, El.) 'when he comes,' paio"mmik 'when it comes,' paiaui 'he comes,' paio"mo 'it comes,' \&c.* Petzusseuitch (v.6) is from a verb meaning ' to approach,' ' to come (or be brought) near' (péssoudossé 'approach thou,' péssotsioni 'near,' R.) ; but it denotes approximation in space, not in time, and is wrongly used in such expressions as etorl $j i$ petzossewik" when the time arrives," as in the Passamaquoddy Catechism (Vetr. 347).
3. 'So-as they-obey thy-will on-high there so on-earth let-it-be-obeyed ': in vers. 6, 7, "Thy-will so let-it-be-done this world (great-land) -in as-there on-high": in v. 8, "As they-

[^14]obey thy-will on-high, so here likewise on earth let-it-beobeyed." In v. 9: I have supplied [in brackets] the words omitted by the transcriber. Ket'erédemosangan, a verbal from ned'erérdam 'I think, will, purpose' (R.); Mass. unantambonk; see note on v. 10 ; but the meaning of the petition would have been better expressed by using the verb in the conditional ; ali wlaldama' as I will,' i. e. 'my will,' ali wlaldak ' as he will,' 'his will' (Wzk. in John, vi. 38); comp. Chip. enendaman (vv. 27, 28). Ne-lkiktam 'I olsey' (R.). Nanbi (nanbi, R. ; nampi, v. 8) ' so,' = Mass. nompe 'in turn,' ' again.'
4. 'Tive us this day-in daily bread': in v. 8, 'Give-us this day-in daily our bread.' Ne-mirta' give it to him,' - but the verb ned-as'aman' I give (it) him to eat' (comp. Mass. assamaïnnean, v. 10) would more exactly express the meaning of the petition: the forms ma-miriné, mamiliné ( r .8 ) have the frequentative reduplication. Pemkiskak, bemyhiskak, pemi-ghisgaik, 'through (or, during) the day': etassekiskwe (etaskiskcue, ètaskiskué, vv. 6, 8) 'of every day,' 'daily'; étassi 'always, without ceasing' (R.). Abamemen 'bread,' 'baked corn': abin 'bread' (R.) is, literally, that which is 'baked'; -men is the generic name for 'corn,' 'grain' (and for every description of 'small fruit'), pl. -menar' : e. g. nokhámen 'sifted corn' (flour); n'tapòmenà (v. 8) 'our baked corn': Narrag. aupámmine-anash (plur.), Mass. appuminnéonash " parched corn" (R. W. \& El. in 1 Sam. xvii. 17).
5. "And-besides so forgive-us when-we-have-offended-thee as we forgive those-who-offend-us'; and so in v .8 : in v. 6,7 , "And forgive-us our-offences (?) as we so forgive-them who-offend-us." Gheganmihoregheban (kakanuihiolek'pan, Vetr.) is from ne-gagancihan 'I offend in act' (R.). In v. 8, this verb is preceded by the sign of the past tense, or rather, of completed action, kisi (and conditional, kesi).
6. In vv. 6, 7, 'And do-not lead-us into-tronble.' Tre, ta, $=t a i, R$., a conjunction. Akui, ckkwi, $=$ é"koi, "cessationem significat" (R.), 'refrain from,' 'do not'; Mass. ahque (El.), see v. 10. Monsak (vv. 8, 9) is prohibitive, not merely deprecative : it is appropriately used in the command-
ments (moselk komotuekan " thou shalt not steal," Vetr. 295), but it is out of place in prayer. Losseline, imperat. 2~1 pers.; Camiba ned'erosssar'an'I lead or conduct him' (R.).

## 10. MASSACHUSETTS.

From Eliot's version of the Bible (2d edition, 1685), Matt. vi. 9-13. The vowels nearly as in English; $m$ like oo in moon; a vowel followed by $h$ is short; ah varies between $a$ in odd and $a$ in whut.

Noshhun kesukqut:

1. Qu:ctianatammach kowsesuonk.
2. Peyaumonteh kukketassona:mónk.
3. Kuttonantanóonk ne n nach ohkë̈t neäne kesukqut. ${ }^{1}$
4. Num:neetsü̈ngash asekêsukokish assamaïnnean yeuyeu kesukok. ${ }^{*}$
5. Kah ahquöntamaiïmean nummatcheseongash, neane matchenehukqueagig nutahquontamónnnonog. ${ }^{3}$
6. Ahque sagkompagunaï̈mean en qutchhnaonganit. ${ }^{\text { }}$
7. Wels polquoluwnssinuean wutch matchitut.
8. Newutclie kutahtaunn ketassootanóonk, kah menuhikestouk, kah sohsumóonk, micheme.

Amen.
Varia ions iu Lake xi. 2-4:
1..... ne naj, neyane kesukqut kalh olkeït.
${ }^{2}$ Assumaiinneun kokokesukodac untase[ke]sukokke petukqunneg.
${ }^{3}$. . . . nummatehesconganonwsh newuthe nenawun wonk nutalnquontramaúunnonog.
${ }^{\text {* }}$ Kah illque sagkompaginnean en qutchehettuongunit, quit . . . .
The language of Eliot's version was that of the trihes about Massachusetts Bay and, generally, of southern New England, near the coast. It was spoken, with some differences of dialect which camot now be accurately indicated, by the Wampanoags of Plymouth colony, the Narragansets and Nianties, the islanders of Nope (Martha's Vineyard), the Montauks, \&c. In 1658, Eliot was questioned by the Commissioners of the United Colonies, " whether the translation he had made was generally understood? to which I answered" - he writes - " that upon my knowledge it was understood as far as Connecticut; for there I did read some part of my translation before many hundred English witnesses, and the Indians manifested that they did understand what I read, perfectly, in respect of the language." The
peculiarities of the Quiripi dialeet, spoken west of Connecticut river noar the Sound, were more clearly marked (see, after, vers. 15) : and the Pequot-Mohegan (Muhhekaneew) of southeastern Connecticut, belongs to another group, characterized not merely by its harsher and more frequent gutturals but by differences of inflection and transition forms.

In the Miemac, Abnaki, Delaware, and some other easternAlgonkin dialects, inanimate nouns form their plurals in $l$ or $r$, preceded by a short vowel; in the Mohegan (as in the Chippeway, \&c.) these plurals end in $n$; in the northern Cree and some western languages, in $\breve{a}$; only in southern New England, in ash or $8 h$. The animate plural in all pure Algonkin languages ends in $k$ or $g$, or in $k$ followed by a short vowel. Thus, -

| Abn. (Caniba) <br> (Penobs.) | sipu'river sipi, | sipuar sipial. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Del. | sipo, | sipöal. |
| Chip. | s $\mathrm{s}_{2} \mathbf{i}$, | sthiwun |
| Cree, | sipi, | sipia. |
| Illin. | sipimi, | sipioa. |
| Mass. | sipu, $\mathrm{sin}^{\text {p }}$, | sipuïs |

Assun 'a stone' is inanimate in most Algonkin languages, but by the Crees and Chippeways is classed with animate noms: Del. axsin, pl. axsinal; Illin. asseni, pl. assena; Mass. assun, pl. assunash; Cree ussin, pl. ussineïk; Chip. assin, pl. assinig.
Nosh 'my father,' nosh-un 'our father': the root, wch, means 'from,' 'out of' (see $\bar{u} e h$, v. 1): nosh expresses, primarily, not paternal but filial relation - 'I come from him, wshoh 'he comes from him,' or, with transposition of subject and olject, 'he froms him': comp., in Eliot's version, neen nochai wohkumaieu" I am from above" (John viii. 23); waban, wtshoh tol \&c. "the wind bloweth [i. e. comes from] where" \&c.; ne . . . otche-un mittamwossissoh "that [from] made he a woman," Gen. ii. 22. Kesukqut 'in the sky': kesuk, in Mass. dialect, is (1) the visible heavens, the sky, (2) the day; in some Algonkin dialects (and perhaps 5
originally) a nane of the Sun, Moh. Kësogh, Chip. gizis, Nbn. kizms, Narr. keesuckquand [i. e. keesukq-m'anit] "the Sungod" (R. W.). The form kesuk points to a primary verl) $k e ̆ s i n$ or kussin, from which we find, in the several Algonkin languages, three groups of derivatives, with the meanings, respectively, 'to warm'; 'to ripen, or mature'; and 'to finish, or perfect': kezheau" he creates" (Eliot in Gen. i. 27, v. 1, \&c.) is one of these derivatives; comp. Abn. ne.kisiha ${ }^{n}$ 'I finish or perfect him,' \&c. Eliot prudently followed the Greek in the omission of the verb, - 'Our Father in heaven.'

1. 'Be-it-honored thy-name.' The verb is in the imperat. 3d sing. from quetianum ' he honors it,' primarily, 'he bends to it'; a derivative from quttaëu' he sinks down,' 'lowers himself,' - whence also m'kuttuk 'the knee' and quttunk 'thioat,' i. e. 'down-going.' Wesuonk 'naming,' primar. 'calling,' 'saying'; related to, if not immediately formed from, unssin 'he says': comp. kutissowesu 'thou art called,' ne kowesuonk ' that [is] thy name,' Gen. xxxv. 10.
2. 'Let-it-come-hither thy-great-rulership.' Péyaï 'he comes'; with inan. sulject, päyaü-mo 'it comes,' and impt. 3d pers. peyaummutch. Ketassotim\&onk' 'chief-rulership' or 'dominion'; verbal from ketassotam 'he is chief ruler' or 'great lord,' from kehte 'principal, chief,' and sontim (sôtam, R. W.) ' master, 'lord.'
3. 'Thy-thinking (purpose, will,) be-it-so.' Kuttenantamo$o n k$, an active verbal, with 2 d pers. pronom. prefix, from unantam 'he thinks,' 'purposes,' ' is so-minded.' In eastern Algonkin languages, verbs in -antam (Del. -endam, Abn. -erdam) "express a disposition, situation, or operation of the mind" (Zeisberger's Del. Gram. 89) : verbal, unantamóonk, 'thinking,' 'willing' \&c. Deut. xv. 9, Job xlii. 2. Ne nateh, ne naj, 'be it so,' 3 d sing. imper. of n'nih [unni] ' it is so '; used for ' Amen' in the Abnaki vv. 6, 7, 8 (nialetch, niaiach) and Quiripi (ne ratch) v. 15 ; so, Narr. énatch neen-anowa "let my word stand" (be so), R. W.
'On-earth so-as in-the-heavens.' Ohki [auk'i] 'ground, land, place, country, earth', has here the locative postposition for 'in' or ' on': and so, kesukq-ut (as in the invocation) Neane'so as,' 'such as,' for ne unne 'of this kind.'
4. 'My-victuals (lit. ' my eatings') in-daily-course give-me this day.' From the primary meech-u (mitchu) 'he eats' is formed the act. intrans. mectsu (contr. for meech-esu), and tho verbal meetsuonk, plur. meetsuongash 'eatings,' and with $n$ ' prefixed, 'my eatings.' For the double plural, 'our eatings,' two additional syllables are required, - giving the termination onganonash. A similar omission was made in the next petition, in nummatcheseongash ' my (for our) evil-doings,' which Eliot corrects in Luke xi. 4.
$A$ Ase-késukok-ish 'every day'; the prefix and suffix are distributive, giving the meaning of 'each in its turn,' ' one after the other, in course'; so, ase-nompok-ish, Exod. xxx. 7, ' morning by morning': comp. Abn. éhéssokke 'turn by turn' ( $=$ Mass. бselfoeu, El.).

Assama-innean, imperat. 2 s. $\sim 1$ pl. of assamaï 'he feeds,' 'gives to eat'; assame 'give me to cat.' Yeuyeu, an emphatic demonstrative, from yeu (Alon. im) 'this'; 'this here,' Fr. ceci. Kesukok 'while it is day' or 'during the day,' the conditional form of kesuk.

In Luke xi. 3, we have kokokesukodaé (in the first two syllables of which there is probably a misprint) and nutasesukokke [mispr. for nutasekesukokke] petuliqunneg 'my daily bread.' Peirson's Quiripi version has both no-meetsounk and petálkenêag. The latter is from petukki (petukqui, El.; Abn. petegwi) 'round'; petukqunneg 'round thing,' and so 'a loaf of bread': Narr. puttuckqunnége "a cake" (R. W.). In the Mohegan, 'tquogh (Edw.); the Virginia 'tuckahoe.'
5. "And do-not-bear-in-mind [against]-us $m y$ [by mistake for our]-cvil-doings.' Ka (Montagn., Alg. and Chip. gaie, Conn. and Quirip. quah) used as a copulative. In Chippewa, gaie, like Latin que, usually follows the latter of the two words it comnects. Ahquoantam, from ahque 'do not,' 'refrain from,' and -antam, the formative of verbs of thinking \&c. (see pet. 3): with direct inanimate and remote animate objects (accusative and dative), ahquoantamaii ' he does-not-think-of (it) to or against (him); it is here in the imperative, 2 s. 1 pl. 'thou . . . to us.' $N$ 'matcheseong. [anon]ash 'our evil doings'; from primary match-i 'had,'
and adverbially, 'badly' (Alon. matsi, Chip. matchi, Cree matsi, mutche, \&c.) ; match-etou 'he is bad' inherently or by nature, matchesu 'he does (is actively) bad,' whence the verbals matchetuonk 'badness (of heart or purpose)' and matcheseonk 'evil-doing,' pl. -ongash.
'So-as those-who-do-evil-to-us we-do-not-hear-in-mind.' Neane, see 3d petition. Match-enehheaï 'he does evil to,' causat. animate form, from matchi; conditional ptepl. matchenehuk 'he who does evil to,' double pl. -kqueagig they who . . . to us. Ahquontam-aii ( $=$ ahquoantamail), here takes the transition of $1 \mathrm{pl} . \sim 3 \mathrm{pl}$. indic. present, ' wo . . . to them.'
6. 'Do-not lead-us into trial.' Ahque, termed by Eliot (Gr. 21) an " adverb of forbidding," is used chiafly with the imperative in prohibitions, and corresponds nearly to Gr. "i $\mu$ í, or Fr. ne . . pas, though its primary meaning is 'to leave off,' 'to desist.' Abn. e"kwi "cessationem significat" (Rasles), Narr. aguie "leave off, do not" (R. W.), Moh. uhquac, Creo ega, ithka, Chip. kego, \&c. Comp. ahque natwontamok " take ye no thought," Nliut in Matt. x. 19.

Sagkompan-ail 'he leads (him)'. eomp. Is. xl. 11, and Matt. xv. 14. From the same primary as Del. sagkimau 'he is a chief' and the Indian-English 'sagamore.' See version 4 (petition 1), sangmanwi. The correct form of the transition imperative, 2 s. $\sim 1 \mathrm{pl}$., is sagkompayinnean, as in Luke xi. 4. $E n$ is classed by Eliot (Gr. 22) with " conjunctions of place," meaning "in, at, or to"; here, with locative suffix of the following verbal (-it), it gives the meaning of 'into.' Qutchhuaonk' a trying,' or 'making trial of,' - the active used by mistake for the passive verbal qutchehettuonk' a being-madetrial of,' which is found in the corresponding petition in Luke xi. 4: with its primary verb quthum (contr. for quttuhhum ' he measures, weighs, tries') comp. Abn. ue-lcotadaimen " је goûte, pour voir s'il est bon, ne-kootsitonn "j'essaie, j'eprouve," (R.), Chip. nin-gátchibia 'I tempt him,' nin-gotjiew 'I try,' nin-gotama 'I taste it' (Bar.).
7. 'But deliver-thou-us from what-is-bad.' Webe, wepe, is used for 'but,' only in the Mass., Conn., and Quirip. versions. Its true meaning seems to be 'only,' 'solely,' corresponding

On Algonkin Versions of the Lord's Prak $\subset$.
to Abnaki mibiwi : comp. matta ne webe 'not that only," "not only so," Rom. v. 3, wele woh ke-nupmun " we ean but [only] die," 2 Kings, vii. 4. Roger Williams uses it, in the Narraganset dialect, to emphasize the pronoun of the sulject of a verb, as in wepe kuk-kimmoot "you [tu autem] have stole." In Luke xi. 4, Eliot for webe substitutes qut, "a conjunction discretive, but." (Gr. 22.)

Pohquohwussu 'he delivers,' 'is a deliver,' act. intrans.: pohquohwussu-aen, nomen agentis, 'a deliverer', as in title of New Testament, with pronom. affixes, nup'poquohwussuaeneumun 'our Savior.' 'The primary, pohqui, means 'it is open,' ' elear' : hence, pohquohham ' he goes clear,' ' cscapes,' \&e. : comp. Chip. nin-pékakonan 'I open,' pakakossin' it opens,' nin-pêkinan 'I open it' (Abn. ne-pekahan'). Wutch ' from, out of.' See notes on noshun (p. 141), üchiek, v. 1, and wedji, vv. 6, 7.
8. 'Because to-thee-it-belongs chief-rulership, the strongdoing, and forth-shining, forever.' Ne-wutehe 'this from,' or, ' bocause of.' Kut-ahtau-un, from ohtau 'he has, possesses' (it); ohtau-un 'it is had, possessed, belongs to'; here, with prefix of 2 sing. 'to thee it belongs.' Menuhkesu-onk, verbal from menulkesu, act. intrans. 'he is strong, a strong-doer,' from menulki 'strong,' primarily, 'hard,' 'firm ': Miem. melki (and menaké "presse," Maill.), Abn. nc-merkasani "je me sers de force" (Rasles). Sohsumbonk' 'forth-shining', a verbal from sohsumw 'it slines forth' (Chip. wasseiasi "he shines, is resplendent," wasseiasiwin 'light, splendor, brightness'): here, and throughout his version, Eliot uses this verbal for 'glory.' Michéme, "for ever," "everlasting"
 \&c., by Eliot; ne micheme ohtag "that which is forever," " eternal," Psal. cxlv. 13, Rom. i. 20. So, in the Comn. and Quirip. versions; Narr. "forever" (R. W.), Abn. metsimiwi 'always,' Micm. mech "d'avantage, encore, de plus" (Maill.), Chip. mojag, monjag, 'always, perpetually' (Bar.). The root is, apparently, mishe, missi, 'great, much,' and the primary meaning, 'a great while.'

## 11. CONNECTICU'I' <br> niantic?

Rev. Experience Mayhew, MS. 1721 ; written "" hy the help of an interpreter," in "the diulect of the [so-cailed] Pequot Indians."

Nooshun onkkouwe kesukuk:

1. Weyetuppatam eyage kowescoonk.
2. Kukkuttassootunnoonk peâmoutch.
3. Koowekoutamoonk eyage yeutai okee oiohktai onkkouwe kesukkuk.
4. Mesuman oyeu kesukohk asekesukohkish nupputtukqunnekonm.
5. Quah ohquantamiunnan nummattompauwonkanunonash mâmuk oi ohquantamouog kehchapunuiqueoguk.
6. Quah ahque eassuman michemwetcoonkanuk.
7. Wepe poliquassuman wutche matchetuk.
8. Newutche kuttihe kuttassootamoonk, mekekoonk, quah kumontiatamooonk, micheme quah micheme. Amen.
In the letter* from which this is copied, Mr. Mayhew writes that when he visited the Indians of Comnecticut, a few years before 1721, he found "so much difference betwixt their language and that used on Martha's Viosyard that he could not well understand their discourses" or be understood by them without an interpreter: he adds, however: "I thought the difference was not so great but that I could have attained to speak intelligibly in their dialect if I had continued there a few months "; though " these differ more fromi the Natick Indians [in whose dialect Eliot wrote] than those of the Vineyard do." The version he gives - made by himself with the lielp of an interpieter - certainly is not Pequot, i. e. Mohegan, but is probably in the dialect of the Niantics, Indians of the coast between Connecticut River and Point Judith, R. I. The Niantics near New London occupied the tracts reserved for, and were mingled with, the Pequots, of whom few -- perhaps none of pure blood - survived to 1721 . One of the peculiarities of this version is the substitution of $y$ for (Mass.) $n$, in wunne, enaj, \&e., here written weye, eyage: see notes on the first petition. The locative affix is -uk (kesukuk fnr Mass. kesukyut) or -tai (yeu-tai for Mass. yeu-ut).
[^15]For Eliot's kesukqut 'in colis,' Mayhew has onkkouve kesukuk 'heyond the sky.' In the first petition, weyetuppatam stands for Mass. wunnetupantam 'it is holy,' - seldom used by Eliot, though he has the adjective wunneetupanatamwe for 'holy' on the title-page of his version of the Bible, other forms in Mark vi. 20, Acts xiiv. 43, \&e., and its opposite, mutehetu-panatum 'profaned,' Ezek. xxii. 26. 'The change from wunne to we'ye corrosponds to that of Mass. anfm 'dog' to ayim in the Narraganset dialect, noted by R. Williams, Kicy, 107. In the Quiripi (v. 15) Peirson has verrettepantam. Eyage, pron. e-yaj, is Mass. ne naj, Narr. enetch ‘’.so,' Quir. neratch, AIm. ni-aletch; see v. 10, pet. 3, and co..... Micm. n'deliatzeh, v. 2. The termination in $a j$, "as t " English word age somudeth," was, Eliot states, "a reg : sound in the 3d pers. sing. imperative mode of vetwi.'
3. K'wekontam-wonk 'thy pleasure': verbal from wekontam 'he is pleasant-minded,' glad; Abm. wigandam, Del. wingilendam ' I am pleased with it' ('Zcisl.) : from welon'sweet, pleasant to the taste,' with the formative -ntam of verbs expressing mental action, \&e. Yeatai, Mass. yeu-ut, 'in this' (place), herein: comp. Aln. vers. 6, yuttel, and iw-tè (Rîle). Montagn. u-te, Cree, o-tè 'here.' Okee; Narr. auké, Mass. ohke, 'carth'; compl. vers. 10. Oiohktai is of questionable shape; its place in the clause requires the meaning of 'as in.'
4. Mesunnan 'give us': comp. Quir. mésonah (vers. 13): from a verl, not used by Eliot, - corresponding, perhaps, to Chip. nin mijive ' I give him.' Eyeu kesukohk' 'this day,' = Mass. yeu[yeu] kesulkok. Nup-puttukqumnek-omun 'our bread,' from puttukqunney 'bread,' lit. 'something round'; see note on vers. 10 (pet. 4).
5. 'And reffain-from-thinking-[against-] ns our-ommities (hostilities), like-as we may refrain-from-thinking-of those-who-hurt-us (?)'. Qualt =kah (El.), Narr. kì (R. W.), Chip. gaie. Ohyuantamiunnan $=$ ahquoantamaiinncan, v. 10. Mattompauwonic, verbal from nattompaii 'he makes war on,' 'is an enemy,' - primarily, 'is a bad man'? hence, condit. mattompog (El.) as a noun, ' war,' = Abn. mattanbeko ; Del. machtapeek" bad time, war time" (Zeisb.) Nînuk $=n e-$ aunak (El.) 'according to,' 'after the same mamer as.'

Ohquantamoung, 1st $\sim 3 \mathrm{~d}$ pl. conditional, 'when we (or, we may) refrain from thinking of them.'
6. 'And do-not lead-us temptation-into'? Neither of the two principal words is found in Eliot, but michemwetmonkanuk eorresponds to Peirson's (Quirip.) mitchemôuretouk, which he translates " temptation." It certainly cannot have that meaning.
8. Kuttihe 'thine is'; leuttaike, El.: but when the sulject follows the verl, kut'ahtau-un 'belongs to thee,' as in Mass. version, is the better form.

## 12. CONNECTICUT.

## PEQUOT-MOHEGAN?


#### Abstract

"The Lord's prayer in the language of the Mohegan and Pequot Indians living in the colony of Connectient, procured by the IIon. Gov. Saltonstall, at New London, February, 1721 "; with interlinear translation; printed in Morse's Report on the Indian Tribes \&e. (1824; p. 54). It is worth preserving, if only to show how a text may he corrupted by bad spelling, wrong division of words, careless transeription, and mistakes of the printer. I have interlined what may have heen the reading of the original MS., so far as the printed copy affords any clue to it.


Co shunōngone îhe suck cuck ăbot:
Noshun ôngoue chesuckcuck äbot:

1. Na naw ūi e coom shāw ims nūskspe coūe so wūnk Nanawüietoomshawi . . . . . . coüesowunk.
2. Kuck sūdamong - peamōoch Kuck'südamong peamöutch.
3. Ecōok aiootōomomon ākkec tawti èe 万ok ungow a Etook aioôtoomon ukkee tawti eëionk ungowa gēescuck géésuckcuck.
4. Mcè se nam Eyeu kēe suck askēsuck mȳsput eo honēgan Méèsenan eyeu kēésuck askēsuck nupputtokonëgan.
5. Ah quon to mi nun namat to ōmp pa wōn ganmesh no Ahquontominun mummattoómppawonganunksh ne awe àlı goon to mi nad macha chook qoe a guck, aune ahquontomina . . . . matchachookqueoguck.
i. Ah greead macou jussūon mattum paw oon ganuck Ahque . . . . . . . . . . . mattumpawoonganuck.
6. Puk kqūeaw-hus nāwn woochet matchetook Pukkquëawhus neawn woochet matchetook.
7. Kce kucks sūdamong cumme eke go wonk ah hīont Keekucksüdamong cumme'elégowonk
seek coomsakŏ oh woonk, mackēeme machēemo Eeats. . . . coomsakŏoluvoonk, machēēme, machēēme. Eáts.

As translated:
"Father ours above in heaven: ${ }^{1}$ Admired in highest manner be thy name. ${ }^{2}$ Thy-powerful-kingdom let-it-come, ${ }^{3}$ Like done thy will in earth as like in heaven. *Give us this day and every day (dailv) bread. ${ }^{5}$ Let us be forgiven evil doings of ours, we would forgive wrong doers to us. ${ }^{6}$ Not guide us into snares, but help us to escape from evil. 7 Thine thy [the ?] powerful kinglom, thine the strength, thine the greatest splendor, always, always, Me wish-so."

## 13. MOHEGAN,

 OF STOCKBRIDGE, MASS. From Edwards's Observations, 1788,* pp. 9, 10.Noghnul, ne spummuck oieon,

1. taugh mauweh wneh wtukoseauk neanne annuwoieon.
2. Taugh ne aunchuwutammun wawehtuseek maweh noh pummeh.
3. Ne annoihitteech manwel awauneek noh hkey oiecheek, no aunchuwatammun, ne aunoihitteet neek spummuk oiecheek.
4. Menenamulh noonooh wuhkamauk tquogh nuh uhhuyutamauk ngummauweh.
5. Ohquatamouwenaunuh ameh mumachoieaukeh, ne anneh ohquitamouwoieauk numpeh neek mumacheh amnehoquaukeek.
6. Cheen hquukquaucheh siukeh annehenaumuh.
7. Panneewah htouwenaunuh neen mauntehkeh.
8. Keal ngwehcheh kwiouwauweh mauweh noh pummeh; ktanwo: ; estah awaun wtinnoiyuwn ne aunoieyon; hanweeweh ne ktimoieen.

Amen.
"The Stockbridge Indians, as well as the tribe at New London, are by the Anglo-Americans called Mohegans, which is a corruption of Muhhekaneew, in the singular, or Muhhekaneok, in the plural. . . . Every tribe, as that of Farmington, that of Stockbridge, that of New London, \&c., has a different dialect " (Edw. p. 5).

[^16]
# 14. MOHEGAN, of stockbridge, Mass. 

From The Assmbly's Catechusm (Stockbridge, Mass., 1795); "priuted in the Moheakuunuk, or Stockloridge Indian Language."*

Nokhnuh keyuh neh wohwekoiwaukumnk oiyon:

1. Taukh wauwhiwekotautheck aumeweethyun.
2. Taukh kkehkiyowaukummaunk.
3. 'Taukh amhehowantommm umnoiyek rumooh tomneh hkeek aunow aumoiyek wolnwekoiwaukmmuk tomneh.
4. Menenammh nooh wohkommauk nuh wanwohkommankeh duqkhomunh.
5. Don uhquantommowwenaunuh muchchoiwatakomonnaun annow naup aunch uhquantowmawwanyank mulimehehunnehhoquankeek.
6. Don cheen aum kpoonnenamuh qchehootwankmmok mnmわ,
7. Mohchect pquankqkennenaumuh thoikuhk weheh.
8. Quaum keyuh knehnantommon manweh neh kkiwaukon, don umowsiwankm, wonk weekchamanqsowaukn, hommeweh

Non neh umoiyick.
In Edwards's notation, $u$ " has the sound of $u$ in uncle, though much protracted," $w$ is always " a mere consonant," $e$ final is not sounded except in monosyllables, $g h$ has "the strong guttural sound which is given by the Scots to the same letters in the words tough, enough, de."

The language of the Stockbridge Mohegans - like that of the Moravian Delawares - was so much improved by the missionaries that it is impossible to determine how many of its dialectic peenliarities are indigenous. Some particles, certainly, have received meanings which did not originally

[^17]belong to them - to fill places of conjunctions, relative pronoms, and the definite article. This is more noticeable in the recent versions, as in that of the 19th Psalm, "done at the Cornwall School under the superintendence of Rev. John Sergeant, missionary," printed in Dr. Morse's Report on Intian Affairs, 1822 (and re-printed in Pickering's edition of Edwards's Observations), which I occasionally cite (Ps. 19).

In the invocation, Edwards has: 'Our-Father that high-place-in thou-who-there-art': in v. 14, 'Our-Father thou that the-heaven (bright place?)-in thou-who-there-art.' $N^{\prime}$ ogh, = Mass. noosh, Del. nook (v. 15), 'my father'; n'ogh$m u k$ 'our father.' $N e(n e h)$ is a demonstrative of inanimate objects - not a relative: with the conditional or participle of inan. verbs, it serves to form a concrete name, and may be translated by the definite article; e. g. (Mass.) sequmni 'it is left behind, it remains,' ne sequmuk 'that (which is) left,' ' the remainder.' Spummuck 'on high'= Abn. spomkik; see vv. 6-9, and note. Oieon, oigon, $=$ Mass. ayean (from ayeu ' he is here, or there'); see page 114, ante, and note on vv. 6-9: Edwards regards this form as a participle; $3 d$ pers. cïect "he who lives or dwells in a place" (Edw. 12), pl. oiecheek, as in pet. 3 of v. 13 .

1. Trugh, taukh, Mass. toh, "properly significth utinam 'I wish it were so"" (El. Gram. 34). Maureh' all, the whole' is Mass. moeu, miatue, 'collected, gathered,' Abn. manmi 'ensemble,' Chip. namawi; it is repeated in petitions $2,3,4$, and 8: so in Ps. 19, maureh pampaum'h hkeyeke "through all the earth." Auncu'cethyun 'thy name,' lit. 'as thou art so-called ': the Mohegans like the northern Crees readily pass from the soft $s$ to th ( $\theta$ ) ; comp. auncweseet, auncwetheet, 'his name' (Cat. 14), neth aunew'htautheek' which is called' (id. 25) ; Mass. wesu-onk' his name,' ussowesu' 'he is called.'
2. 'I-wish that-which thon-willest they-may-know all (everywhere?)' — Edw. 'I-wish thy-kingdom (come?)' — Cat. Kkiwaukun ' kingdom, dominion', wkehkiyowaukun ' his kingdom,' kkiychteet 'he who is powerful,' kulktiyowwauweet 'he who is king' (Cat.). I suspeet an error of the press in the final -manuk; Schooleraft's copy has k'kihkiyowaukun pauk, which may be nearer right, pauk representing
a form of the verb' to come,' Mass. peyau ' he comes,' Alon. ne-ba 'I come,' \& $3 .:$ but see note on version 9 . Edwards gives a free translation: ne aunchuwutammun 'what thou willest,' ' thy will' - as in pet. 3 ; aunhchowautuk' 'his will' (Cat.).
3. "That let-them-so-do all persons this earth who-are-in, that thou-willest (or, thy will), that is-so-done in-that highplace [by] they-who-are-in." - Edw. "I-wish th" 7 -will so-bedone this there-in earth, as is.so-done hearen there-in." Cat. Hkey (which should have the locative form, as in the Catechism, hkeek, or in Ps. 19. 14, hkey-eke) 'earth'; nuh kesehtautoop ne spummuk wonk no hkeek 'he made [that] heaven and [this] earth' (Watts's Cat.) : Mass. ohke, auki, Abn. ki, locac. kik. Nunnooh tonneh ' this in'; the postposition tonneh corresponds to Quir. terre (v. 15), Del. taani, talli (vv. 16, 17), 'there-in' or 'there-at.' Aunow (Mass. unne, condit. aunak) 'it is like,' 'it is so' (here and in pet. 5 , as a conjunction, 'as') represents one of the most prolific of Algonkin roots; comp. aune-weethyun' (pet. 1), unnoiyek and condit. aunoiyek (3), unnoiyich imperat. 'let it so be,' for ' Amen.'
4. Edw. "Give-us this day-in bread (Indian cake)" \&c.Edw. "Give-us this day-in daily bread"-Cat. Mënuh 'give it him' (Edw. 7) ; comp. Del. milineen (v. 17), Montagn. mirinan (v. 18). Tquogh, tquokh, Indian bread, Powhatan tockowhough, modern "tuckahoe," from p'tukki 'round'; comp. Quir. petukkeneag (v. 15), Shawn. tuckwhana (v. 33): Duqkhomnuh (Cat.) is 'bread stuff' = tquokho-mina; comp. Shawn. tockquanimi (v. 34), and Abn. apòn-mena, vv. 8, 9. Wohkommau, wuhkummawu, for 'day,' is peculiar to the Mohegan - and, I suspect, to the Mo' egan mission dialect: it seems to be the equivalent of Mass. wohkummiyeu (El.) 'above, upwards' (comp. wohqut 'above,' El.), and may liave been used in the sense of 'sky,' 'the visible heavens': comp. paum-uhkummauweni-yeek 'in the heaven above' (Cat., p. 13), wohkummauweni wonk hkeey 'heaven and earth' (p. 15).
5. "Forgive us"; comp. Mass. alqquontamaiinnean (v. 10), Comn. vv. 11, 12, and Quiripi v. 16. Muchchoiwaukun,
mchaiwaukun, " sin" (Cat.) from m'che (Mass. matche) ' bad.' Aunow 'as,' see pet. 3. Naup auneh (Cat.) is printed by Schoolcraft as one word, naupaunih; Edwards has numpeh neek: naupau or numpeh $=$ Abn. na ${ }^{n}$ be, Mass. nompe, ' reciprocally,' 'in turn': "pardon us [our] sins as we in turn pardon those who do us evil." Muhmeheh-unnehhoogqueek 'those who injure us' (Cat.); comp. Mass. matchen hulkqueagig, v. 10.
6. "Do not try (tempt) us in difficulic things." - Edw. "And do not that we may fall temptation into."- Cat. Cheen $=$ Mass. alque (v. 10), Del. katschi (v. 17). Siukeh $=$ Mass. siogok, siogkok 'that which is hard, or difficult, ' a hard thing' (El.), Narrag. siáckat; from see 'sour' (Lat. acer, acerbus; comp. Engl. sour, sore, sorrow); siuhkoiwa'kun " misery" (Cat.). Unneh (v. 14) 'into, unto,' a postposition : comp. tonneh ( $=$ ta-unneh) pet. 3.
7. "But deliver-us clifficulty(?) from."-Cat. "Put away from us what is hurtful."-Edw. Pquaukhkennaut 'redecmer,' pquaukhkentowaukun 'redemption' (Cat.) : comp. Mass. (vers. 10). Thoikuhk = siukuhk; see pet. 6. Wcheh 'from' (Mass. wutche) follows the noun, as in Chippeway and other northern dialects.
8. "For thou keepest of all the kingdom (dominion) and power, also glory, Forever."- Cat. "Thou because (For thou) rulest all every-where; thou art greatest; not anyone is-such-as that thou-art-such-as; forever that thou-artso (?)"-Edw. The particle quaum is used throughout the Catechism for the conjunctions 'for, because.' Ngwehcheh (Edw.), nik wauch (Cat.) ' because,' 'therefore'; nik wauch neh emuk " the reason of it is" (Cat.); literally, 'that from,' ne wutche (El.). Keyuh, keah, keyoh (Ps. 19)'thou.' Estah (stol Ps. 19, estoh Cat.) ' not,' - a particle which is peculiar to this dialect. Wonk, wauk, 'also,' Mass. wonk, EI. Weekchaunauqsowaukun for 'glory,' (week-chau-naug-tho-vau-con, Ps. 19) is of uncertain meaning. Hanveeveh, honmeweh (oneemwauwau, Cat.) 'forever' = Del. hallemivi; see v. 17. Wtinnoiguwun corresponds to Mass. wuttinniin (El.) as in Exod. iii. 14, nen nuttinniin nen muttinniiin for "I am that I am," and matta ne muttinniein "it is not so with me," Job ix.

35: this verb is used by Eliot and in the Moh. Catechism as a substitute for the simple verb substantive - for which it was not mistaken by Edwards who says, explicitly, (Observ. p. ..t): "They have no verlb substantive in all their language." In the Catechism, the question "What is God?" is rendered, Taunck wtennoiyen muh Pohtommawowes? i. e. 'of what kind,' or' what is he such as?'

Non meh ummiyick (misprinted for mmoiyich)'this be-itso'; see above, pet. 3.

## 15. QUIRIPI.

From Rev. Abraham Peirson's "Heips for the Indinns,"* 1658, pp. 59, 60.
Noushin aûsequamuk terre:

1. Werrettepantammunateh [Wòweztânonatch] kowésewunk.
2. Pèamouteh' kúkkussootńmmownuk,
3. Koràntàmmowunk neratch sket' ôkke nenar âusequamuk terre.
4. Mèsonah èa kèsuk kónkesekatush nométsounk [petúkkenêagr].
5. Akquantamínah nomàtchereúnganansh nenar tìkquantaminan ewojek nomàtcherehóaqueàguk,
6. Asquonsìkkongònan rame-re mítchemôuretounk,
7. Webe kûppoquohwhèriggamínah wutche madjk'.
8. Wıtche kèkatah kètassootómoonk, quah milkèssowme, qualı àíttarwejanúnguesówunk, michème quah michème, Ne râtch.

The dialect of this version is, or was intended to be, that of the Indians of south-western Comecticut, near Long Island Sound. It was probably spoken by the small tribes westward, in Westchester county, - including the "Wiequaesgeeks" and perhaps the "Waormacks." The Dutch explorer, Block, first mentioned these Indians 'of the longwater,' - whom lie found in 1614, near the month of Housatonic River, $\dagger$-as "Quiripeys," and I adopt this in preference

[^18]to the more familiar name Quinnipiae, which usage restricts to the vicinity of New Haven harbor, and which manifestly (by the substitution of $n$ for $r$ ) belongs to another dialect than that of the Indians who lived thereabout.

Mr. Peirson's knowledge of the language was very limited. He had mastered none of the difficultios of the grammar; but he was assisted in his work by 'lhomas Stanton, "interpreter genera! to the United Colonies," and "by some others of the most able interpreters amongst us'; and his little volume has some valne in its exhibition of dialectic pecnliarities - e. g. the locative suffix terre (for Mass. -ut, -it), as in the Mohegan (tomneh) and Delaware (taani, telli).
'Our-father the-place-of-liglıt in.' A解equtmuk; comp. Miem. wasok (v. 2), wajok (v. 3, and note): Del. anossítgame (and awassagame-rumk 'in heaven,' Zeisb.).

1. 'Let-it-he-well-regarded [or, let-it-be-obeyed] thy-name.' Wérrettepantam for Conn. weyetuppatam (v. 11), Mass. wumetupan'am 'it is holy' (El.) : Peirson uses the verthal wérettepantiommeumk for "a grace" (p. 61). Woueztîn-match ' let it be obeyed'; waumeztam-mewunk, verbal, for "obedionce" (p. 31). Wésen'unk or wczzeume' his name' (p.47).
2. 'Let-it-come-hither thy-kingdom.' Comp. Mass. v. 10.
3. 'Thy-will be-it-so on-the-face-of (or, above) earth, as the-place-of-light in." Neratch for ne math, ne naj, El. Sket', skeje, a contradiction of wosket or woskeche (El.)'on the top, or outside, of.' Peirson often writes sketolle (=wosketohke, El. in Lev. xi. 21) as one word; but he sometimes uses skeje for ' upon,' hefore an animate olject, as skeje nejek "upon them" (p. 26). Nenar. 'the same as,' $=n e$ nen, El.
4. 'Give-thon-me this day daily (?) my food [round cake].' Comp. with Conn. (v. 11), mèsonah and mesunnan, \&e. Kèsuk is withe $\because$ t the affix which is required to give it the character of an adverl); it should be (as in vv. 10, 11,) kesukok, 'in the day,' 'to-day.' Nomertsomk, nom (verbal) collective, in the singular and with the 1st pers. prefix, 'mey bread'; comp. num'metsuonyash (v. 10)'my victuals,' and see note. Konkespleatush appars to be formed from kóm (quimi El.) 'long,'
and kesekat (kesukod El.) 'a day's time' (quinni-kesuk' the day long,' "all the day," Ps. 44. 22, El.; quinne kesukod, Cotton: comp. wame kesukodtash "all the days" of his life, Gen. 5. 5).
5. 'Do-not-remember-against-me my badnesses, the-sameas I do-not-rerember-against them who do-evil-to-us.' Comp. v. 10. Here agrain Peirson has confounded the transition forms: tilkquantaminan shonld have an initial $n$ ' for the first person ( $n$ 'tak-). The distinction between 1st sing. and 1st pl. of the subject, in verbs of this class (having a direct olject inanimate and remoter object animate, or inan. aecusative with anim. dative, ) was disregnrded by Roger Williams, and not always observed by Zeisberger. Peirson had not discovered it. The verb should have been in the subjunctive (conditional), as in Eliot's version (see note on vers. 10). Matchereínganansh, pl. of matchereßule (and -éwunk, 'evil,' 'sin,' Cat. p. 7), verbal, 'being bad.' Nomatcherehéaqueàyuk is interided for sulj. participle, 3d pl. $\sim 1$ st pl. of matchereheau (matchenchhean, El.) 'he does badly to him,' but the pronominal prefix ( $n$ ') should not have been used with this mood.
6. Peirson's interlinear translation is "Lead-us-not into temptation." Asquonsalckongonan is perhaps misprinted for ahquon-, but I can make nothing of the verb, except by its suggestion of Eliot': sagkompanau 'he leads, directs, him.' Rame is used by Peirson for 'in,' re for ' to,' but very loosely : $r e$ is Del. li, liwi, 'to' (Zeisb.), Abn. ari, postposition, 'to, with,' (Rasles).
7. 'Only deliver-us(?) from what-is bad.' The verb is irreducible. The base is pohquolheau 'he makes-free,' or 'delivers'; the prefix seems to be the $2 d$ pers. pronominal. Madjk' = matchuk, El.
8. 'From (because) is-thine great-rulership, and strongdoing, and glory (?), great-while and great-while. So be it.' Kèkatah $=$ Cree kiya kit-ayan 'thou it-is-thine' (v. 20b), Eliot's kut-taike 'thine is,' (not kut-ahtau-un 'it is thine, belongs to thee,' as in v. 10,) with the $2 d$ per. pronoun repeated for emphasis. Aittarwejaninguesowmik is used throughout Peirson's Catechism for "glory," and in one place (p.47) for "the attributes" of God. What may be its composition and literal meaning, I will not guess.

## 16. DELAWARE.

IRENAPI, OF NEW SWEDEN.
From the translation of Lather's Cateehism, by Rev. John Campanius, e. 1646.*

Nook nirmona, chijr jooni hooritt mochyrick Hocquaessung táppin:

1. Chíntikat cliijre Rcoánse.
2. Phat chijre 'Tutewnugh.
3. Hátte chéko chiji tahottamen, renáckot thaani Hocquaéssung, renáckot ock taani Hácking.
4. Nircona shón póín peeata chijir jocke.
5. Ock chịir sinkíttan chéko nijir mattarútti hâtte maramijto, renackot ock nijır sinkáttan chéko manúnckus Renáppi maranijto mijije.
6. Ock chijrr, mátta bakíttan nijir, taan manánckus Manétto. 7. Suck bakíttan nirouna suhwijvan manúnckus.

Kitzi.
It is too late to correct the misnomer" Lenni Lenape" which, on Mr. Heckewelder's anthority, $\dagger$ is now generally accepted as "the national and proper name of the people we call Delawares," though it is questionable whether more than a single one of the many tribes from which he constructed the great "Delaware nation" could pronounce this national neme. In the language of the Indians who occupied the shores of Delaware Bay and the banks of the river as far up, at least, as the fork at Easton, Renîpi represents the pronunciation of the name which, in the Minsi or mission-Delaware dialect becomes Lentipe-meaning an adult male of the speaker's tribe or nation, a man of his own kind. Zeisberger (Grammar, p. 35) remarks that "the Delaware Indians have

[^19]no $r$ in their language," mud Heckewelder repents this,* but the latter adds that "it seems that in the time of the siwedes the tribes who lived on the banks of the Delaware used the letter $r$ instend of $l$," but " those tribes were extinct before he came to this comtry." He elsewhere $\dagger$ relers to the work of Campanius as in " the pure Unami dialect of the Lenne," but gives no authority for this statement. That it was the prevailing dialect of Delaware tribes, when the conntry was first known to Europeans, we have sufficient evidence. Tho northern Delawares were called Sankhicans by the Duteh. De Lact $\ddagger$ give a short Sankhican vocabulary which agrees, remarkably, with that of Campanius, compiled, some fifteen years afterwards, among the southern Delawares of New Sweden; and the few words preserved by Willian Penn as a specimen of the language of the Indians of Pemnsylvania, in 1683 , are mmistakably in the same dialect. Of the numerous Indian place-names in 'Thomas Campanins' accome of the country on both sides of the Delaware (Kort Beskrifning de., 1704), $l$ is found in only one (Aluiningh, at the Falls opposite Trenton), and it occurs but once on Lindström's map (165.t55) of New Sweden from Cape Henlopen to the Falls; but the sound of $r$ was common, e. g. Memiruco or Nerraticon (now, Racoon Creek, N. J.), Arwames, Rancocus, Werentapecka, Techuherassi. In the deed of Penn's purchase of lands near Neshaming, in 1682, Delaware river is mamed by its Indian "alias, Makerisk (or Makerick) Kitton," $\$$ i. e. 'the great main-river,' the prefix being mochijrick or mochecerick 'great' (Camp.).

The Renapi version of Lather's Catechism (including the Lord's Prayer') is amusingly bad. The translator had not learned even so much of the grammar as to distinguish the plural of a noun or verb from the singular, and knew nothing of the "transitions" by which the pronoms of the subject and the object are blended with the verb.

[^20]In re-printing, I have substituted $m$ for the $\bar{s}$ used by Campanins. His consonants and vowels have, I infer, the Swedish sounds, $c h=k, j=$ Engl. $y$ or $i, x=$ Germ. $\ddot{i}$, \&ce.
'My-Father our thon yonder grood great sky [high-place] sitting' ("Fader' war tu som i then hïlliga höga himmelen sitter," Camp.). Nolk has the pronominal sign ( $n$ ) of the first person and means ' my father', but Campanins uses it as often with pronoms of the second or third person as of the first. He distinguishes the possessive pronouns from the personal, but not the phural from the singular: nijr stands for ' I ,' 'me,' 'we,' or' 'us,' nirana for 'my' or 'our,' \&e. Occasionally he adds $s$ or $z$ to a name, to form a genitive, as nowez 'the father's' of 'of the father', hackingz 'of the earth,' de. Chijr (Mass. keen, Moh. kerth, Ilin. kira)'thou.' Joni (ion-ni, yeu-ni) a demonstrative, serves Campanius for 'this' and 'that,' 'these' and 'those,' 'here' and 'yonder': comp. Del. jun 'here,' julak 'yonder'' Zeisb. Mochyrick ' big,' 'large,' ' great,' used as adjective and adverb; comp. Mass. mogki, Len. amangi (Keisb.) and machluen. Hocquaéssu"g "heaven, sky" (Camp.) ; comp. hockockque "clouds, the sky," hockung " the high building; heaven; up, upwards." T'íquin is used for' 'to sit down,' in the indicative, imperative, or infinitive, without regard to number or person ; Mass. muttapple 'he sits down.'

Clintike for 'holy,' 'hallowed,' 'prayer,' \&e., is one of the curiosities of Campanins's version: Chintika Manetto "the Holy Spirit," mochyrick Sacrhémun chintika [big sachem holy] "bishop," de. This word is from a verb which means 'to dance and sing' (Powhatan kantoken, kantikantie, Strachey), and which - corrupted to "canticoy" - was adopted by the Duteh and English settlers of New York and New Jersey to denote a social gathering or dancing party.* Dancing was a common accompaniment of Indian worship and so, in some sort, a religious rite; and the interpreter, who probably understood Swedish as imperfectly as Campanius understood the Delaware, could find no better translation

[^21]for 'snered' or 'holy' than 'kintakaye' or chintika. Roaense 'name'; comp. Len. elertunsu 'he is called' ('̌eish.), and Ottawa anosouvin 'mume.'
2. 'Come thy kingdom.' Tuteamengh is obsenre: I find the word in the dialogne appended to the Kint Beskrifuing, where a Sachem speaks of nijroma tuterenumg "our comntry."
3. 'Have what thon wishest, so in-the sky, so also in-the earth.' Hatte is made to do service for 'to be,' mud 'to have'; Len. hattail "he has, it has, it is there" (Keisl.), Mass. ohtou, ohteau. Renáckot $=$ Len. linuquet "like unto" (Zeisb.).
4. 'Our always bread bring-us to-day': in the exposition of the prayer, this is varied to patme ock she $\hat{a}$ porm 'bring-it and always bread.' Sheñ (séu, suévi 'always,' Vocab.) is probably for m'sheu: comp. Mass. micheme, Chip. mojag. Poon (pronounced po-aun) $=$ Alm. alan"n 'brend,' lit. 'what is baked': see vv. 6, 7, 9. Puect (pü utt) for' 'give us,' means 'bring it'; Len. petoon 'to bring' (Zeisl.); Chip. nin-bidon ' I bring it'; paeat póon mitzi "give me bread to eat" (Camp. Vocal.).
5. 'Also thou put-away what we hadly have done, so-as also we put-away what bad men do [to] us.' Sinkitten has in the Vocabulary and Catechism the several meanings of 'threw nway,' 'drive out,' 'put away,' 'forgive': comp. Chip. nin sagidinan 'I put it out of doors, turn it out' (Bar.). Mananckus renáppi 'bad man,' 'bad men'; manánckus Manetto (bad manitou)'the devil.' Munanckus seems to be Len. manunxu" he is angry " (Keisb.) and Chip. maninagosi "he looks ugly" (Bar.).
6. 'Also thou not cast-off us, to bad Spirit.' Bakittan is Len. pakiton ' to throw it away'; Chip. nin.pagidinan' 1 let it go,' ' abandon it.'
7. 'But cast-off our all bad.' Suhwijvan is used, without change of form, for 'all,' 'always,' 'everything,' $\mathfrak{d e}$. as adjective, adverb, and noun. Kitzi 'that is certain,' 'certainly ': kitzi matta ' certainly not' (Vocab.) : Len. kitschiwi " verily, surely," Zeisb.

## 17. DELAWARE.

" Ifenni henale" of nobtileite rennsyldyania.
From Zuisherger's spelling Brok (1776) and Mistory of our Lord (1806).* "Dronomner " like aw in law: e like a! in sery; i like ee; " like on or on in yon; ch nearly like Scottish !h; $j$ like English r lit in; g like g lin !e!y." For the termination of the vertal nom, here printed $\cdot$ vigan, Zeisherger has -noagan; llecke wolder, emaynin.
[Ki] Wetochemellenk, [talli] epian awossagame:

1. Machelendasutsch ktellewnisowâgan;
2. Ksakimawâgan pejewiketsch;
3. Ktelitehewalgan leketseh talli achquidhakamike elgiqui leek talli awossagame;
4. Milineen juke gischunik gunigiseluk nelpoan;
b. Woak miwelendaman $[w]$ ineen 'ntschananchsowayamena, elgiqui niluma miwelendananwenk nik tsehetschanilawemquengik;
5. Woak katsclii npawneen li achquetselriechtowaymink;
6. Schnkmen ktemnineen mutsehi methikink;
7. Ntite knihillatamen ksakimawayan, woak ktallewnssowâgan, wonk ktallowilissowagan; [ne wuutechi hallemiwi] li hallamagamik. Amen.

## As tramslated by Mr. Itchenelder:

"'Thon onr-Father there dwelling hevonh the elowls: ${ }^{1}$ Mannitied (or, praised) -be thy name; : Thy kinglom combenin; :Thy-thousht: (will, intention, come to-pass here upon (or, allover-the) enoth, the sime as it is there in-heaven (or, hefond the clomls); (Give to-ns on (or, throngh)-1his ding the-nsmal (or, daily) breal; ${ }^{5}$ And lorgive-to.ns our-tramseressions (hants) the sume-as we matmalyforgive them who (or, those)-whohave transgresed (or, infured)-ns; "And letnot us come-to-that that we-fall-into temptation; ${ }^{7}$ But (rather) keep-us free from all-evil ; For thonelamest thy-kinglon, amd the-smprior power, and all-mugnilfence. From heretofore ever (always). Amen (so be-it ; so may-iterome-topisss)."

* Essay if a Delaware-Indian and English Spelliuy Book, for the Lisa of the Schools of the Christiem Inlians on Muskingmm Riser. By Divid Zeisberger, missionary umong the Western Indians. l'hiladelphia, 17̈6: sm. 8vo. p. 113. (Cited as \%. su.) A secont edition was printed in 1806.

The Mistory of our Lord cud Sucionr ,Jesus Christ. [Harmony of the Vour Evangelists.] By Rev. S. Licherkuln; transhated into the Delaware Indian Lampage by Rev. David Zeisberger. New York, 1821, $12 m o .1 p .22$.

I have eopied the later text, supplying in brackets the words of the earlier (1776) which were omitterl in revision.
"'The Lord's Prayer in the Dehware Lamgange," with a verbal translation, hy Mr. Heckweher, lollows Zeisberger's earlier version, execpt in orthography, the use of a particle (ymn for talli) in the 31 petition, and the omission of the final li hallamagil: This is printed with the Correspondene of Ineckewelder and Dnponeram, in Tituns. of Ilist. §. Lit. Com, of' Am. Philos. Siociety, i. 439. (Cited as IIkw.)

This re-translation - though not entirely accurate - is on the whole better than any other that I have had occasion to notice in this paper.

The dialect which Zeisberger and Heekewelder learned to speak and write was that of the Moravian mission stations in the forks of the Delaware, which - to distinguish it from the language actually spoken in the 17th century on Delaware Bay and River-we may call "mission-Delaware." The first Morarian converts among the American Indians were from Mohegan ("Mahikander") tribes, east of the Hudson, in Litchfield county, Comecticut, and Dutchess and Columbia counties, New York. Many of these Mohegans removed, between 1743 and 1755, to the Moravian settlements in Pemmsylvania, and were gathered at Gnadenhïtten (now Lehighton) on the Lehigh, at the month of Mahoning Creek, and north of the Blue Mountains. "Speaking a dialect of the same language, the Mohegans became the apostles of the Delawares,"* and it was through Mohegan interpreters that the missionaries, Fabricius and, afterwards, Zeisberger, learned the language which has been denominated "Lemi Lenape" and, more commonly, Delaware. This part of Pemsylvania, when the Moravians first became acquainted with it, was occupied by the migratory Shawnees (Shawanos, $\dagger$ ) allies of the Delawares, and protegés of the Iroquois who asserted the right to dispose of Delaware territory at their pleasure. Some of these Shawnees joined the Mohegans and Delawares of Gnadenhätten on the Lehigh and Waiomik (Wyoming) on the Susquehamal. The langmage of a band of the Minsi or Monseys - the inland and northern Delawares $\ddagger$ - may have been somewhat modified by constant intereourse and frequent intermarriage with the Shawnees.§ Hence, perhaps, the

[^22]ataoption of the Shawnee $l$ for the $r$ or $n$ of the Delaware proper, i. e. the language spoken on the river and bay of that name and along the coast. The northern (Minsi) dialect approximates more nearly than the sonthern to the Mohegan, and Mohegan interpreters probably imparted to the missionDelaware some of their own peenliarities of pronunciation. The missionaries themselves, finding that "the Indian languages had no words for many new ideas and objects, were obliged to enrich them with several English and German words, and, by degrees, custom rendered these new terms intelligible.'* How much of the Shawnee and Mohegan dialects and how many new grammatical forms they may have found it convenient to engraft on that of the Indians of Lehigh Valley and the Bluc-Momntain region, camot now be ascertained.

For the study of the mission-Delaware, Zeisherger's writings are the chief resource - particularly, his Delaware Grammar in Mr. Duponcean's translation (Z. Gr.) $\dagger$. For modern Delaware, I have occasionally eited Whipple's vocabulary (Wh.) in the second volume of Pacific Railroad Reports, pp. $50-61$, and Cummings's (Cumm.), in Schooleraft's History of the Indian Tribes, vol. ii., pp. 470-481.

Ki wetochemellenk was intended to mean' thon who fatherest us.' In his grammar (p. 37) Zeisherger has wetochemellenk "O our father," as an example of the use of a vocative. The termination is that of the suljunetive present, transition of $2 \mathrm{~s} . \sim 1$ pl. 'thou . . . to us' (Gr. p. 168). This is perhaps one of the words with which the langnage was enriched by the missionaries. Zeisberger does not appear to have

[^23]completely analyzed it, for after giving (Gr, 38) the inflections of noock 'my father,' kooch 'thy father,' noochene ' our father,' he remarks that these are "formed from wetoochwink, father": but wetoochwink has the termination of an abstract verbal, and means 'fathering,' 'heing a father,' - more accurately, 'being the common father' (of a family or race) or subjectively, 'having' a common father,' 'a with-fathering.' The prefix wet- gives the meaning of 'with, together, in company' (wit-, Gr. 183) : comp. Chip. nin widjoossema 'I have the same father as' (he has), nin midjoossendimin 'we have the same father, all of us' (Bar.).* "Our Father" would have been better translated by the primary noochena (Mass. noshun; Moh. noghmeh, Edw., whose gh=ch of Zeisberger).

T'alli (tauni, v. 16)'there, yonder'; A'm. tahalo (v. 6), Quir. terre ; a compound of ta and $l i$, 'there-in' or 'thereat.' Epian'who sittest'; comp. Micm. clin (r. 3, and note), Cree and Alg. epian (v. 9, 23). Zeisberger (Gr. 53) calls it an "adverbial form" of the verb achpin or appi"" to be there, in a particnlar place," hut in this he confounds it with cyayme, which he incorrectly assigns to a "local relative mood" of the vert en or waen 'he goes to a place' (Gr. 81) : "ppin means (1)'he sits,' (2)' he remains, rests, is permanent. Avosságame 'heaven' (h. Gr. 38), "beyond the clouds," Hkw., who evidently derives it from amossi 'beyond, the other side' (Narr. arm"usee "further off," R. W.): but it seems to be related to Micm. wosok (iv. 2, : 3 , and note). Montagn. oucscou, waskutsh (v. 21), and to mean 'in the place of light,' 'where light is.' Comp. Chip. aiassiwa 'light,' vasséia 'it is light,' owassamigoman 'he illuminates it' (Bar.), Mass. wohsum 'it shines, is light,' and Del. waseleu 'clear, bright; (Z. sb.) ; Quir. cê̂sequemuk (v. 15).

1. Machelenlam "to honor a person" (K. Gr. ! 4 )," to esteem, to value" (\%. sis.) mach llmdasutch "he shall be honored" (sb.). Here is an error which is very enmmon in
[^24]Zeisherger's translations. The verb has not the passive form. Machelendam is one of the verbs in "elendam, which indicates a disposition of the mind," - belonging to Zeisberger's 3 d conjugation (Gr. 50,94 ) ; the prefix representing macheli ' much' (Z.). It cannot have an animate object, and the translation, "to honour a person," is wrong: the change of $-a m$ to -asu was intended to give it the passive form, but does not effect this: -tch is the sign of the future. The characteristic of the passive voice, in this class of verbs, is $g$ or $k$ in the penult: as in nihillalgussutch 'he shall be owned,' from nihillatamen 'I own' (Gr. 115), pendaquotsch (pendakwotch) 'he will be heard,' from pendamen 'he hears' (Gr. 100), \&c. Zeisberger sometimes writes $q$, sometimes $g$, more often $x$ (Gr. $\chi$ ) for this characteristic, and in The History of our Lord, p. 3 , he has the passive animate future of this same verb, machelemuxutsch, for 'he shall be [esteemed] great,' in Luke i. 15. Comp. Chip. nind'sshpendán 'I exalt. greatly esteem it,' ishpendagosi 'he is greatly esteemed, highly honured' (Bar.) ; but if the subject be inanimate, the form is ishpendjigade ' $i t$ is greatly esteemed.' These distinctions, existing in one or another form in all Algonkin languages, Zeisberger does not appear to have discovered in the Lemii Lenape.
Ktellewunsowàgon 'thy name,' from elewunsu 'he is called,' ' is said-to,' - and that from luëii 'he says' (Mass. nowan, 181.). All these verbals in -wâgan (of which eight occur in this version of the Lorl's Prayer) are classed by Zeisberger as "substantives derived from passive verbs" (Gr. 40). It is casier to find a passive sense in ' name' ('being called'), than in 'kingdom' or 'sachemdom,' in the 2d petition, or in 'power' and 'glory' in the 8th." The fact is, either the Lenni Lentpe is, as compared with other Algonkin languages, singularly poor in verbal noms, or - which is more probable -Zeisberger had learned only one of the half-dozen forms

[^25]in which verbs - active, intransitive, passive, causative, \&c.

- may be made to serve as nouns. Compare, for example, the Chippeway (see Baraga's Grammar, pp. 20-32) :
dibaamáge 'he pays,' nin dibaamago 'I am paid,' kashkendam 'he is sad,' minikwé 'he drinks,' pakiteige, 'he stiikes,'
dibum!́gewin 'payment'(ziven). dibaa:nayowin 'payment' (received). Kashkendamowin 'sadness.' minikw win' 'drinking' und minikuéssiwin 'non-dेrinking,' temperance. pakiteigan 'a lammer'

3. Leketsch'be it so,' imper. Bd sing. of leke 'it is so,' 'it is true' (which Zeisberger classes with "concessive conjunctions," Gr. 185), the indefinite-intransitive form of $l e-u$ ' it is so ' (Gr. 57) : comp. Mass. nenaj, Quir. neratch. For talli, Heckewelder has yun 'here.' Achquidhackamike = Chip. ogidakamig ' upon [the surface of the] carth,' 'above ground' (from ogidj' 'on, upon,' and -kamig, in compos. 'ground,' Bar.) : in Zeisberger's Grammar (183), this synthesis is written wochgidhackamique, and the prefix, uochgitschi," above, on the top, or on the surface of." The primary meaning is ' to cover,' and the root appears in Mass. hogk-i ' it covers.'

Elgiqui "as, in the same manner" (ss.) = Abn. ereghik$k w i$. Leek, subj. 3d sing. of $l e-\ell$ ' it is so,' =elek " as it is," Fr. 57, where it is incorrectly given as an impersonal form of lissin "to be or do so."
4. Milineen ; Moh. menenaumŭh (v. 13), Cree miyinan, meethinan (vv. 20b, c.), Montagn. mirinan (v. 22), Illin. miriname (v. 32). Juke gischquik' on this day'; in the earlier version (sB.) eligischquik: comp. Mass. you kesukok. Gunigischuic does not mean 'daily' but 'the day long,' gumi-gisehuk $=$ Mass. quinni-kesuk 'all the day,' 'the day long' (El.): comp. Quir. konkesekatush (v. 15, and note). Achpoan = Abn. $a b a^{n} n$, and pobn (v. 16), which see: the ch must liave been very lightly sounded, probably a mere aspi"te, since it disappears in n'd-appoan-um 'my bread,' w'dappoamum 'his bread' \&c. (Z. Gr. 39).
5. Miwelendam " he forgives" (Gr. 94 ), a better translation than that given in the Spelling Book: "to quit a place for sorrow, grief"! 'The prefix mî denotes 'removal' (see note on mîyinan, v. 20b); with elendam, tho formative of
verbs expressing mental conditions or activities (see above, on 1st petition), it means 'to remove from mind,' 'to dismind,' so, 'to forgive.' The form here given is the imperat. $2 \mathrm{~d} . \sim 1 \mathrm{st}$ pl. of miwelendam-awa 'he forgives (it) to ( him ).' T'schanauchsowâgan "fault, defect" (SB.) ; tschetschanilawemquengik " those who trespass against us" (sB.) ; the former being a verbal from tschannaüchsin [chanaüksin?] "to feil, to miss" (ib.).
6. Heckewelder mis-translates here: if the form of the last word (another verbal in -wîgar.) is correct, the meaning is: "And do-not we-do-not-come to trial (a being-tried)." $N^{\prime}$ 'pawoneen is the negutive form of the indic. pres. 1st pl. of peu' he comes': katsch; "let it alone, don't do this" (Gr. 174 ), is from lea 'not,' a particle of prohibition (Montagn. eka, Alg. ka, kawin, Abn. ekoo, Mass. akwi), with the characteristic ( $t s c h$ ) of the imperative future. Zeisberger uses it with the imperative of prohibition, as, katsehi lissiham "do not thou do so" (Gr. 58), katschi palian "come thou not" (88), - but, in the indic. pres. negative, matta n'pawuneen "we do not come" (87): for katschi cannot properly be used before a verb in the indicative. $L i$ " to, into" (Z.) is mistranslated by Heckewelder, "that." Achquetschiechtowâgan (akwetchi'ektowagan) with the locative affix, 'into trial'; comp. Mass. eu qutchhuaongan-it (v. 10), Chip. godjiton 'he tries it,' godjiewisiwin 'trial, experiment' (Bar.); the root (Chip. gódji, gwedji, Mass. qutche, \&c.) signifying ' to make trial of,' ' to prove.'
7. Schuk, schukend "ouly" (Z. Gr. 175), " but then" (sn.) : suck, v. 16. Ktenuineen is translated by Heckewelder " kecp us free," - but camnot, in this sense, be traced to any known root. Untschi, Abn. otsi, Chip. ondji 'from.' Medhik 'evil' (Z.), Mass. machuk, having the conditional (participle) form, cannot properly take the additional infection, -ink.
8. Ntite - which in Zeisberger's Spelling-biok is translated 'I think' - is substituted in the revised version for alod of the carlier (1776). In the Grammar, alod'there, yet' (176) ; n'titechta and n'titechquo 'then, while' (177). K'nihillatamen, not (as Hkw. translates) "thou claimest,"
but 'thou ownest, art master of ' (Z. Gr. 114). s'iallowilissowagan ("all magnificer se" Hkw.) is from allowi ' most, supreme' (Mass. anue 'more than'), and wulisso "fine, pretty," "good, handsome" (Z. Gr.), = Mass. wumnesu. Ne wun' ' ' $i$ (Mass. ne wutche) 'this from,' ' from this (time).' Hat, iui "eternal" (sb.), is from the same root as allowi, eluwi, 'more than,' "most" (Z.) : comp. Abn. $a^{n}$ ermioi 'in æternum' (R.), Moh. hanweeweh (Edw.).

For "Amen," Heckewelder has nanne leketsch " so be it; so may it come to pass"; nanne (nahanne, Z. ; Mass. nexne, ne unni, El.)'such as this,' 'so'; leketsch, as in 3d petition, imperat. 3d sing. of leke (the indefinite form of leï 'it is so,') means "let it be so': comp. nanne leu "it is certainly true" (Z. Gr. 174) : Mass. ne naj, Abn. nialetch.

## 18. CREE (KNISTENO).

RED RIVER.
From Prières, Cantiques, etc. en Langue Crise. Ayami'e Neïyaue Masinä̈kan. Montreal, 1857. Compiled by the Rev. J. B. Thibault, and printed in Evans's syllabic characters.

Notanan ki'tchi kisikôk eyayan:

1. Pitane miweyitchikatek kiwiyowin.
2. Pitane otchitchipayik kitipeyitchikewin.
3. Kaïsi natotakawiyan kisikōk pitane ekosi isi waskitaskamik.
4. Anots kakisikak mi'inân nipakwesikani ninân mina tatwaw kis..ake.
5. Kaïsi kasinamawakitwaw ka-ki-matchitotakoyakwaw ekosi wi isi kasinamawinân kaki' matchitotamâk.
6. Pisiskeyiminân kitchi eka matchi mamitoneyitamâk.
7. Iyekatenamawinîn kamayatak.

Pitane ekosi ikik.
"The Knistinaux, Klistinaux, Kristinaux, and, by abbreviation, Crecs, are the most northern tribe of the Algonkin family. Bounded on the north by the Athapascas, they now extend, in consequence of recent conquests, from Hndson's Bay to the Rocky Mountains, though they occupy the most westerly part of that territory, on the north branch of the Saskachawan, in common with the Sioux Assiniboins. They have also spread themselves as far north as the Lake Athapasca. On the south they are bounded by the $\operatorname{Algonkins}$ and

Chippeways; the dividing line being generally that which separates the rivers that fall into James's Bay and the southwestern parts of Hudson's Bay, from the waters of the St. Lawrence, of the Ottawa River, of Lako Superior, and of the River Wimipek."*
The Rev. J. B. Thibault had been a missionary among the western Crees, and in 1845 was stationed at Maniton (Lake St. Anne). When this prayer book was printed, he was living at the Red River Settlement (Assiniboia), where the dialect assimilates more nearly to the Chippeway than does that of the "Montagnais" or of the tribes near Hudson's Bay. "Those of the interior, as on the Saskítchewm," says Mr. Howse (Cree Grammar, 38), "affect more the flat (?) series, as $t h$ (in this), $b, d, z, j, g$ guttural ; as do the Chippeways also"; while among the tribes on the coast of the Bay, "the linguals are th as in thin, $t, s$, st, ts, tch, and their nasal $n$." At the Red River Settlement, continual intercourse between the Plain Crees and northern Chippeways is likely to promote assimilation of dialects.

The characters used by Mr. Thibault do not distinguish $b$ from $p, d$ from $t$, or $g$ from $k$. In translating, I have written, throughont, $p, t$, and $k$. Baraga remarks that it is, in fact, "often impossible to ascertain by the pronmeciation of an Indian, whether the word begins with a $b$ or $p$, with a $d$ or $t$, with a $g$ or $k$."
"The widely scattered tribes of this nation change the the [which Mr. Howse regards as the primitive somud,] consecutively into $y, n, l, r$; e. g. wé-thău ('he'), wé'yŭ, wé-mŭ, we-la, de. . . In the cases where the Crees in the vicinity of the coast (lat. $57^{\circ}$ ), pronomece the th, the contiguone inland tribes of this nation always use $\check{\imath}$ or $y$; or at most, the $t h$ is so softly uttered that a nice ear only can detect it. More westerly, it is decidedly lost in the $i$ or $y$, as above" (Cr. Gram. 141). In passing from the Cree to the Chippeway, the always, and sometimes $t$ and $l$, change to $u$; the Cree $s$ is frequently omitted tefore $k$ and $t$; and the nasals $m$ and $a$ are often inserted before $l, c l$, and $g$.

[^26]19. CREE.
saskatcilewun?
From Oregon Missious, by Rev. P. J. De Smet. (New York, 1847.) p. 162.
Notanan kitsi kijikok epian:

1. Pitone mewaitsikatek kiwigowin,
2. Pitone otitamomakad kitibeitsikewin,
3. Ispits enatota kawigan kitsi kisikok, pitone eknsi iji waskitaskamik.
4. Anots lakijikak minutni [ni]pakwejiganiminan mina tatwaw kigigake.
5. Canisi kaiji kasenamawayakik ka ki matsitota koyankik ckusi iji kasinamawinan eki matsitotamank.
6. Pisiskeminan kitsi eka matsi mamitoncitamank,
7. Tekatenamawinan kamayatok. Pitone Ekeesiikik.

## As translated by, Futher De Smet:

"Our tather in the great hearen beiner scated: ${ }^{1}$ May it he honored thy name. ${ }^{2}$ [May it] arive thy kingrom (reisn). "Like thee being followid in the great heaven, may it he the same on earth. + Now in this day give ns our brend, and in every diay. ${ }^{5}$ As we have remitted to these who have done \{ns) evil so likewise remit unto us what we have done evil. "Be merefifal to us that we hall not into evil. 'Keep away from us ull what is evil. May it be so."

This version was probably obtained among the remote western Crees, near the Rocky Momitains, where the Rev. J. B. Thibanlt and Bourassa had begun mission work before Father De Smet visited the Fort of the Momentains and the north branch of the Saskatchewm, in 1845.

I have corrected two errors of transeription or the press, by restoring (in brackets) a lost prefix, and in the same petition, changing " latwaw" to tattot". "Canisi," at the begiming of the 5 th petition, is certainly wrong as it stands, and perhaps should be omitted entirely, as the sense is complete without it. The interlinear translation is by no means accurate.

## 20. CREE.

From Oo Mryoo Ahchemouin S. Mathere (the Gospel of Matthew), London, 1853. The vowels as in English: ah for Italian a. In the rext copied, the mark of the aspirate or hiatus is phaced over the vowel, instead of efter it as here printed.

N'o'otahwenaln ke'che kesikoo'k āyahyun:

1. Kittah we' ke'kahtaye'tahkwun ke we'eyuwin.
2. Ke tipaye'chekawin kittah oochechepaiyu.
3. A itaye'tummn kittah we' toochekahtaoo otah uskee'k, kah isse ahyahk ke'che kesikoo'k.
4. Meeyinahn ahnoo'ch kah kesikıhk ":a oo pa'hkwasekunimeyah'k.
5. Menah usainumowinahn ne mussinahikawinenahnah, kah isse usainumowuke'etelik mekee kah mussinahumahkooya'hkik.
6. Menah akahweyah ito'otahinahn wahyäsecchekawini'k,
7. Mahkah meetahkwanmmowinaln muche kakwi.
8. Keyah ket alyyalın ke'che otñnowewin, walıwaheh soo'kahtissewin, menah mahmechemikoowin, kahkeka. Amen.

## 20(b). CREE, RED RIVER.

The same version as the preeding, with some dialectic variations and a few verbal corrections (distinguished by itnlics); trmsliterated from the Crie Prayer Book,* Archdeacon llanter's tanslation. For the vowels: a as in arm, " as in
 always a consomant.

N'ootáwínán ki’tchi-kisǐkookh eý́yan:

1. Kĭta wih ki’kateyi’tákwan ki-wi'yoowĭn.
2. Ki-tı̆peyitel ikewĭn kĭta wih ootehitehipayn.
3. E Îteyi’taman kǐta wilı tootchikáten ota ăskíkh, ká ǐsi ayák ki’tchi kiš̌kookh.
4. Míy̆ńán ano’ts káa kisǐkák ke a`tchi pimátinịnákh.
5. Mína asenamawĭnán ni mutchi’tiwĭninána, ká ǐsi asenamawakítchik anikĭ kí wanitotâkóyákik.
6. Mína ekáwiya îto tán̆nan koteyi'touinile.
7. Máka mitákwenamawh̆nán matchi kekwai.
8. Kiya kit ayán ki’tchi otenawiwn̆n, wáwâts soo’kátesiwh̆n, mina mami’tehim̂koown̆n, kákike mina kákike. Emen.

This version represents, I infer, the dialect of the mixed Crees (" Plain" and "Swampy") of Assiniboia; at the Red River Settlement, where Arehdeacon Hunter resided, and the Mission village on the river below. In both of the forms given, it manifests better knowledge of the grammar and more familiar acquaintance with Cree idioms than do some earlier versions. The publication, in 1844 , of Mr. Joseph

[^27]Howse's valuable Cree Grammar had greatly facilitated the study of this language. In the following notes, I cite this grammur (IF.), the Prayer Book (Pn.) and the translation of Mntthew's Gospel (Matt.).
$N^{\prime}$ 'ootúu'inán ( $n$ 'ootúu'cenán, Н. 187) 'our father,' is correctly formed; lout motanam in vv. 18,19 , certainly does not come from n'outani 'my father'' i. e. 'I come from him.' Eydiyan (iayan, i-i-ín, H.) 'thon who art in, who derellest in': in v. 19, 'pian 'thou who sittest,' or 'remaineth.'

1. 'Let-it-he hereafter greatly-honored thy-naming.' Küta (kĕtč, kuttč, H.) "is a sign of' the luture tense, used in both [indic. and suly.] moods" (p1s.)* and with the imperative indefinite (II. 204 ) ; here joined with will ( $w e$, II.) "a particlo expressing mish or desire, the sign of the optative [or subjunctive] mood" (Ph.).
2. 'Thy mastery may it hereafter come-hither.' Tipeyi'tehikewin (tibeitsikeuin, v. 19), verbal noun from tipeyi'tchike (Chip. dibémijige) 'he is master' (Bar.), literally, 'he owns,' 'is proprictor, or possessor'; whence, (2d pers. sulj.) tipeyi'tehikeyin' ' thou who art Lord,' and tipeyitehiket 'the Lord' (PB.) = Chip. debémljiged. The root, Cree tipi (Mass. thipi, Del. tepi) means 'enough,' 'suffieient'; whence Chip. delisis 'he has cnough, is satisfied,' Mass. tapmetam 'enoughminded,' 'content,' and tapennm' he is able,' i. e. suffices for \&c. Chip. dibaan 'he pays (i. e. satisfies) for it,' dibueten 'he pays for him,' diléndan 'he is the owner of (i. e. has paid for) it,' intrans. dibéndjige. Ootchitehipuyu (oochechepaiyu, v. ㅇ) 'it comes hither (pay") from (ootche)' somewhere else; comp. wathow boche ne-peyitootín "far-off-from I hither-come" (H. 289) : Chip. nind ondji-ba 'I eome firom'; but the form which is here given to the verb cannot be the correct one.
3. 'As thon-so-willest may it hereafter be-done here onearth which so is in-the-great-heaven': in v. 19, 'as-much-as is-observed thy [ ?] in-the-great-heaven, may-it be so

[^28]on-earth.' $\boldsymbol{E}$ (he, H.) 'as.' Net'taye'ten 'I will' (Matt. viii. 3), is here in the suluj. 2d sing.; Chip. nind inendam, enéndaman. Ota (o-tè, H.; u-te, v. 22)' here.' Askekh (uskec'k, v. 20, astshitsh, v. 22) with locative affix from aski 'carth': in wv. 18, 19, waskitakkamik 'on the surface (waskitch, H.) of the earth' $=$ Chip. ogidakemig. Ká, the relative pronoun - or what is made to serve as such - used only with the suljunctive. [With the indicative, $k \mathscr{A}$ is a negative, or rather, is employed to emphasize a negation, and it is also a sign of the future tense.]
4. '(ive-us now on-this day and henceforth our-living'; in v. 19, ‘our loaves of bread '; in v. 20, 'our loaf-brend-ing.' Miyinín, Montagn. mirinan (wv. 21, 22), 'give thou us,' or 'present to us' - the root not implying, nor in fact being ordimarily used to denote, free giving, i. c. without anticipation of recompense: Chip. nin mina "I give him, make him a present, allow him something, impose it upon him" \&e. (Bar.), nin pagidina " 1 give it to him absolutely," literally, 'I throw it away, or abandon it to him': comp. Abn. ne-miran, ne-pismïmiran (pisä̈ 'freely,' 'to no purpose'); and another Chip. verb, from the same root ( $m$ ' 'apart,' Lat. dis-, nearly, nin migiure 'I give, contribute, present, allow,' Mass. magou 'he gives, parts with, barters, or sells' (El.), Del. mélen (Zeisb.). Pimátistyákh 'what we may lise on'? (comp. meechryaile 'what you may eat,' meecheha'le 'what we may eat,' Matt. 6. 25, 31), from pimatiss ' 'he is alive,' i. e. moves, yoes, sulj. pimutisit (pimahtisseyit, Matt. 22. 32) 'living'; pemahtixsewin "any thing that promotes life" (Chappell): comp. pimoo'ta 'walk,' Matt. 9. 5, pemootayoo "he walks, progresses " (H.). 'To live' is expressed in all Algonkin languages by one or the other of two verbs, denoting, respectively, 'to go,' and 'to be a man.' In vv. 18, 19, 20, 23 (Alg.), 25 and 26 (Chip.), 28 (Ottawa), and 31 (Vienom.), we have different forms of the same name for 'bread' Chip. pakuejigan - which was a name given by the Indians to French or Faglish loaves, made to be cut in pieces, in distinction from the common Indian cake. Baraga employed this name for 'bread' in his Ottawa version, in 1846, but
in his Otehipwe Dictionary (1853) gives its exact meaning: "When Indians first saw white people cutting pieces off from a loaf of bread, they called the hread pakwéjigan, that is to say, a thing from which pieces are cut off': from nin pakweiige ' 1 cut off a piece'; comp. verb anim. nin pakwejwa ' I circumeise him'; nin pakwéjan 'I cut it,' \&e. (Bar.).
5. 'Moreover' blot-out-for-us our badnesses-of-heart so as we-may-blot-out-to (pardon) those who do-amiss-to-us.' Kaïsi . . . . ekosi (v. 18), gà \{九se . . . . ec'co'se (H.), ‘as
iust so.' Mină, menah, 'and, again' (H. 242), Chip. minawa 'again, more, anew' (Bar.), Abn. mina 'encore' (R.). Asenamawinán (usainumowinahn, v. 20) 'forgive us'; comp. Chip. gassiamawan 'he blots him out, absolves, pardons him,' and kasinamawakituaw' 'absolve us' ( v .18 ). In all the versions this verb in the second clause has the transition form of 3 d $\sim 1$ st pl. subjunctive instead of 1 st~3d pl., and means ' they forgive us' - instead of 'we forgive them.' Ne-matchi'tivininana 'our badnesses of heart,' 1st pors. double plural of matchi'tiwin, verbal from matchi'tail, Chip. matchidée 'he has a bad heart, is wicked,' from matchi 'bad' and -dé (in compos.)' heart.' In v. 20, a word meaning 'delts,' 'our owings,' is used,- the double plural of mussinahikawin, literally, 'a writing' (as in Matt. 5. 31) or 'book account.' Aniki, unekee (Chip. igiw, egewh) 'those,' anim. plur. of unnă (Chip. iwi, aw). Wanitotálcoyákik, lit. ' they who amiss-do-to-us: wan-, as a prefix, means 'out of the way,' 'astray,' 'amiss' (Mass. vanne): ke-wannaytootowwow " you do not use him well," Chappell.
6. 'Moreover do-not that-we-go into trial.' The last word, from a root meaning 'to make trial of' (see qutchhuaongan-it, v. 10), is substituted in v. 20b. for wahyäseechekawin ik, v. 20 , 'that we err' or 'go astray.'
7. 'But take-away-from-us bad anything.' Tákewa-num "he grasps, holds it" (H. 93), has the prefix $m i$ 'apart,' 'away from.' The primary takwa-, Chip. taloo-, means ' held fast,' 'seized.' Kakwai (kékwan, H. 189; Chip. gégo) ' something, anything,' indef. pronoun.
8. 'Thou, thine-is great property (possession, riches), likewise strong-heartedness, moreover (glory ?), Always more-yet
always.' Net.ahyaln (Matt. 20. 15) 'is mine'; keyah ketahyahn (v. 20), ketha ket'ian (H.), Chip. kin kid'aitim, 'it is thine.' Otenawiwin, Chip. daniwin,' what one owns, property, having or holding.' Wiwits, wauvauj (H.) 'likewiso.' Sn'ka-tesi-win 'strong-heartedness'; sok-issu 'he is very strong, firm in mind, determined' (H. 175), söketay-fyyoo 'he is strong-hearted, bold' (II. 144; Chip. songidee); whence, anim. adj. sōketay-issu, so'katesi, and verbal in -win. Mami'tchimikowin for 'glory,' appears to be related to Chip. mamikwadam 'he praises,' memikwadan 'he glories in it' (Bar.).

## 20(c). CLEEE. WESTERN COAST OF HUDSC i's BAY.

Arehdeacon Hunter's translation, in Howse's crihyrnphy. [Pronounce " $a$ as in far; id as in father: a as in all, awe; $e$ as in me: $\dot{\partial}$ as in faln: $i$, before a vowel or final, as in mine; $i$, before a consonat. win pin; ons in so; oo as in moon; u final ns in $p u v e$, or as the pronoun you; $\boldsymbol{d}$ is in fair ; ay as iat may." Howso Gr. 38.]

N'ootáweenan kéche kéesikook ${ }^{1}$ \{ayán (or, fi-i-an): 1. Kúttia we kekatethitaikwan ${ }^{2}$ ke-wethayowin.
2. Ke-tipayichikewin kúttă we bochechepeyoo ${ }^{3}$.
3. Hè itethetúmmun kúttă we toochegat́ayo ${ }^{4}$ btè assiskeok kà ísse i-ìk keche kéesikook.
4. Méethinan amnooch ka késikik ke ootehe pimátisiyak.
5. Ménŭ kâssemaywimán ne-mútehitiwinenánả kà isse kâssemaywakaitchik ${ }^{9}$ úmekee kà wanitootákooyákik.
6. Ménŭ egawétha itGotayinan kootayitoowinik.
7. Mógga mitakwenamawinnán mútelhe kékwan ${ }^{7}$.
8. Kéthă ket'ían nécle ootenaywiwin, wâwauj sôketaysiwin, ménă mahmechemikoowins, kǒkekáy mênă kơkékay. Emen.

I have not fuand any version of the Lord's Prayer in the dialect of the Hudson's Bay Crees, as exhibited in Howse's Grammar; but to facilitate reference to that grammar, for verbal forms, I have attempted to transliterate Arehdeacon Hunter's version, to Howse's orthography.
${ }^{1}$ For keesik 'sky,' Chappell's vocabulary* has keshich, and keshicow for 'day.' Howse remarks that " on the coast, $8 h$ is

[^29]used for $s$ of the interior" (Gr. 38), but he more commonly writes 8: e. g. mbosuk ' always,' for mooschuk, Chappell.
${ }^{2}$ We requires the ontative or subjunctive passive participle - which, according to Howse, terminates, when the subject of the verb is inanimate, in - $\hat{l} k$ or -(iik (Gr. 115, 2:28). The form given in v. 20 is that of the indicative passive inanimate, in -wun (Gr. 115).
${ }^{3,4}$ These verbs seem likewise to have the form of the indicative (animate) instead of the required conditional (inanimate) ; $-6 w,-s o$ for - al or -aik. Ootchichipay $u$ seems to be compounded of boche (Chip. ondji, Mass. wutche) 'from' and the primary verb 'to come,' but it is irreconcilable with any form given by Hòwse; see note on v. 20b.
${ }^{5}$ Howse has both mutch-issuc 'he is wicked,' and mathétissen 'he is bad.' The last means 'bad-hearted'; see note on v. 20b. Múche, prima:ily, denotes that which is externally bad, ugly, unpleasant, e. g. nútche léesikàle 'an ugly day' (H. 994).
${ }^{6}$ The transition form is wrong: -aitchill (-atchil, Howse), is 3d~3d pers. pl. subjumetive (required after kei isse), 'they . . . to them,' instead of 1 st $\sim 3 \mathrm{~d}$ pl. in -eetwow 'we . . . to them' (Howse, 217).
${ }^{7}$ Mútche kelowan 'bad something,' whatever is bad; but Howse would prokably write instead, gia mathutissik 'that which is bad.'
${ }^{8}$ I transfer this word for 'glory' as it stands in v. 20 , - in uncertainty as to its meaning.

## 21. MONTAGNAIS.

## (near quebec.)

Father Enm. Massé, in Champlain's Voyages, 1632*. In transcription, $\infty$ has has been substituted for ou of the original text.

Nootacoynan ea tayen coascoopetz:

1. Kit-ichenicassouin sagitaganioósit.
2. Pita ki-oitapimacos agooé kit-otenats.

[^30]3. Pita kikitooin tootaganiooisit assitz, ego coascopptz.
4. Mirinan ocachigatz nimitchiminan, ooechte tecoeh.
5. Gayez chooeriméooinan ki maratirinisitã agoé oocchté ni chouerimananet ca kichiooahiamitz.
6. Gayeu ega pemitaoinan machicaointan espich nekirak inaganiooiaco.
7. Miatau cancoeriminan eapech.

Pita.
Interlined translation:
"Nostre père qui es és-Cieux : ${ }^{1}$ Ton-nom soit-en-estime. ${ }^{2}$ Ainsi soit-que nous-soyons-ivee toi en ton-royaume. ${ }^{3}$ Ainsi-soit que ton-commandement soitfait en-la-terre comme au-Cicl. ${ }^{4}$ Donne-nous anjourd'hny nostre-nourriture comme tousiours. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Lt}$ aye-pitié de-nous si nons-t'avons offencé ainsi-que nousnvons pitié-de-cenx qui nous-ont-donné-suject-de-nous-fascher. ${ }^{6}$ Aussi ne nouspermets t'offenser lors-que nous y-serons indnits. ${ }^{7}$ Mnis conserve-nous tousiours. Ainsi-soit."

The tribes called, by the French, Montagnais and Montagnars, spoke a Cree dialect. The local idiom of this version is that of the neighborhood of Quebec. (The mission at Tadoussac, near the mouth of the Saguenay was not established till 1641.) In the Relation de la Nouvelle France for 1634 (Quebec ed., p. 76), are two prayers in this dialeet, with interlinear translations, by Father Panl Le Jeune, who has given, in the same Relation (pp. 48-50), a good account of "la Langue des Sauvages Montagnais'; and a few Montagnais words and phrases are found in Le Jeune's Relation for 1633 and (mixed with Algonkin, of Sillery,) in Vimont's for 1643.
$N^{\prime}$ 'otawi 'my father'; r'otáwenán (H. 187) is the form with the plural pronom, ' our father.' $C_{a}=$ " kê or $g \hat{a}$, an indeclinable particle, representing, in Cree and Chippeway, the relative pronoun, referring to a definite antecedent" (H. 189). Outuscoupetz, here, and in the versions of the Creed and the Salutation, Massé puts for " es cieux"; ouascouptz (as in 3d petition) for "au ciel." Le Jeune gives ouascou for 'heaven,' and in the locative, ouascou-eki ' in heaven,' = uaskutsh, v. 22.

1. Sagitaganioisit, which Massé translates by "soit en estime," is from a verb which is usually translated by 'to love': comp. "khi-sadkihitin je t'aime" (Le J.) ; subj. sáhkehittán "that I love thee" (H. 220): sákecheyàtáyoo "it is loved,' sakechegison' he is loved' (H. 227, 116). The form
here given is not exactly correct; in later versions, another verb is substituted (see v. 20).
2. Pita $=$ pittane ' would that!' (H. 243), pitane (v. 18), requires the subjunctive or additional mood of the following verb. Kioitapimaco ' we sit with thee '; comp. ne-wétáppĕmów 'I sit with (co-sit) him,' H. 129. Kit-oténats 'in thy village,' from otena (Chip. odéna, Mass. otan) 'village, town,' lit. the place to which one belongs.
3. Ki-kitwin 'thy saying,' 'what thou sayest': comp. khikhitouina 'thy words,' Le J. Toganioisit for 'be it done,' but the form employed denotes the action of an animate subject on an inan. object. Assitch, for astitch, 'on earth'; asti ( $=$ Cree uskee) ' earth,' with the locative suffix which is used in this version ; comp. ouascope-tz, motena-ts, weachiga-tz.
4. Mirinan =mi'inan, v. 18. Oucachigatz ' on this day,' 'to-day.' = oukcachiga-lhi (Le J.), ukashigatsh (v. 22), Cree kakijikak, leakisikal, vv. 18, 19. Ou-mitchimi 'food,' khimitchimi ' thy food' (Le J., 1634); here, in the first person plural, ni-mitchim-inan ' our food.'
5. Gaycz= gaiée (Le J.) 'and': see note on v. 10. Chweriminan 'have mercy on us'; Chip. nin jawénima (with inan. obj., jawéndén) 'I have mercy on,' lit. 'I am kindly disposed towards' him, or it.* Ki (ké, H.) 'if,' 'whether - or not.' Maratirini-, comp. Chip. nin mánalenima" I think he is bad, wicked" (Bar.), mánádud "it is bad, unpleasant, unfit" (id.) : the root signifies ' improper,' ' unseemly'; ' not to be done, or said.' Agoé (cou, Le J., Cree écco)'thus, so as.' Ca kichioahiamitz (tsishiuaïamitjits, v. 22)' 'those who make us angry'; Cree kíssewâ-su' 'he is angry,' kissewâ-hayoo 'he makes lim angry' (H. 40, 167).

[^31]6. E'ga (eg'ta and ithka, H.; Abn. ékmi) 'do not'; ecco touté 'do not do it' (Le J.) = egà toota, H. Pemitaoinan ' conduct us to' (inan. object). Espich $=$ Cree ispése (H.), ispee'che (Matt.), 'when, whilst.'
7. Canoeriminan' take care of us'; Chip. nin ganawenima 'I keep, take care of him.' Eapech 'always,' eapitch, Le J.

## 22. MONTAGNAIS.

## SAGUENAY RIVER AND LAKE ST. JOHN.

Nehiro-Iriniui Aiamihe Massinahigan. Uabistiguiatsh (i. e. Quebee), 1767.
N'uttauinan, tshir uaskutsh ka taien:

1. Tshitshituaucritaguanusin tshitishinikasuin.
2. He nogusiuane pitta taiats.
3. Tshi pamittagauin nete uaskutsh, pitta gaie pamittagavien u-te astshitsh.
4. Auutsh ukashigatsh mirinan ni mitshimiminan, meshutsh gaic kashigatsh mirinan.
5. Nama nigut nititeritenan auiets ka tshi tshishiuaiamitjits, eka gaie tshir nigut iteriminan ka tshishiuaitats.
6. Eka irinauinan ka ui sagutshihiguiats he iarimatjs.
7. 'T'aguetsh ui irinikahinan metshikauatjs maskuskamatsi. Egu inusin.
The Nehiro-Iriniui Aiamihe Massinahigan (Montagnais Prayer Book) was prepared by Father J. B. de la Brosse, S. J., who in 1766 succeeded Father Cocquart in the missions at Tadoussac, on the Saguenay, and about Lake St. John. In the approbation (by Bishop Briant) prefixed to the volume, the compiler's name appears in its Montaguais form as Tshitshisahiyan, i. e. 'the broom' (la brosse). The title page shows that the manual was designed for all the praying Indians "who live at Shatshegu, Mitinekapi, Iskuamisku, Netskeka [Lake Nitcheguan ?], Mishtassini [‘ the great rock,' on the river of that name, between Lake St. Jolm and Hudson's Bay], Shekutimi [now, Chicoutimi, near Lake St. John], Ekuani [Agwanus, on the St. Lawrence ?], Ashuabmushuani [now Assuapmouson, one of the King's Posts, in Saguenay county], and Piakuagami [Picoutimi, on Lake St. Johm], and all Nehiro-Irinui places, every where."*
[^32]The differences of dialect between this and the preceding version are less considerable than they appear on first inspection. That the two have so few words and forms in common indicates, not the inconstancy of the language, but the progress made between 1632 and 1766 in knowledge of its vocabulary and grammar. The inost striking peculiarity of dialect is the riange of $k$ to $t s h$; e.g. tshir for kir ('thou') in the invucation; tshitshi for kitchi 'great'; astshitsh for uskeek ' on earth,' etc. Howse (Gr. 316) quotes a remark that "on the East-main side of Hudson's Bay, $t(c h)$ is in general used in the pronunciation of words instead of the $k$ (or $c$ hard) used on the West side of the Bay, as tchissinow for lcissinow ' it is cold (weather),' tché-y-a for' kétha 'thou.'"

La Brosse writes $u$ for Fr. ou: n'uttauinan for noutaouynan of Massé, uaskutsh for onascoueki of Le Jemue, tshit'ishinikasuin for kit'ichenicassouin.
'Our-father thou in-heaven who art-there: It-is-made-verygreat (honorable) thy-name.' With tshitshitua-ueritaguanusin; comp. Chip. kitchitwa-wendagwall 'it is honored, holy,' and causat. anim. nin kitchitwa-wendagosia ' I make him glorious, honored, exalted,' etc. (Bar.).
3. 'As-thou-art-served yonder-in heaven, would-that also thou-mayest-be-served here-in earth.' 4. 'Now to-day give-thou-us our food, always also daily give-thon-us-it.' Kashigatsh $=$ western Cree kesikahk (v. 20); meshutsh $=$ mosü̆lc (Howse), mooschul (Chappell).

## 23. ALGONKIN (NIPISSING).

LAKE OF TILE TWO MOUNTAINS.
Catechisme Algonquine, Moniang (Montreal), 1865.* ['1'he vowels as in French : $e$ as $\dot{e}$; wor ou and (hefore a vowel) Engl. $w$; chas lingl. sh; $g$ always hard.)

OOenidjanisimiang, ooakooing epian:

1. Kekona kitchitoonooidjikatek kit ijinikazoooin.
2. Kckona pitchijamagak ki tebeningeooin.

[^33]3. Kekona iji papamitagon aking engi coakooing.
4. Ni pakocjiiganiminan neningokijik eji manesiâng mijichinam nongom ongajigak.
5. Gaie iji oonnisitamaooichinam inikik nechkiinang eji coanisitamemangitch aooia ka nichkiiamindjin.
6. Gair kamin pakitenimichikangen kekon oa pachioinigoiangin;
7. Taiagouateh atchitch ininamaooichinam maianatak. Kekona ki ingi.

## Translation:

"'Toi qui nons as pour enfants, an ciel qui cs, ${ }^{1}$ qu'il soit dit saint ton nom,

${ }^{4}$ Notre pain chatue jour comme nons en avms besoin, donne le nous nujourd'hui.
${ }^{5}$ Et ainsi oublic pour nons ee en quoi nous te fathons comme nous oublicns pour quelqu'un qui nous a fâchés. ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Et ne nous abandonne pas queque chose qui va nons séduire : ‘an coutraire de coité écarte pour nous ce qui est mal. Qu'il en puisse être ainsi."
The Catechisme Algonquin from which this version is taken was prepared for the use of the few Algonkins who still remain at the mission village of the Lake of the Two Mountains, near the western extremity of the Island of Montreal. This mission was established by the Sulpitians in 1720, and to it was soon afterwards transferred a Nipissing and Algonkin mission which had been begun on the Isle aux Tourtes.*

The dialect is not precisely that which the first Canadian missionaries - becanse it was the first which they learned, of the many local dialects spoken along Ottawa river and vestward to the great lakes-regarded as "franc Algonquin." The Jesuits reckoned "more than thirty nations" of the Upper Algonkins, $\dagger$ all speaking the same languege, with no greater diversity of dialect than may be found in the speech of Englisimen of different combies, or between Parisian and provincial French. Baraga's "Otehipwe Grammar" and "Dictionary of the Otchipwe Language" are as serviceable for the stuly of one as of enother of these dialects. "Several other tribes," he says, " speak the same [Otehipwe, or Chippeway] language, with little alterations. The principal of these are the Algonquin, the Ottawa, and the Potawatami tribes. Ife that mulerstands well the Otelipwe language will easily converse with Indians of these tribes" (Otel. Gr. 5).

[^34]The modern "Algonquin" of the mission of the Lake is, in fact, nearly identical with the Nipissing,--differing somewhat from the dialect spoken at the same mission, in the last century. A cantique en langue Algonquine, composed ly a former missionary, M. Mathevet, has heen iately printed. with a version in the modern (Nipissing) dialcei, sud noter, by the author of LEtudes Phillulogiques (M. Cnoq).* In Mathevet's orthography, $l$ is used in the place of $n$ of the modern dialect, but the editor remarks that "in the most anciest manuscripts, $r$ has the preferenee." Where the original version has $t=$, the modurn substitutes di, -ondjitu for ontchita, mondji for mutchi, ctc., but M. Cuod suggests that ' the Alyonpinin dialect which formerly prevailed at the mission of the Lake" stay have required the teh: but "il en serait autremeni :njourd'lui qu'a prévalu le dialecte Nipissingue."

Wenidjunisimiang 'thon who hast us as thy children,' whose children we are. Nidjanis 'child' (as related to the parent), 'offspring'; o-nidjanis-i 'he has a child' (Je. $\dagger$ 81), the prefix o denoting possession or 'having.' The conditional (or, as it is distinguished by the author of E'tudes Philogiques, the "eventucl") mood changes $o$ - to wo- and with the transition of 2 sing. $\sim 1 \mathrm{pl}$. gives me-nitjanisi-mi-ang 'thou who hast us children.' This synthesis is one of the many by which missionaries have sought to define the fathership of God and to avoid the ascription of natural paternity. The objection to this is, that its root is immediately suggestive of natural paicrnity: comp. Mass. neese, neesh 'two' neechau 'she gives birth to a child, is delivered,' neechan, pl. neechanog, 'issue,' ' offispring,' ' children,' wen-neechan-oh 'his children' (El.) ; Chip. nij 'two,' nigian 'she gives birth to' (an infant), onidjani 'the female of any animal,' nind'onidjanissi 'I have a child or children,' onidjanissima (pass.)' he is had for a child,' \&c. Wakwi (makmi)' heaven' is marked by Baraga as an Ottawa name (comp. vv. 24 and 28) : perhaps related to makami ' it is clear,' 'bright'; perhaps to Montagn.

[^35]waskco (vv. 21, 22). Epian (ébian, Bar.) from api" to be there, to be present, to be scated" (JE. 67).

1. Kekona, a "conjunction optatif," which Cuoq translates by "plaise ì Dieu que." Kitehitmawidjikatele 'it be spoken in honor'; Chip. kitchi 'great, pre-eminent,' kitchitwa 'honorable, holy, saint' (Bar.) : comp. Montagn. v. 22. ljinikazomin 'so-calling,' mame ; so, Chip. vv. $2 t, 26,27$; Montagn. ishinikasuin (v. 22), Pota. ishnukas'wan (y. 30), Blkf. 'tzinnekazen.
2. Pitchijamagale 'it may come here,' suly. Bd pers. : the root pi denotes 'coming to' the speaker' ; pitchija (Chip. bi-ija and bidjija)' he comes here'; pitchijamagat (bidjijamagad) 'it comes here' = Mass. peyaumo. Tibeningemin (dibendjigemin, Bar.) 'mastery, ownership'; (see v. 20b, and note).
3. Iji . . . engi,' so as . . . so be it.' Papamitagon "thou mayest be obeyed," - so M. Cuoq translates, but -gon is the termination of the indicative present (see paradigms in Et. Phil. 58, 59, and Bar. Gr. 229) ; the subjunctive 2d sing. terminates in -goian: Chip. ki babamitago 'thou art obeyed,' o babamitagon' he is obeyed,' suloj. babamitagoian 'if (or, as \&c.) thou art obeyed,' or ' thou mayest be obeyed.'
4. Pakoojigan (Chip. pakwéjigan) "a thing from which pieces are cut off'’; sec Cree version 20b, and note. By the first Algonkin converts, this must have been understood as a petition for French bread. But pakoojigani-minan (Chip. -minag) means 'loaf bread grain,' i. e. wheat, as distinguished from manda-minag 'Indian corn.' The author of Jugement Erroné (p. 69, note) regards the final -minan as the mark of the progressive, 'our bread,' but Baraga is mquestionably correct, as it seems to me, in referring it to the generic min, pl. minan and minak, 'grain.' If the $m$ of minan marks the possessive, the petition is for' 'bread which is (already) ours,' — not that bread may be given us. Neningokijik ("cach day," Je.), means 'once a day,' Chip. neninyo-yijig; comp. neningo gisiss 'once a month' (Bar.). Eji manesiâng 'when so we want'; $i j i$ 'so' takes the vowel-change of the conditional mood: manesiang is the suly. 1st pl. of anim.-intrans. manési 'he wants, needs,' from mane "signifying want, scarcity" (Bar.) - and that, from mén, mána, " in compos.,
bad." Mijichinam 'give thou us,' imptv. ¿d s.~1st pl. of ni mina ' I give to (him)', ' I part with it, or put it from me, to (him), the root mi denoting 'away from,' 'apart' (see Cree v. 20b, note) ; it is one of a class of verbs which, in the transition to 1st person oljective, changes $n$ to $j$ (Bar. Gr. 242). Nongom 'now, presently.' On-gajiaak 'in this day,' or 'while this day is'; Chip. gajigak, the conditional form (participle, Bar.) of gijigad 'it is day'; Mass. kesukok, Cree kisikokh: the prefix on is demonstrative, 'this here.'
5. Gaie (Mass. leah) 'also,' "is ordinarily put after the word that is connected by it to another word, like the Latin que" (Bar. 489), and probably should always be so placed. "So forget-thou-to-us the things which we-make-thee-angry as we-forget-to-them anybody who may have made-us-angry." Wanisitam 'he loses it from mind,', forgets it,' but the verb is out of place in this petition: the prefix wani "in composition signifies mistake, error'" (Bar.), primarily, 'going out of the way,' 'going astray,' and always implies something 'amiss,' or undesirable loss: Chip. nin waniu "I lose him, I miss lim'"; nin wanéndama "I lose my senses, I faint," nin wanisse " I mistake, I commit a blunder," wanissin "it gets lost," wanisid manito " unclean spirit, devil" (Bar.), Mass. wanne wahtede "without knowledge," wanncheont 'one who loses, a loser,' \&c. (El.). Nichki- (Chip. nishki-) in compos. ' angry [primarily, 'troubled,' 'disturbed,' r roiled,' -whence, in the eastem dialects, numerous derivatives taking the meaning of 'foul,' or' 'unclean': Mass. nishkenon (Del. niskelaan, Chip. nisª́dad) 'had, dirty weather', Del. wisk'su "nasty" (Zeisb.), Mass. nishlcheau' he defiles (him),' \&e.]: ni nichki-a 'I make him angry, offend him'; sulj. 1 pl.~2 sing. nechkiiang 'if we . . thec'; passive, "eventual" mood, preterit, $1 \mathrm{pl} . \sim 3 \mathrm{~s}$. ka nechki-iamindjin' in case that we have been . . . by him,' i. e. 'that he has . . . . us' [Cuoq, 66, 58]; Baraga docs not recognize this "eventual" mood, in the Chippeway, but makes the termination -rjiin, or -ailljin, the characteristic of the participle of the second third person (" obviatif" of Cuoq), i. e. the object of a verh whose subject is already in the 3d person or objective to the sueaker, Bar. Gr. 152. This
regime of second 3d person and third 3d person ("surobviatif ') is ono of tho most curions features of Algonkin grammar: see Baraga's Grammar, 72-77, 327-8, Et. Plil. 43, 73. In the phrase, "Joseph took the young child and his mother ( $\mu \eta r$ épe aïroũ)", the Algonkin distinguishes, by special inflections, the first, second, and third 3d persons, "Joseph," "child," and " mother." In 'John gave Peter lis stick to beat his brother's son,' the fist noun only is in the third person direct; both verlos and the four nouns must receive, respectively, the "obviatif" and "sur-obriatif" inflections. M. Howse pointed out, thongh not very elearly, this distinction, in the Cree language, between the "principal or leading" and the "dependent or accessory" third persons, and gave many examples of its use (Cree Gr., 125, 2i5-275). Bishop Baraga and, more recently, the anthor of Études Philologiques (l. c.) have shown the important place it fills in the gramnatical structure of the Chippeway and Algonkin.* Eliot, in his version of the Bible, employed these accessory forms of noun and verb, but did not mention them in his Indian Grammar.
6. Kawin (Chip. ka, kau'in) ' not': see Del. katschi, v. 17, note. Pakitenimichikangen is from a verb meaning'to let go,' 'to put away,' ' to abandon.' The form here employed seems to be that of the imperat. future, and the intended meaning': 'do not leave to us': comp. Barag'a's ve. 24, 28. Kekon, pl. (or perhaps the obviative singular, which is of the same form as the plural) of keko (gégo, Bar.) 'something.' OOa-pachioinigoiangin, translated "va nous séduire"; wa prefixed to a verb signifies that the action is 'about to be' or 'on the point of being' performed (Cnoq, 78): pachi is the conditional form of pitchi, which marks the action of the verb as amiss, improper, or of unfavorable result (JE. 101; Chip.

[^36]pitchi-, nit-, subj. petchi-, pet-, " gives the signification of mistake, accilent, involuntary action," Bar.) : winian 'he defiles, dirties (him), winiigon 'it defiles me, makes me dirty, impure' (Bar.), wa-pachi-minigoiangin 'it may he (or, if it be) about to make me by mischance unclean'; the synthesis is ingenious, but its construction was uncalled for, unless to exhibit the resources of the language.
7. Taiagoatch " au contraire" is questionable Algonkin, though we find it in the (later) Montagnais version (22): Howse gives Cree téakwuch, "contrary to expectation" (Gr. 242 ): Baraga's Dictionary has no corresponding particle, and in his version (24), he has only atchitchaiai (Alg. atchitch "de côte") 'aside, away'; primarily, 'put aside.' Ininaman 'he presents it to, puts it before (him)'; comp. Chip. ini-nan ' he puts or presents it,' inoan 'he shows it, points it out,' ini-(prefixed) 'so, in this manner', iniw, pl. demonstr., 'those there' (Bar.) ; here, in imperat. '2 sing. $\sim 1$ pl. 'put it to us.' Maianatak, participle conditional (eventual) of manatat 'it is bad ': 'the evil which may be.'

## 24. CHIPPEWAY (SOUTHERN).*

Otchipwe Anamie-Masinaigan, by Rev. F. Baraga. (Paris, 1837.) Pronounce, $g$ always hard; $j$ us in Fr. jour; dijns Engl. $j$ : ch is lingl. sh; ng as mek; other consonants as in English: a as in father, $e$ as in net, $i$ as in live, $o$ as in bone.

Nossinan gijigong ebiian:

1. Apegich kitchitwawendaming kit ijinikasowin.
2. Wabaminagosiian apergich abiiang.
3. Ki-babamitago wedi gijigong; apegich gaic babamitagoian oma aking.
4. Nongom gijigak mijichinam gemidjiiang, misi gego gaie mijichinam.
5. Bonigidetawichinam gego gaiji nichkiigoian, eji bonigidetawansid awia gego gaiji nichkiiiangidjin.
6. Kinaamawichinam wabatadiiangin.
7. Atchitchaiai ininamawichinam gego maianadak waodissikagoiangin. Minotawichinam.
[^37]
## Translated literally:

Our Father in-heaven whosittest: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}$ wish that they (impers., qu'on)-regard-itvery crent thy nume. "When thou-art-seen (appourest) I-wish that we may.remain (sft, bef. * B'Theor art obeyed youder in heaven ; I.winh alro thon muyest-be-obeyed here on earth. t To-diay pive-thon-to at that we-shall-cat, every thing also give thon us. ${ }^{5}$ Cense thinking to us of (forgive us) something which has-somale thee angry (offended thee), as we cease thanking-of-to anyone something(?)
 do wrong. ${ }^{\top}$ A way pu-from-us what (something') may-be-evil when-we-are-ubout-to-come-to-it. Be-pleased-to-heur-us.

## 25. CHIPPEWAY (NORTHERN).

From Rev. G. A. Belcourt's Anamile-Masinaligan ete., Quebee, 1839.
N'ōssinān kitchi kijikong epiyan:

1. Appedach minātendjikātek ki winsowin.
2. Appedach otissikkagremagak ki tibendjikewin.
3. Epitch papñmittakoyan kitchi kijikong, appedach gaye ohoma akking.
4. Nòngmon kājigak mijichinām nim pakkwejiganiminān, endassokijigakkin gaye.
5. Wanendamawichinān ki matchitōtamang epitch wanendamowangitwa ka matchi-tōtawiyangitwa.
6. Kcko ganabenimichikkang wa-matchi-uïndiyangin;
7. Ningrotchi ininamawichinām mayānātakkin wetisikkākuyangin. Appedach ing.
The Rev. G. A. Belcourt began an Indian mission on St. Boniface River, in 1833, $\dagger$ among the "Sauteux" or northern Chippeways. In 1839, he published Principes de la Langue des Sauvages appelés Sauteux, and, in the same year the little manual of devotion from which this version is taken.

The peculiarities of pronunciation which distinguish the speech of the noithern Clipueways from that of the southern bands of the same nation are liot so marked as to call for special notice. Baraga, in his "Otchipwe Grammar," mentions only one or two particulars in which "the Indians of Grand Portage and other piaces north of Lake Superior have conserved the genuine pronunciation" of words and terminations that have been somewhat corrupted in southern dialects.

[^38]Belcourt's notation agrees nearly with Baraga's, but for ou ( $\omega$ ) he writes $u$, - which, he says, is "always short." 'The vowels which are not marked as long wre pronounced short. I have substituted, for his $c$, the $c h$ which it represents.

## 26. CHIPPEWAY (EASTERN).

MISSISAUGA.
Rev. Peter Jones (Kulikewaquonaby) in his History of the Ojibway Indians, p. 189 .

## Noo-se-non ish-pe-ming a-yal-yan:

1. Tull-ge-che-e-main-dah-gwnd ke-de-zhe-ne-kah-ze-win.
2. Ke-too-ge-mah-we-win tulh-be-tulh-gwe-she noo-muh-gud.
3. A-nain-duli-mun o-mah uh-keng tuhe-e-she-che-gaim, te-be-shlkoo go a-zhe-nli-yog e-we-de ish-pe-ming.
4. Menn-zhe-she-nom noong-com kec-zhe-guk, ka-o-buh-qua-zhe-gun-c-me youg.
5. Kulh-ya wa-be-min-malh-we-she-nom e-newh nim-bah-tah-e-zhe-wa-be-ze-we-ne-mal-nin, a-zhe ko wa-be-nuh-mulh-wuug-e-dwah e-gewh ma-je-doo-dulı-we-yuh-min-ge-jig.
6. Ka-go ween kulh ya uh-ne-e-zhe-we-zhe-she-koug-ain e-mah zhoo-be-ze-win-ing.
7. Mah-noo suh go ke-de-skee-we-ne-she-nom.
8. Keen mah ween ke-de-bain-don ewh o-ge-mah-we-win, kuh-ya ewh kulh-slike-a-we-ze-win, kuh-ya owh pe-she-gain-dah-go-ze-win, kalh-go-nig kuh-ya kah-ge-nig.

Amen.

## 27. CHIPPEWAY.

From the New Testament, translated into the lanmuige of the Ojibwa Indians. (Am. Bible Socicty) 1856. Prononnce, $a$ as in, father, $e$ at $a$ in fute, $i$ as in machime, o ns in note, 娟 as in lut: on, before a consonant or final, as oo in pool or $u$ in full, elsewhere as Engrl. w* ; the consonants nearly as in English; g always hard; ng as mgk.

## Nosinan ishpiming caiŭn:

1. Mano třkijijtonoconjigade ioo kidizhinikazoooin.
2. Kitogimamooin tŭpitŭgooishinomŭgŭt.
3. Enendŭmŭn tŭizhiooebut oma aking, tibishko iooidi ishpiming.
4. Mizhishinam sŭ nongoom gizhigŭk ioo gemijiiang.
5. Gaic coelinamaoishinam inioo nimbataizhioocliziooininanin, czhiooclinamaooŭngidooa igioo mejitotaooiiŭugidjig.

[^39]6. Gaie kego ŭnizhiimizhishikangen ima gŭgmetihenintioining.
7. Mitagmenishinam dŭsh min onj̣i ima mŭjiaiiiopishing.
8. Kin ma kitibendan ion ogrimamiomin, gaie ios ghsslikiemiziomin, gaio hishigendagmaioin, kakinik apine go kakinik. Amen.
This version differs somewhat, partienlarly in the sixth and seventh petitions, from that which was printed in earlier editions of the Ojihwa T'estament. In the Bible Society's impression of 1844 , these petitions are as follows:
6. Kego gŭgmedihenimishikangen niugmji jishobizhiiang;
7. Gaie mitagocnimandishinam mŭjiaiooishŭn.

In Lake xi. 4, the edition of 1856 follows that of 1844, exeept the insertion of a particle:
f. Kego ooin gaie ŭnizhhioizhishikangen mingooji jishobizii..ng;
7. Gaie mitagoocnimaooishinam mŭjiniiiooishŭn.

In the following notes I shall have occasion to refer to some of the carlier versions, especially to Baraga's of 1837 (v. 24) and to Peter Jones's, with his fimal revision (v. 26). John and Peter Jones were half-breeds, their mother being a Missisanga woman, Their version of the Gospel of St. John in the Chippeway tongue was printed for the British and Foreign Bible Society in 1881. Peter married an English woman, spoke and wrote the English language as well as the Chippeway, and was for many years the minister of a band of Chippeways on Credit River, seventeen miles west of Toronto, Canada. He was born near Burlington Bay, the western extremity of Lake Eric. IIowse, whose Cree Grammar includes "an analysis of the Chippeway dialect," constantly, cites, for Clippeway forms, Mr. Jones's translation of St. John, regarding it as his "foundation - a rock that camot be shaken."*

Nosinan (noo-se-non, J., n'üssinān, Belc.) = Mass. noshun, 'our father'; an earlier Chippeway version, by Peter Jones,

[^40]has waosemegoyun $=$ weossimigoian of Baraga, ' thou who art had for (regarded as) a father,' particip. sulj. 2d sing. of nind'ofssimigo ' I am had for a father'; 3d pers., weossimind (Bar.), wayбosemungid (J.) 'who is father,' 'the Father,' "who is fathered" (Howse, 22). Ispeming, Cree espimik, Aln. spemkik, Moh. spummuck (v. 13), 'on high.' Eaiŭn (ayáhyan, J.) ' thou who art there' (see Abn. uiian, eian, vv. 7, 9 ; Moh. oieon, v. 18) ; in v. 24, ebiian' thou who remainest.'

1. Tü-kijitoa-wenjigade 'be it regarded holy (greatest),' imperat. 3 sing. of impers. verb kijitmamenjigade, from kijitoa (kitchitwa, Bar.) ' of chicf regard, greatest, honorahle, holy': see Alg. v. 23 ; tŭ (ta, $d a$, Bar.) is the sign of the luture and the imperative. Máno means " well, that's right, no matter, let it be so " (Bar.) ; it is nearer to the Fr. très bien than to the Lat. utinam for which it is improperly used here: Baraga, v. 24 , has apegich kitchitwawendaming 'I wish it may be regarded very great (honorable, holy),' apegich (-ish) " correspording exactly to Lat. utinam" (Bar.), and the verb is from the intrans. inan. and impers. form, kitchitwauendam, in the subj. participle. Jones, v. 26, prefers tuhyecheënaindahgwud (ta kitchi-inendayuad, Bar.) 'let it be regarded greatest,' fut. imperat. of kitchi-incndaguad 'it is greatestregarded.'
2. 'Thy rulership let it come hither' [v. 25, 'Thy rulership let it arrive amongst us']: tüpitŭgoishinomïgŭt (ta pidagwishinomagad, Bar.) 'let-it hither-arrive'; pi denotes ' coming to' the speaker; tagwishinomagad, impers. form of daguishin 'he arrives by land' (from primary duyo 'among others,' i. e. 'he is with us,' 'in sur midst ').
3. 'What-thou-thinkest let-it-m-so here on-earth, just-so-as (lit. equally) yonder on-high.' Incondam 'he is so-minded,' 'he thinks, purposes, wills'; condit. (ptep.) enéndaman 'as thou art minded,' 'as thon wilt' (Bar. Gr. 187). Ta ioiwébad (Bar.)' let it be so': in v. 26, ta ijitchiguim ' let it be so done,' lit. ' let them (impers.) so do it.'

[^41]
4. 'Give-us indeed this day (now in-the-day) that we-shalleat.' $\mathbb{S u} \breve{u}$ ( $s a$, Bar., suh, J.), a particle of frequent occurrence in the Clippeway, does not admit of translation. It serves to strengthen or emphasize the verb, e. g. nin sagia sa' $I$ love him indeed,' neen sah mekun 'I am the way,' neen sah ween ' It is I, truly' (John xiv. 6, vi. 20). Io (iw) is the remote demonstrative inanimate, 'that yonder,' but the propriety of its use before a future participle is questionalle. Baraga (v. 24 ) has, "To day give-us that-we-shall-eat, every thing also give-us': Jones (v. 26), ' Give-us to-day that-will-be-to usbread,' in which ka-obuhquazhegun-emeyong is made to serve as the future conditional participle of a verb formed on buhquazhegun (pakwéjigan, Bar.) 'a loaf of bread'-properly, 'of bread to be sliced' (see v. 23, note).
5. 'Also cast-away-as-regards-us (forgive us) those our-wrong-doings as-we-cast-it-away-to-them those who-may-do-evil-to-us.' Wébin, in compos. means 'to cast away,' 'to reject'; wélinan 'he rejects, abandons (him),' wélinamawan 'he throws away something belonging or relating to' another (Bar.), hence, 'he pardons the offence of' another. Inim, remote demonstrative, inanimate, plural. Bata "prefixed to verbs gives them a signification whicl) implies the idea of $\sin$, wrony, damag." ( Bar.): lata-ijiecebisi 'he badly conducts himself,' 'does wrong,' whence verbal, batu-ijiwebiziwin' 'wrong doing. wiekedness' de , - here, with the prefix and suffixes of 1 pers. doubic plural. Igios, pl. demonstrative of remote animate ohjects, " those persons.' Wüji-totaman (matchi-dodawan, Bar.)' he does evil to him'; conditional, meji-dotawijin "if he sin against me." Matt. 18. 21: ptcp. pl. mejitotawiiunngidjig (-wiennyidjig, Bar.) 'they who . . . to us.' Jones (v. 26) has the form -ucyultminyefig. For the verbs, Baraga (v. 24) has bonigidetmwan " he forgives him,' lit. 'he puts an end to thinking of it against him,' boni in compos. signifying 'stopping, ceasing, ending', - and nishkian 'he offends him, makes him angry'; see Alg. version (23).
6. 'And do-not hereafter-conduct-us there into-temptation'; [in edition of 1844 , "Do-not try-us anywhere we may-be-subject-to-temptation," and so, nearly, in Luke xi. 4, ed.

1856 :] Ŭniizhioizhishikangen, with kego ('do not') prefixed, is the negative form of the imperative 2 d sing. $\sim 1$ st pl . of izhiwinan 'he conducts him' (ijiwinan, Bar.); $\breve{u n i}$ (ani, Bar.) denotes action in the future, a "going on, approaching to" (Bar.). Gugoetibeniman (gaywédibeniman, B.) 'he tempts, makes trial of him': comp. Mass. (v. 10), Moh. (v. 17), Ottawa (v. 28). The formative of the verbal in -timining seems to be incorrect; see note on Baraga's Ottawa version (28).
7. Mitagoenishinam 'put away from ns'; mitagoenán (midagwenán, B.)" he puts it aside or out of the way, with his hands," mitagweta " he puts himself aside" (Bar.) ; from $m i$ ' away from,' and a verbal root dayo, the primary meaning of which seems to be, 'to place,' or 'to put in its place'; the $n$ in dagoen is the characteristic of verbs expressing action performed by the hand, a form which is inappropriate to this petition.* The particles aïn does not admit of translation. It is a pronoun of the 3 d person indefinite, and appears often to be used (like Fr. en) redundantly. In Jones's translation of John it occurs most frequently after dush and sa (dush ween, ch. viii., v. 40 ; sah ween, viii. 39, xii. 42, 47, \&e.), or as enclitic, with the negative lea (kuthewen; kuevin, B.) : comp. in v. 26, ka-go ween kulhya ( 6 th pet.) and keen mah ween' thine indeed is it' (8th pet.); and ka mu win "no, no" (Bar.). The author of Itudes Philologiques includes win and sa (p. 86) with "expletives and enclitics which have no equivalents in French." Onji (ondji, Bar.) 'because of, for the sake of, from,' follou's in Chippeway the word it governs; win onji means, literally, 'on account of him'(or, it), 'for his or its sake,' but comot lase the meaning, 'on account of which,' or, 'from that which,' for win certainly is not a relative pronoun. Müyiciuiuish (with locat. affix -ing) = matchi-aïuish (Bar.) 'bad thing,' aüulish being the derogative of aii

[^42]'thing';* and for the animate form, matchi-aiad-wish 'bad person-bad,' wicked person, the devil (Bar.). Ima, in this and the preceding petition, is used as a preposition: ima Galile kijigŭming " unto the sea of Galilee," Mark vii. 31; ima nabikwaning " into the ship," Mk. vi. 53 ; elsewhere, as an adverb of place: ima Kana-ing . . . ima giguiawoun" "in Cana . . . was there" (emah Kana . . . . emah keahyahwun, Jones): Baraga - more accurately, as it seems to me, makes it always an adverb, "there, thence," i. c. 'in or firom that place.' I have not net with it in the Nipissing-Algonkin, or in any other of this group of dialects.
8. "Thou indeed hast (to thee belongs) this mastery, also this prevalence (authority), also splendor, always withoutceasing always." Ma is another of the particles which have no English equivalent; Baraga (Gr. 497) calls it an "accessory, of reinforcement," as: win ma gi-ikito" he has said it himself," ka ma win "no, no." Kitibendan (ki dibendan, B.) 'thou ownest, possessest, art master of (it) ': comp. Abn. neteberdam 'I govern,' wtuberdamwangan 'his govermment' (Râle), and see Cree v. $20 b$, pet. 2 , and note: Baraga has intrans. nind dibéndjige ' I am master, lord,' whence jtep. conditional, Debéndjiged 'he who is Lord.' Bishigendugoziwin, a verbal fromi ishigéndugosi " he is beantiful, glorious, splendid" (Bar.). - primarily, "he surpasses'; from apitchi (Bar.) " very much, execedingly, perfectly" \&e. (Abn. pita, Del. pechotschi " much more," Zeisb., Cree máspich), whenee bishigendar ( he thinks it wreat, perfect,' \&e.) "he honors it, glorifies it" (Bar.) and anim. pass. bishigendugosi 'he is honored, glorified, accounted surpassing' de.

Instead of Amen, Baraga, v. $2 t$ (and in his Otchipue Anamie-Misinaigen) has Minotautchinam' be pleased to hear us,' or 'favorally hear us.'

[^43]
## 28. OTTAWA.

## EAST SHORE OF LAKE MICHIGAN.

Baraga's Katolik Anamie-Misinaigan (Detrnit, 1846).*
Nossina wakwing ebiian:

1. Apegich kitchitwawendaming kid anosowin.
2. Apegich bidagwichinomagak kid agimawiwin.
3. Enendaman apegich ijiwebak, tibichko wakwing, mi go gaie aking.
4. Nongom nongo agijigak nin pakwejiganimina wa-iji-aioiang memechigo gijig.
5. Bonigidetawichinang gaie ga-iji-nichkiinangi eji bonigidetawangidwa ga-iji-nichkiiamindjig.
6. Kego gaie ijiwijichikange gagwedibeningewining.
7. Atchitchaii dach ininamawichinang maianadak. Apeingi.
The differences of dialect between the Ottawas and southern Chippeways are slight. Baraga's Otchipwe Dictionary marks a considerable number of words as, exclusively, "Ottawa," but many of these may probably be referred to the local idioms of L'Arbre Croche and Grand River (Mich.), and others were unquestionably framed by - or received a new meaning from - foreign teachers. Some were transferred from the Algonkin mission-dialect of Canada. Seperal particles, which have been made to serve as prepositions and conjunctions, and a few adverbs of time and place - the least constant elements of Indian speech - seem to be peenliar to the Ottawa; e. g. aji for Chip. jaigu'a 'already '; jaie, jajaie, for Chip. mewija ' long ago'; jaiáo for Chij). gwaiál' 'straioht, right, exactly': ajiwi for Chip. iwidi 'there, yonder,' and ajonda (Pottaw. shotĭ) for Chip. oma 'here,' de. In his Otchipuce Grammar (p. 44), Baraga observes that "the euphonical $d$," which is in Chippeway interposed between the prefixed pronoun (1st and 2 d pers.) and the noun or verb, is more frequently omitted in the Ottawa.

According to Dr. Schooleraft, "the interehange of Chippeway $d$ and $p$ for $t$, of $b$ for $p$, and the substitution of broad $\hat{o}$ for $u$, in the Ottawa dialect, is a characteristic trait." $\dagger$ If I

[^44]understand (as I am not sure that I do) what this trait is, I have not fousd it - particularly, as to the exchange of Chip. $p_{i}$ with Ott. $t$, - in any specimens of the language which are within my reach.
The words occurring in this version which are marked in Baraga's Dictionary as peculiarly "Ottawa," are the following:

Walew "paradise, heaven"; with the locative inflection, wakwing (Bar.); whatever may be the etymology of this name, its special appropriation to 'hearen' must have been given it by the missionaries, who employed it, in the same sense, in the Canadian Algonkin dialect (see v. 23). Nossina is a vocative of Chip. and Ott. nossinan 'our father.'

Kid'anosowin 'thy name'; anosowin, which Baraga gives as the equivalent of Chip. minikasowin' 'name,' is som ano $=$ Chip. ino 'it is so'; centsowin is 'being so-designated,' ijinikasowin' being so-called': the change of Clip. $\grave{\imath}$ to Ottawa $\breve{a}$ is not uncommon; comp. Chip. ilwe, Ott. akuté 'woman'; Chip. ishkoté, Ott. ashkoté 'fire'; Chip. ishkwátch, Ott. ashkw'átch 'at lasi, fimally,’ de.
2. Bi-faywishinomagak is the sulj. of the unipersonal dagwishinomaydul 'it arrives, comes,' with the prefix, -bi, denoting 'coming $t_{1}$ ' the speaker; compare vv. 26, 27, in which the same verl) is in the $3 d$ pers. sing. imperative. [Throughout this version, ch is used for sh of Baraga's later works in the Chippeway dialect; e. g. dach for dash, tibichko for tibishkb, de.]
3. 'What-thou-purposest I-wish it-may-so-be-done, equally (just so) in-heaven, just-so also on-earth.' The words are all pure Chippeway. Ijiwebule, sulj. Bd pers. for tur-izhioebut of v. 27 , imperative. $M F^{\text {' }}$ so '; $g_{0}$ is a particle of re-inforcement or emphasis.
4. I do not understand the repetition of nougom 'now,' in in nonyo-rgijigak (Alg. nongom-onyajigak, Clip. nomyom giji$g a k$ ) 'to-day,' nor how the fimal gijig 'day' is to be constrmed: perhaps nongo ayijigule stands for Alg.-Nipis. neningulijik (v. $2: 3$ ) 'once a day'; but I suspect an error of the press, - perhaps in the re-print.
5. The termination of the imperat. 2 d pers. sing. $\sim 1 \mathrm{st}$ pl., here is in -ishinany instead of the Chip. -ishinam (v. 24): comp. Potawat. -ishnak, -ichinag (vv. 30, 31). In the subjunctive (' as we forgive') -angidwa is the transition form of $1 \mathrm{pl} . \sim 2 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{pl}$. 'we . . . them'; -angid (in v. 24 ) of $1 \mathrm{pl} . \sim 3 \mathrm{~d}$ sing. ‘ we . . . him.'
6. 'Do-not, moreover, conduct-us into-temptation.' The verb has the negative form of the imperat. 2 sing. $\sim 1 \mathrm{pl}$., in -jichikange, instead of Chip. -jishikangen as in v. 27 (-zheshekongain, v. 26). The verbal (‘into temptation') has gewining for -tiwin-ing (v. 27), -diwining (Bar.); but Baraga's Dictionary gives gaguedibeningewin'temptation,' for the Chippeway form, and, with the formative -indiwin, as meaning "temptation of several persons."
7. "Away but put-from-us the-thing-which-is (or, something) evil": comp. v. 2t. Here again the verb has the dialectic -inany for Chip. -inam; see, above, petition 5. The disjunctive dach (dash, düsh) correetly follows the adverb, aud in the two preceding petitions the eepulative gaie follows the leading verb and the prohibitive. Urater the instruction of the missionaries, Indians soon learn oo change the place of these particles and to give them the position and meaning of Euglish or Fronch conjunctions: comp. v. 27.

Apéingi" be it so, I wish it would be so," Baraga marks as an Ottawa word; comp. Chip. apégish 'I wish it,' Lat. utinam (Bar.), Nipis. kekona ki inyi (v. 23).
29. OTCAWA.

INDIAN TERIRITORY.
From .J. Iecker's version of Matthew's Gospel.*
Nosina ushpŭиüng ciaimu:
1 Kechŭupitentakwuk ketĭshŭnikasown̆n.
$\therefore$. Kitokimeowĭn tukwǐsln̆nomukut.
3. Mano kitinentumowĭı mantupi ukĭng mi kĕ̌shiwepuk tййshko kitinentumoon̆ı ushŋйmйия eshipuk.

[^45]4. Mishı̆sh̆̌nang nongo kisȟ̌kat entuso kishǐkuk eshiwiš̌niang.
5. Minuwishaweňmishł̆nang ka-muchĭtotumanğ̆n, eshi minuwishawenrmunğtwa méchĭtotuwiumĭnğshî̌k.
6. Kıie keko ishiwh̆shĭshkange knkwechiĭtewĭnı̆ng.
7. Akonishı̆nang chĭwipwa muchị̆slı̆chikeang.
8. Kin ma kiṭ̌pentan okimaowin, kuie iw̌̆ kushkiew̌̌siw̌̆n, kuic iw̌̆ pľshǐkentakosiwh̆n. Kakh̆ň̌k.

## Emen.

3. Máno for 'utinam,' ' would that,' as in v. 27, but with doubtful propriety. Mantupi 'in this'; mantu (Chip. mandan, Bar.) is a general demonstrative, often superfluous in English, 'this, thus, so,' \&e. Mi 'so,' emphasizes the istii (Chip. $i j i)$ of ishiwipuk, which has here the prefixed ke of the imperative future, 'let it be so ': eshiwepuk, in the last clause, for 'it is so,' should be eshiwepat (Chip. iji-webud) of the indicative present. In the next petition the opposite error occurs, nongo kishǎkat (indicat.) ' now it is day' or 'to-day is,' for' nongo kishilkuk (condit.; comp. vv. 27, 28) 'while it is to-day,' or 'in the now day.'
4. Entuso (Chip. endasso, Pot. etso, Abn. étasse) kishŭkuk ' of every day,' 'daily.' Eshiwiš̌năang, from wisǐni 'he eats,' (Chip. wissini, Bar.), with a prefix (Chip. ioi?) the force of which is not quite clear' ; the apparent meaning is, 'what we so eat,' - perhaps, 'our usual food': comp. wisănat 'when he was eating,' Matt. xxvi. 7, uisinn̆n 'eat thou,' John iv. 31: Chip. "issinumin "eating, food" (Bar.). In other places Meeker has pukweshikun (pakwejigan, Bar.) for' bread' and 'loaf,' as in Matt. xv. 34, xvi. 5, and mishishnang mantu pukweskheun 'give us this, bread,' Jno. vi. 34.
5. Compare Chippeway r. 27 and Ottawa v. 28.
6. 'Save-us (or', restrain-us?) before-that-we-do-evil.' The meaning of akonishmang is not clear ; Meeker has kaskonishinn

[^46]save me' (Matt. xiv. 30) kecskonťshănang 'save us' (viii. 25); but comp. mi-tagaemishinam, v. 27. Chiverpea $a=$ Chip. tehibwa 'before.' Muchirishichiket 'he does evil,' nint'isklichike 'I do (it),' Chip. nind $\dddot{y}$ itchige (Bar.) ; but this vert means literally, 'I so (iji, ishi) do,' and camot properly receive another adverhial prefix, like muchĕ (badly).
8. Comp. vr. $27,: 30$, and see notes on the former of these.

## 30. POTAWATONiI.

ST. JOSEII'S RIVER.
From Lakins's rersion of Mathew's Gospel (184t).* Nos'nan eïn slpmmok kishkok:

1. Ketelmentaqut k’tishmukasoom.
2. Ktokmmau'oun kupiémkit.
3. Notehma ktenentmmoom knomkit shoh kik, ketehooa shpmmuk kishkok.
4. Mishŭnak oť̌ n'kom ekish'kionk etso kishknk, eshooisĭniak.
5. Ipi ponentumoø̆shak mĭsnukĭnan̆n ninanke eshponenmukĭt meshitot'moin̆mit, mesmmoiumkeshiǐk.
6. I in keko shoň̌shakak ketshı̆ qu’tchňtipenmukoiak.
7. Otapunšh'nak tchaick meannk.
8. Kin ktupentan okmmanwum, ipi k'shke-eosuom, ipi ioo k'tehmentaq'suoŭn, kakuk. Emen.
"There are three tribes of us , joined" - said the Indians on Lake Michigan, in reply to the questions of Dr. Morse, in 1820, - "viz., the Pot tawattamies, Chippewas, and Ottawas. Since the white people were introduced among us, we are known by these names. One traditions go no further back': and, as the Potawatomies admitted, "the Chippewas and Ottawas speak our haguage more corre tly than any other tribes within our knowledge." $\dagger$ In 1667, Father Clande Allonez, visiting the "Pouteonatami," deseribes them as a

* Peinted at Lonisville, Ky., for the (Baptist) American lalian Mission Association. In this version, Mr. Lykius adopted Mecker's system of melation, printing $r$ for Engl. $\bar{l}, l$ for $c h, h$ for sh, $\& e^{\prime}$, I have transiterated this, as acenrately as possible, to the orthograply of the Bible society's Ojhluce Trstament, modified as in version 27 . Pronounce $u$ as in thb, - correspondiln, generally, to Baraga's a short, in Chippeway and Ottawa.
+ Norse's Repron on the Indian Trites, 1822, App. 141.
warlike people, hunters and fishermen, "speaking Algonkin, but much less catrily understood than were the Ottawas," by the missionaries from Camada.*

Of peenliarities of dialect observable in this and the next following versions, the most prominent is the shortening of words by omission of rowels-suggesting a manner of speceh very unlike "the deliberate Cree, and the sonorons, majestic Chippeway." $\dagger$ Baraga's Chip. wa-o-dis-si-ka-go-i-an-gin (v. 24, pet. 7) is clipped to Pot. wa-otch-ka-ku-ya-kin (v. 31); Chip. nongom loses its initial $n$ and a vowel, in Pot. ngom; kitijinikasowin (' thy name') becomes letishnukeswum.

The locative termination is $k$ or $y$, without a masal: kishkok for Chip. gijigong; kik for Chip. uking (pronomneed, akinylk); shpumule for ishpeminy, de.

The transition imperative 0 d sing. $\sim 1$ st pl. is in $-n a k$, for Chip. -inam; see ${ }_{1}$ st. 4 , mishinak.

Of particles: ipi for 'and' (in petitions 5, 6, 8) is perhaps related to Chip. mi-pi 'likewise' and to Ott. ape in in apéingi 'be it so' (v. 28) ; Lykins occasionally uses itchi as a comective (e. g. Natt. iv. 17-25) $=$ Chip, achi (Bar.), Cree assitche 'also'; notchma 'let it he so'(?) is perhaps peenliar to this dialect; shoti 'here, in this place,' is Ott. ajonda, Cree otè; ketchwa ‘just so' ("even as," Matt. v. 48): etso 'every'; tchaiek 'all, wholly,' \&c.

Ein $=$ Chip. eaiün, vers. $27: 3 d$ pers. ciit 'he who is,' Matt. vi. 1. Shpumuk kish'lok 'on high in the sky' (Chip. ishpeming gijigong, Bar.) ; kisluuk 'sky,' Matt. xvi. 3.

K't-ish'nukeaswun' 'thy name,' Chip. kit-ijinikasouin, Bar.
2. Comp. vv. 26, 27. Kut-piemkit, for 'let it come'; kй $=$ Chip. gu, sign of the future - but, with the imperative, the Clippeway has tu (tŭ, v. 27) instead of ya; piémkit (piamkit. Acts xvii. 26) from a form corresponding to Chip, mipersonal verbs in -mayud (-mŭğйt, v. 27), from primary $n^{\prime}$ piu' ' 1 come' $^{\prime}$ (pian' 'come thon,' rken-pia 'I will come,' Matt. viii. 9, 7).
3. Notchma 'let it be so,' or 'I wish it may be so.' IItenentumatiown, Chip. kid-inendamowin (vertal)'thy will': the verb in the conditional would be better, as in Matt. xxvi.

[^47]39, nin enertuman, kin enentumin "as 1 will, as thou wilt." Knomkit 'be done' (ikenomkit 'so lin it done,' Matt. viii. 13). Shotĭ kik ' on this eartli' (chote kig, Do Smets, v. 31) ; shotĭ tchaiek kik "on all the face of the earth," Acts xvii. 26 ; shotr achiumat "in this place," Acts vii. 7. Ketchowa 'just so,' "even as," Matt. v. 48.
4. Mishinak = Chip. mijishinam (Bar'.)' give us'; here, as in the three following petitions, the transition of 2 sing. $\sim$ 1 pl. 'thou . . . to us,' is in -nak, for Chip. -nam. Oti, a particle of very frequent occurrence, seems to be the equivalent of Chip. $\min$ (see v. 27, pet. 7), and is untranslatable: Lykins uses it, sometimes as a demonstrative, 'this' (Matt. iii. 17 ; oti tchaiek 'all this,' i. 22), but more often it is redundant.

N'kom ckishkionk' to-day', ' now in this day'; cf. Matt. vi. $30 ;=0 t t$. nongo agijigak (Bar.) v. 28. Etso kishkuk 'every day,' 'daily': etso mumekishkuk "every Sabbath," Acts xviii. 4: comp. Mass. ase-kêsukok-ish, v. 10. Esh-wisïnick' 'something to eat'? formed, apparently, from ues'na 'he eats' (feeds) ; see tchaiek eki-wis'namat 'all did eat,' kitchi ket-mis'netchuk "they that had eaten," Matt. xiv. 20, 21, ewis'nit 'when he eats,' xv. 20: comp. Ottawa v. 29.
6. Ponentumoishnak for Chip. bonigidetawishinam, Bar. v. 24, or rather, for Chip. bonendamawishinam from another form of the ver'b (bónéndamawa, Bar.). Mĭs'nukinaninn 'delots,' literally, 'things written down' (Chip. masinaige 'he makes marks on something, he writes,' whence, masinaigan writing, a book, letter, debt, or score; Pot. m’sinukin, Aets. i. 1).
7. Keko ( 1 hip. kego, v. 27) 'do not,' prohib. particle. Shonüshikak = Chip. izhiwizhishikangen (v. 27), Ott. ï̈wijichikange, v. 28. Qu'tchipen'mukoiak 'that we may be tempted,' from the equivalent of Chip. nin gatchibia 'I tempt him' (and nin godjipwa 'I try him') Bar.; comp. v. 27.
8. Otapintusl'nak 'remove from us.' I'chaield 'all,' 'every'; or as an adverb, 'wholly, entirely.' Meänuk 'evil', Chip. and Ott. maianadak (Bar.).
9. Comp. Chippoway version 27. Kakuk= Chip. kakinik 'for "er.'


On Alyonkin Versions of the Lord's Prayer.

## 31. POTAWATOMI.

COUNCIL Bluffs, mo.
From Rev. P. J. De Smet's Oregon Missions.
Nosinan wakwik ebiyin:

1. Ape kitchitwa kitchitwa wenitamag kitinosowin.
2. Buaknsivin ape piyak.
3. Kite" a tipu wakwig, ape tepwetakon chers.
4. Ny ijikiwog michinag mamitchiyak.
5. Pon 'inag kego kachi kishiinakineyi, pongeledjo kego kachi kiehiimidgin.
6. Kil. wemmag wapatadiyak.
7. Chitchiikwan nenimochinag meyanck waotichkakoyakin. Ale iw nomikug.
The Potawatomis, after the surrender of their lands in Indiana and lllinois, were removed, hetween 1836 and 1841, to a reservation near Council Bluffs, Mo., where they were visited by Father De Smet. From the absence of the interlinear translation which he has supplied to other versions printed in his Oregon Missions, and from the defective punctuation of this, I infer that he did not himself understand the Potawatomi language, but copied this prayer-perhaps not with perfect accuracy - from the manuscript of a resident missionary. It preserves some of the dialectic peculiarities of the preceding (Lykins's) version, but seems to have been partly horrowed from the Ottawa and Chippeway of Baraga.

Wakwik, Ott. and Alg. (not Clip.) wakwing. Ape, in 1st, -2d, and 3d petitions, for Chip. apégish, apédash, 'I wislı' (Lat. utinam). Kitchitwa-wenitamag for Ott. kitchitwa-vendaming, v. 28. Kit-inosowin, Ott. Kid-anosowin' thy name.'

Enakosiyin 'when thou appearest' (or ptep. 'thou appearing'), for Chip. nágosiian, from nágosi' 'he appears, is visible' (Bar.). Ape piyak' 1 wish thou mayest come to us,' - from n'pia ' 1 come to'; comp. Baraga's Chip. s. 24.
4. 'To-day give us our food': mamitchiyak, Ott. memechigo (Bar. v. 28) ; comp. ge-midjuiang, v. 24.
5. Kego kachi for Clip. gego ga-iji, v. 24. Ponigeledwoiket, by error of the press (or the copyist) for bonigetedwoiket. Woye for Chip. awia, v. 24, and Mlg. v. 23.
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6. Kinamochinag, Chip. kinaamawichinam, v. 24 ; wapatadiyak, Chip. wabatadiiangin.
7. Chitchiikwan, Ott. and Chip. atchitchaii, vv. 24, 28, ' aside, away' (Bar.). Meyanek (meänuk, Lykins), Chip. and Ott. maianadak ' evil.'

## 32. MENOMONI.

WOLF RIVER, WISCONSIN.
Rev. Fl. J. Bonduel, in Shea's Hist. of Cath. Missions, p. 363. Nhomninaw kisliko epian.

1. Nhanshtchiaw kaietcliwitchikatek ki wishwan.
2. Nhanshtchiaw katpimakat kit okimanwin.*
3. Enenitaman nhaushtchiaw kateshekin, tipanes kishiko hakihi $\theta \mathrm{e}$ min.
4. Mishiamé ioppi kishixa nin pakishixaniminaw eniko eweia $\theta$ anenon kaieshixa.
5. Ponikitetawiame min ka eshishnekihikeian, esh ponikitetawaki $\theta$ wa ka ishishnekihiame $\theta$ wa.
6. Pon inishiashiame ka kishtipeni $\theta$ wane.
7. Miakonamanwiame $\theta$ e meti.

Nhanshenikateshekin.
When the "Maloumines" or "Folles Avoines" were first known to the French, they seem to have been living on the north-eastern shore of Lake Superior, between the Noquets on the east and the Ouinipigous (Wimnebagoes) to the west. Before 1658, however, all these tribes had settled in the neighborhood of Green Bay,-the Folles Avoines on the banks of the river which still retains the name of Menomoneet. Manoumini, in other dialects Maloumin and Maroumini, is the Algoukin name of the 'wild rice' (' folle avoine' of the French), the principal food of this tribe.

The materials for study of their language are very scanty. Mr. Gallatin printed a vocabulary compiled by Mr. Doty; another, by Mr. Brace of Green Bay, was publiched in the second volume of Schooleraft's Collections (pp. 470-481). Edwin James, in Tanner's Narrative, gave some Menomoni words and phrases. The language (as Mr. Gallatin observed)

[^48]"is less similar to that of the Chippeways, their immediate neighbours, than is almost any other dialect of tho samo stock," east of the Mississippi. In the frequency of aspirates and the elimination of nasals (e.g. kishiko, for Chip. gijikong; hakihi for Chip. aking), the Menomonees may have been influenced by thicir continued intercourse with the Winnebagoes.

The Rev. F. J. Bonduel was a missionary to the Menomonies at Lake Powahégan, near Wolf River, Wisc., from 1847, till their removal in 1852 to another reservation, at Shawano Lake, between Wolf and Oconto Rivers*. The Menomonies all, or nearly all, speak the Chippeway language, and I infer that the instructions of the missionaries were given in that tongue. $\dagger$

Nhonninaw 'our father'; nonhnainh 'my father' (Br.), hohahnun 'father' (Gal.) Kishiko (kayshaykoh, Br.) 'in the sky': comp. kayshoh 'sun,' kayshaykots 'day' (Br.), kayzhik 'day' (James).

Nhanshtchiaw 'I wish that' = Pota. notchma, version 30. Kaictchwitchikatek = Alg. kitchitwa-widjikatek, vers. 23. Kiwishnan' thy name,' comp. Cree ki-wiyowin (vv. 18, 20), Mass. koo-wesuonk.
2. Katpimakat $=$ Pota. ku-piémkit, v. 29: the formative -makat (Pota. -mkit) is Chip. -magad, of "personifying" verbs, by which action is predicated of inanimate sulbjects (Bar. Gr. 85), 'it comes,' or' let it come.' Okimanwin, a misprint for

[^49]okimauwin, 'kingdom,' 'rulership'; ahkaymowe (Br.), okomow (Gal.) 'a chief.'
3. Hahiki 'on earth' = Moh. hkeek, Chip. aking, Abn. kik (v. 7) ; Menom. ahleawe (Br.) 'earth, land.'
4. Ioppi kishixa for koppi kishixa (kopai kayzhik, James, 'throughout the day')? comp. ohmanhnayev kayshaykah'to day' (Br.). Nin-pakishixaniminaw' 'our wheat-bread-grain'= Ott. nin-pakwejiganimina (v. 28), \&e.
j. Comp. Ottawa (v. 28), Potawatomi (v. 30) : esh, ish-, $=$ Chip. ${ }^{j} i^{\prime}{ }^{\text {' }}$ so, as ${ }^{\prime}$.
6. Pon, poan 'do not' (James) = Chip. bon-, boni-, siguifying, as a prefix, " finishing, ceasing, stopping," \&c. (Bar.); comp. ponikitetawiame ' cease to think of against us' \&c., in preceding petition.
7. Meti 'evil' ; comp. Shawn. mochtoo (version 34), Mass. matchituk (v. 10); Menom. konwaishkaywot 'bad' (Br.), kumwaysheewut (Gal.), but machayawaytok 'devil' (i. e. bad spirit?) and mahtaet ' ugly' (Br.).

## 33. SHAWANO.

"The Lord's Prayer in Shawanese," American Museum, vol. vi. (1789), p. 313.*

Coe-thin-a spim-i-key yea-taw-yan-œ :

1. O-wes-sa-yey yea-sey-tho-yan-æ.
2. Dav-nale-i-tum-any pay-itch-tha-key.
3. Yr i-tay-hay-yon-æ issi-nock-i-key, yoe-ma assis-key! . pi-sey spim-i-key.
4. Me-li-na-key-œ noo-ki cos-si-kie, ta-wa it thin-œ-yea-wap-a-ki tuck-whanl-a.
‥ Puek-i-tum-i-wa-loo kne-won-ot-i-they-way yea-se-puck-i-tum-a ma-chil-i-tow-c-ta.
5. Thick-i ma-chaw-ki tus-sy-neigh-puck-sin-a.
6. Wa-pun-si-loo waughpo won-ot-i-they ya.
7. Key-la tay-pale-i-tum-any way wis-sa-kie was-si-cut-i-weway thay-pay-we way.

Amen.
The author of this version is unknown. His orthography is peculiar. The vowels have the English sounds, and ay

[^50]represents (as in day) $\bar{a}$, ey (as in key) $\bar{e}$; oe (as in foe) $\bar{o}$; $i e$ final is the unaccented and abridged $\bar{e}$ (as in Annic); \&c.

The first word, Coethina (= kothina) for 'our Father' has the affixes of the inclusive plural possessive, instead of the exclusive (nothina), and means, not 'thou our father,' but ' Father of thyself and us.' This mistake is not an uncommon one: see Abnaki vv. 8, 9 b , and Blackfeet v. 38, note.

I have not been at the trouble of pointing out or endeavoring to correct the errors of the press by which this version is obscured. Such notes as it suggests will be found in connection with Lykins's modern version (35) - though the two have not many words in common.

## 34. SHAWANO.

## miami river?

Mithridates, iii.(3), 359, from Gen. Butler's MS.* Neelawe Nootha spimmickic| ittalıappieennie.

1. Olamic ${ }^{2}$ nitta lellima ossithoyannic mechic. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
2. Pioyannic nieokimomina.|
3. Kiollelimella keelawanie kihosto poisic ${ }^{5}$ ishiteheyannic utussic assishic ${ }^{4}$ poisic ${ }^{5}$ aspimonicke jatoigannic.
4. Keh meelic innuckie kassickie tewah moossockic nie tock quanimic. ${ }^{6}$
5. Tewah keh wannichkatta tiehe nie motochtoo poissic neelawe nihwannichkittama wietha nie motchhiqua.
6. Tickic ${ }^{7}$ motchie monnitto nill wannimiqua.
7. Teppiloo kee nepalimie wechic motta wiehae nih motchtoo.
8. Choiachkic wie-thakic kittapollitta asspimmichic tewah olamic kee wissacuttawie tewah kee missic monnitto. Mossackic, moossackic. Hawe.

## Corrections:

1,2 Vater must have printed from a very bad copy of a worthless version. I have indieated his mistaken division of the first two petitions and the invocation. He suspected a mistake here, for he remarks, in a note (p. 360) that olamic, in the doxology, is 'earth,' and yet it appears at the end of the first petition; "so kann dabey vielleicht ein Versehen obwalten."
${ }^{8}$ Every word in Shawano must end in a vowel or an aspirate. The copyist

[^51]has sometimes mistaken a final efor $c$, but in other cases Gen. Butler was probably misled by his interpreter-perhaps a Mohegan-into omission of the final soft vowel, writing $c$ for $k i$ or $k e$. Every one of the twenty-four words in this version which end in c requires correction to $e$ or $k i$.

* For assiskie or -kiki,-the latter being the correct (locative) form.
${ }^{5}$ For poisie (pisey, v. 33 ; piese, Lykins) 'like,' 'so.'
${ }^{0}$ For nie-tockquaninie. ${ }^{7}$ For tickie (take, v. 35 ; thicki, v. 33).
Correcting spimmickic to spimikie (comp. v. 33) and olamic to olamiki, the invocation would read: "We my-father (or, 'our my-father') on-high there-who-dwellest within," - if olamiki is, by forced construction, connected with the preceding verb: but if it belongs at the beginning of the next clause (as I have placed it), it stands in opposition to spimiKie, meaning, as in the doxology, 'below,' i. e. 'on earth' (Del. allami ' within,' alama- in composit. ' under, below' $=$ Chip. anáma-, Abn. aranek 'beneath'); 'Here-below wewish (regard) thy-name greatly.' The next clause is untranslatable, but was perhaps intended for 'Come-to-us [as] our-ruler': Butler's translation is: "You are with us (or, present), and we respect you as our king"-but this is manifestly wrong.

The author of this version can have had only very slight knowledge of the language, and seems to have picked up his words one by one, from an interpreter, and to have brought them together without regard to their grammatical relations. Not a single petition would convey to a Shawano the meaning at which the writer aimed.
35. SHAWANO.

From The Gospel of Matthew [chapters i-xvii] translated into the Shawanoe Language by Johnston Lykins, revised, \&c., by J. A. Chute, M. D. (Shawanoe Bapt. Mission Press, 1836.)

Waothemalikea mankwitoke eapeine:

1. Mamospalamakw'ke kehesetho.
2. Kokemiwewa we'peaci.
3. Ealalatimine wehenwe hiseskeke, ease eke mankwitoke.
4. Melenikea tape tikw'hi enoke kisakeke.
5. Winekitimiwenikea namosenahekinani, eise winekitimiwikeche mieimosenahweeimacke.
6. Chena take nekesewasepa witi kochekothooikea.
7. Pieakwi wipinas'henikea timichitheke otche.
8. Ksikea keli okemiwewa chena wisekike chena wieiwcnakw'ke, Kokwalikwise. Aman.

The Baptist Shawano mission was established in 1830, on the Shawano reservation near the west line of Missouri, and an elementary book (Siwinowe Eawekitake) was printed at the mission press by Mr. Mceker in 1834. In all the publications by this mission, the orthographical system invented by Mr. Meeker was adopted (sce vv. 29, 30). In this system, the notation of sounds varied with every dialect to. which it was applied; thus, $b$ stands in the Delaware for $\bar{u}$, in the Shawano for th; $h$ represents Delaware and Potawatomi $t c h$, in Shawano it is a mere aspirate; $c$ is Delaware $\check{e}$, Shawano $c h$ soft, and so on. The (unfinished) version of Matthew has no key to the pronunciation, and I leave the vowels as I find them, and of the consonants I change, only, Mr. Meeker's $b$ and $c$, to th and $c h$, respectively. His $a$ represents, generally, the sound of English long $\bar{a}$ (in mane) bat occasionally that of $\check{a}$ short (in $a t$ ); $e$, generally, the English $\bar{e}$ (as in me); o, nearly as in note, but more open; $i$ is of uncertain value, having sometimes the sound of Italian $a$ (in far), but more frequently standing for a neutral vowel for which other writers put $a, o$, or $\breve{u}$ ( $v$ of the Bible Society's texts) : compare Meeker's $t i k w ' h i$ (bread), with tuckwhana, v. 33, and tukwhah of Cummings's vocabulary.*

According to Heckewelder, the Shawanoes "generally place the accent on the last syllable,"-and this agrees with the marked accentuation of Cummings's and Howse's vocabularies.

Waothemalikea is a synthesis corresponding to Jones's Chippeway waosemegoyun and Zeisberger's Delaware wetochemelenk. The Shawanoes and Delawares have been allies and have maintained unbroken intercourse for more than a century. The influence of this relation on the mission-dialect of Zeisberger has already been suggested (v. 17, note). Mr. Lykins appears to have had in mind Zeisberger's Delaware version of this prayer-which was already familiar to some of the Shawanoes, probably,-following its order, and selection of words, rather than that of the English text. The

[^52]synthesis for ' our Father' is framed on the primary -oth, to signify 'Thou who art like a father to us.' Meeker has, nothi ' my father' (nòthah, Cum.), vocat. nothahe, Hothemi 'the Father,' nothwi ' our father,' \&c. Mankwitwe 'sky,' mankwitoke 'in the sky,' 'in heaven' (menkwàtkee, -tokee, Cumm.)

1. 'Very-lighly-exalted-be thy-name.' The primary verb is strengthened by mamospi- 'very high'-comp. mamospike witchewe "into an exceeding high mountain," Matt. iv. 8; with lamak'we comp. lamakothe ' honor,' Matt. xiii. 57 ; olami ' above,' ' exceeding' (Del. allowiwi, Zeisb., Mass. anue).
2. 'Thy rulership will-come.' Okemivewe 'rulership' (' kingdom,' Matt. vii. 21). We (wa) is the sign of the future, indicative or imperative, but peaei is in the indicative; comp. kisakeke wa'peaei 'the days will come, Matt. ix. 16; peawi ' he comes,' peake ' they come,' peaei 'it comes,' peilo 'come thou,' eapitche ' when he came,' (Lykins).
3. 'As-thou-willest may-that-be on-earth as so-is in-heaven.' Natalalati ' I will,' strengthening the short vowel in the conditional mood, makes ealalati-mishe ' as he wills,' ealalati-mine 'as thou wilt,' \&c.; comp. Menom. enenitaman, Cree (v. 20) a itaye'tumun, Chip. (v. 27) enendümŭn. We'henwi from heno (ene, Howse) 'this' inanim. obj., as in eno-ke kisakeke 'in this day,' 'to-day' (pet. 4). Iseske (and hi-) ' earth,' here in the locative, hiseske-ke; ahsiskee, Cumm., assizkeykie (v. 33). Ease 'so,' Chip. iji, Menom. esh (v. 32), Ilin. ichi; hene ease neke "that it might be fulfilled," i. e. 'this so so-be' (Matt. xii. 17). Eke is perhaps a misprint for neke (Del. leek, v. 17) 'it so is.'
4. 'Give-us enough bread this day-in.' Tapi=Mass. tapi 'enough'; comp. Chip. nin debis 'I have enough,' nin debia 'I satisfy him' (Bar.). Tikw'hi (tukwhah, Cumm.) 'bread,' Moh. tquogh (v. 13). Enoke 'in this,' ' now'; enoke kisakeke 'this day-in'; enokeèkahsakeèkee, Cumm.; comp. Del. eligischquik (Zeisb.), Cree anots ka kisikak (v. 20b.), Nipis. nongom gijigak (v. 24).
5. 'Forgive-us our-bad-doings as we-shall-forgive-them they-who-do-us-harm.' The principal verb is related to Alg. (Chip.) manisitam- 'to lose from mind' (see v. 23). Miche, mache
( $=$ Mass. and Chip. matchi) 'bad,' as adj. inan., machike ' evil,': machelaniwaw 'badness, sin'; machenaheke (mosenaheki) 'bad doing.'
6. 'And do-not lead-us where-in we are-tempted'? Chena (so, in Meeker's orthography) for 'and.' Take 'do not,' = Mass. ahque, Moh. cheen, Dal. katschi, \&c.; in v. 33, thicki.
7. Pieakwi [ie $=a i$, or English $i$ nearly ; Meeker writes Siemin for 'Simon,' Tieile for 'Tyre';] used for the conjunction 'but,' and sometimes for 'only'; its primary meaning seems to be, 'on the other side,' 'on the contrary.' The final otche (oce, Mecker) is the post-position 'from,' Chip. ondji; ti-michithe-ke otche 'from what is bad'; muchahthee 'bad,' Cumm.
8. 'For thou dominion and power (strength) and glory (magnificence ?).' Keli (keyla, v. 33, keelah, C.)'thou.' Wisekike 'power,' Matt. ix. 8; comp. wisekike 'he is able, has power,' wesekikwelane ' a strong man,' Matt. ix. 6, xii. 29 ; (wizhkànwee 'strong,' C.).
Kokwalikwise 'always,' 'at all times' (kokwèlahkwahshee ' forever,' C.) ; comp. kokwa-kiche 'every where,' ' whithersoever,' Matt. viii. 19; kokwa-nathi 'whosoever,' v. 19; (and telàhkwàhshee 'never,' C.): comp. Chip. kakina 'all,' 'the whole,' 'entircly'; kaginig (Ottawa kagini) 'always, continually' (Bar.).

## [PSEUDO] SHAWANO.

"Savanahicé"; from Chamberlayne's Uratio dominica in diversas . . . linguus versa (1715). Re-printed by Vater, in Mithridates, iii. (3), 358.

Keelah Nossé kitshah awe Heyring:

1. Yalı zong seway ononteeo.
2. Agow aygon awoanneeo.
3. Yes yaon onang ché owah itsché Heyring.
4. Kaat shiack Mowatgi hee kannaterow tyenteron.
j. Esh keinong cha haowi eto neeot shkeynong haïtshe kitsha haowi.
5. Ga ri waah et kaïn.
6. Isse he owain matchi.

Agow aigon issé sha wanneeo egawaị onaïng. Neeo.
I have inserted this version, not because it is Shawanesewhich it certainly is not-but because it has been copied as
such, from Chamberlayne, by Hervas, Bodoni,* Vater, and Auer. $\dagger$ It does not belong to any one language ever spoken by an American tribe. The first two words, "keelah nosse," are of Algonkin origin, and the pronoun may pass for Shawanese. Heyring was probably transferred from the English 'heaven,' but with a locative inflection ( $-n g$ ) which was not found in the Shawano. The greater part of the version looks as if had been made up from some Iroquois dialect, half-understood by the translator. The text was, we may be sure, bad enough at the first; and it has been hopelessly corrupted by copyist and printers. In the 4th petition we seem to recognize in kaat shiack, Mohawk kassha (as Campanius wrote it) ' give me,' cassar (Long); and in kannaterow, Iroq. kanadaro (Long), canadra (Camp.), 'bread,' kanatarok, Gal.; in hee and is8e, the Iroq. pronouns, $i i$ and $i s e$, ' I , me, or us,' and 'thou': in agow, the Iroq. equivalent (akooa, kooa) of Alg. ketchi ' greatest, clief,' \&c.; agow aiyon issé sha wanneeo is Iroq. akoekon ise semenniio 'of-all thou nrt-master'; with which comp. ( 2 d pet.) agow aigon awoanneeo, intended to signify ' be master of all.' In the 5th petition, eshkeinong was probably writton as one word, and eto neeot shkeynong may have been etonec otshlkeynong (Iroq. ethoni ' so').

Chamberlayne, in his preface, says that this version"Savanahicam, linguæ circa Canadam usitata, - misit Reverendus Doctor le Jau, V. D., Minister S. Jacobi in Carolinam Meridionali."

## 36. ILLINOIS (PEOUARIA).

As printed by Bodiani, Oratio Dominica in CLV Linguas (Paris, 1806), "ex MS." [The notation is nearly the same employed by Rasles and other Jesuit missionaries: ou is substituted by the printer for Gravier's 8 ( $\infty$, Germ. u); the vowels as in German ; $c$ (used only before $a$ and o) as $k: c h$ nearly as in English: $g$ is soft before $e$ or $i ; g h$, as $g$ hard.]

Oussemiranghi kigigonghi epiane :

1. Cousseta mourinikintcke ${ }^{1}$ kiouinsounemi.
2. Kiteperinkiounemi piakitche.

[^53]3. Kigigonghi kicou echiteheianiri nichinagatoui, akiskionghi napi nichinagouatetche.
4. Acami ouapankiri eouiraouianghi kakieoue ${ }^{2}$ miriname.
5. Kichiouinachiamingi ichi pounikiteroutakianki, rapigi pounikiteroutaouiname kichiouinariranghi.
6. Kiaheoueheoueghe toupinachianmekinke chincheouihiname.
7. Mareouatoungountchi checonihiname. Vouintchialia ${ }^{3}$ nichinagoka.
${ }^{1}$ Read: coussetaïmourinikintche. ${ }^{2}$ For aouiraoui nounghi kakicoue? see note, infra. ${ }^{8}$ For Ouintchiaha.
A copy of this version, evidently from the same original, was communicated to Dr. John Piekering, in 1823, as from a MS. grammar and dictionary of the Illinois language. The MS. may have been that of Father Boulanger, missionary to the Illinois in 1721. The version is more probably that of Father James Gravier, S. J., missionary from 1687 to 1706, who " was the first to analyze the language thoroughly and compile its grammar, which subsequont missionaries brought to perfection."* I have recently had the good fortune to discover the long-lost dictionary of Gravier, with additions and corrections by his successors in the Illinois mission, and by its aid I am enabled to correct some-though not all-of the errors of Bodiani's copy. $\dagger$
The first Algonkins from the southwest who visited the French post on Lake Superior called themselves Iliniwelc 'viri,' in the singular Ilinioa; whence, says Dablon in the Relation for 1671, the southern Indians were called, generally, Ilinois, "just as the name of Ottawas (Outaouacs) was given to all the upper Algonkins, though of different nations, because the Ottawas were the first who became known to the French." When Marquette visited the Mississippi, in 1673, two principal tribes of the Ilinois nation,-the Peouaria and the Mouingouena-lived west of that river, north of the Des Moines. $\ddagger$ The Kaskaskias were on the upper Illinois, and to this region the Peoutrias, soon after Marquette's visit, re-

[^54]moved. The Tamarouas and Caoukias were to the south, near the east bank of the Mississippi. These five tribes constituted the Ilinois nation-to which was subsequently added $n$ sixth, the Metchagamea (of a different dialect). The great village of the Kaskaskias, 1680-1700, was sonth of the Illinois River, between it and the Vermillion. The Peouarias were on the north side of the Illinois, near La Salle's fort (and the present village of Utica), and it was hore that Gravier resumed, in 1693, his mission work among the Ilinois, and built a chapel. His MS. dietionary is of the Peonaria dialect, in which $r$ is used for the more common Illinois $l$ or $u$.*

The French missionaries found the Ilinois language "very different from that of any other Algonkin nation." $\dagger$ Marquette mentions the differences of dialect between romote villages of the nation, but these were not so great that the inhabitants could not converso together. $\ddagger$

The Miamis were allies of the Illinois, and spoke a dialect of the same language, of which we have some vocabularies; one in Volney's T'ableau \&c. des E'tuts-Unis (Paris, 1808), vol. ii. pp. 525-532, and another, from MS. authorities, printed in the Comparative Vocabulary to Gallatin's Synopsis.

The Peouaria dialect must have been soft and musical, in comparison with others of the same family which are known to us. Almost every syllable terminates with a vowel : the only exceptions are those in which the vowel is followed by $n$ (nasal?) before $g, k, c h$, and $t c h$, in the next syllable. The proportion of consonants to vowels, in the written language, is very small. Some words are framed entirely of vowels, e. g. maïoa [ $\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{-u} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{a}]$ 'he goes astray'; wami [u-a-u-i, or, with imperfect diphthongs, ua-ui [' an egg']; øiomoa [u-i-u-u-a] 'he is married'; in many others, there is only a single semivowel or consonant proper in half a dozen syllables, e. g. aïoaakioi 'there is yet room'; aïapia 'a buck.' In acoueouateoui (accoeooatecoé, Gr.) 'it leans, is not upright,' we have but two consonants.

[^55]Ossemiranghi. The meaning aimed at was "Thou who art as a father to us," but the pronominal prefix of the first person is omitted. Nossa 'my father,' wesari 'his father'; nit-ossima 'I have him for a father.' The final eranghi has the meaning of 'such as,' or 'like.' Kigigonghi, in the locative, from kigigoi 'sky, day ' (Gr.). Epiane, 2d pers. conditional, from nit api • I sit' ("il se dit de toute sorte de situation," Gr.).

1. Read, cossetaimorinikintche ki-øinsonemi' make it to be spoken with fear thy-name'; ni-cossa ' I fear him,' ni-cossetan ' I fear it,' ni-cossita-iamoi ' I cause myself to be feared when I speak.' Awinsonemi 'his name,' from winsoa 'he calls himself,' winsmni 'a name'; the final mi is the mark of possession or personal appropriation.
2. Ki-ceberinkionemi ' thy mastery '; from the same root as Abn. ke-tepeltemwaghen (v. 6), Oree ke-tipaye'chekawin (v. 20), Alg. ki-tebeningemin ( v .23 ) ; Il. ni-teberinki 'I am master,' ni-teberinki-wne-mi ' my mastery, my government.' Piakitche ' let it come,' imperat. 3d sing. (inan.) from ni-pia ' I come': comp. Del. peyewiketch [pejewiketsch, Zeisb.], Pot. piyak, v. 31.
3. 'In-heaven the-thing thou-thinkest is-so-done, on-earth likewise so-let-it-be-done.' Kico 'something' (Chip. gego), "mais ordinairement il ne dit pas seul" (Gr.). Nit-ichitehooa ' I so think,' literally, 'I am so (ichi) in heart (tehe),' Chip. nind iji-dee "my heart is so" (Bar.). Nichinagatoï or (without the initial $n$ ) ichinagatmï 'it is so done.' Akiskimi and achiskioi 'earth, land' (Gr.) ; comp. Miami akihkeoe, Kikapou akiskiiii (Barton), Cree and Shawn. rssiskî, Montagn. astshi (v. 22). Napi ' in the same manner, likewise.'
4. There are errors in the printed text, and the meaning of the original is thereby made doubtful. This seems most probable: "Of every day [our] portion, this day give us"; and if so, we must read: egami ouapankiri aouiraoui nounghi kakiscoue miriname. Egami 'at all times.' Ouabankiri from ouabankie ' when day comes' (lit. 'when it is light'), and so, ' of the day,' or ' the day's'; strictly, ' of the morning', i. e. ' of the morrow ': egami cabankiri ' of every morrow'; so, egami maiacoeritchi (Gr.) 'every noon.' Raøi 'portion,
share'; ni-raøi "my portion, my share of food, of meat, \&c.," awiraoi " his portion, food, that on which he subsists" (Gr.). Noonghi kakicooe (and kakiscooe)'to-day;' Chip. nongom gijigak (v. 24), Ott. nongo agijïgak. Miriname, from nimira ' I give it him'; but the verb nit-aramipora 'I give him food' would have better expressed the meaning aimed at.
5. 'Those-who-do-us-wrong as we-pardon-them, the-same pardon-thou-us when-we-do-wrong.' Ni-kichioinara 'I offend him by my conduct, $n i$-kichihoi 'I do wrong to myself'; comp. $\therefore$ :ta. kichiimidgin (v. 31). Ichi 'as,' Chip. iji. Ni-ponikiterotacoa 'I cease to be offended at him,' ' I pardon him'; comp. Potawatomi vv. 30, 31, Dttawa v. 28. Rapi, rapigi (same as napi, pet. 3), ' in like manner,' ' all the same.'
6. 'When-thou-leadest-us where-we-may-fall, make-usstrong"? I am not confident of the accuracy of this translation, for I can make nothing of the first verb, and suspect an error of the copyist. The second verb is from the primary ni-pinechine 'I fall down,' 3d pers. pinechinoe. The last is from chinchioihioi 'he makes lim strong,' 'gives him strength,' causative from chinchioi 'strong, firm' (comp. ni-chinchiosi 'I am strong'; ni-chinchioitehe 'I am strong hearted,' Gr.; Chip. nin-songis, nin-songidee, Bar.).
7. 'From-evil deliver-us.' Mareoatoongaracatchi" au mal, au péche" (Gr.); the root mare denotes "something bad, evil"; mareoatotanto kihiaki " confess thy fault," ni-mareoate "I have missed the mark," have failed, \&c. Ni-chicoiha 'I save him, deliver him from his enemies,' whence checoihioeta 'one who saves,' ' the Saviour.'

ODintchihaha" plut a dieu que" (Gr.), lit. 'so do for us'; ni-aintchiha ' I do to lim' good, or evil [the root, ontchi (Chip. ondji) means 'because of,' 'on account of,' and the verb causative, ni-ointciiha neeans, primarily, ' I do to him on account of' or 'because of' an implied motive; hence 'I reward him for,' and 'I punish him for,' and 'I do penance,' i. e. 'punish myself for it']. Nichinagoka, same as ichinagoki (comp. nichinagatoi, pet. 3) 'so [be it] done.'

## 37. ILLINOIS.

## MODERN PEORIA?

From Pewani ipi Potewatemi Missinoikan, eyowat nemadjik, Catholiques Endjik (Baltimore, 1846), a R. C. primer for one of the mixd missions, Peoria and Potawntomi.*

Osimirangi peminge epiyan:

1. Wendja matchi tipatamangi kiwinisonimi.
2. Wendja matchi piyarotauwika kimauwioni.
3. Chayi kitaramitako yochi pemingi, wendja matchi nichi ramitorangi wahe pemamikicingi.
4. Inongi wasewe mirinammi mitchiangi.
5. Ponigiterotauwinammi nimatchi mitoseniwionanni nichi ponigiterotauwakki chingirauwerimidjik.
6. Kirahamawinammi ichka nissassiwangi.
7. Wendjisweriminammi nichika mereoki chiriniciwangi. Wendja matchi nichinakoki.
A mission was established by Father Van Quickenborne (S. J.) in 1836, among the Kickapoos, and the Kaskaskias, Peorias, Weas, and Piankeshaws, remnants of the Illinois and Miami nations, near the Osage River, in the Indian Territory. In 1834, the Peorias numbered only 140, of all ages, and of the Kaskaskias only one man of the full blood and 60 half-breeds remained. A few years later, the Kickapoo mission was united with St. Mary's Potawatomi mission, on Sugar Creek, $\dagger$-and the little primer from which this version is taken appears to have been prepared for the use of scholars from various tribes. At this time, "the Weas, Piankeshaws, Peorias, and Kaskaskias, were in fact but a single tribe. By frequent intermarriages and adoptions, their distinctive characteristics, if any ever existed, had disappeared. They resided upon the same territory, and spoke the same language." $\ddagger$

The dialect, as appears by comparing this version with the preceding, does not differ widely from that of Gravier's Peouaria mission. Comp. Osimirangi, oussemiranghi ; epiyan, epiane; kiwinisonimi, kiouinsounemi (' thy name'); mirinammi, miriname ('give us'); ponigiterotauwinammi, pounikiteroutaouiname ('forgive us') ; \&c.

[^56]
## 1

114 J. H. Trumbull,

Peminge ' on high' or 'in heaven,' in the invocation and 3d petition, is speminghi of Gravier, Shawano spimmickie (v. 34), Potaw. shpumuk (v. 30), Chip. ishpiming (v. 27).

Inongi wasewe 'to day' (pet. 4) = nonghi wasseoi, Gr.; but coasseai means 'light' or 'day-light,' rather than 'day time,' and Gravier's nonghi kakicoue is the more correct.

Yochi . . . wake, 'there' . . . 'here,' in pet. 3, =iochi, wahi, Grav.

## 37. SITSIKA (BLACKFOOT).

 From Rev. P. J. De Smet's Oregon Missions (1845-6). Kinanâ spoegsts tzittâpigpi :1. Kitziunekazen kagkakomimokzin.
2. Nagkitapiwatog neto kinyokizip.
3. Kitzizigtaen nejakapestoeta tzagkom, nietziewae spoegsts.
4. Ikogkiowa ennoch matogkwitapi.
5. Istapikistomokit nagzikamo6t komonetziewae nistowâ.

Nagkezis tapi kestemog.
Spemmook mateakoziep makapi.
Kamoemanitigtoep.

## As translated by De Smet:

"Our-Father in-heaven v:ho-art: Thy-name may-it-be-holy, ${ }^{2}$ Thy-reign mayit arrive. ${ }^{3}$ Thy-will may-it-he-done on earth as-it-is in-heaven. ${ }^{4}$ All-we-need this-day unto-us-grant. ${ }^{5}$ Forgive the evil we have done as we pardon the wrong we have reeeived. ${ }^{6}$ Help-us against sin. ${ }^{7}$ From-all what-is.evil deliver-us. May-1t-be-so."

So little is yet known of the grammatical peculiarities of the Sitsika language, that it is lazardous to question either the merit of this version or the accuracy of De Smet's retranslation. Mr. Gallatin showed that of 180 words in the Sitsika vocabulary obtained by Mr. Hale, 54 had affinity with the Algonkin, and this fact authorized the inclusion of the language in the great Algonkin family. But its kinship to eastern members of that family is very remote. In a majority of words, Algonkin roots are so disguised by change of form or meaning that their identity is not casily established.

Several vocabularies, besides Mr. Hale's, have been published. Those to which I shall here refer are Dr. Hayden's -preceded by a valuable sketch of the grammar-in Contributions to the Ethnology and Philology of the Indian Trihes of the Missouri Valley (1862), pp. 257-273, J. B. Míoncroie's, in

Schoolcraft's Indian Tribes, \&c. (vol. ii. pp. 494-505), and Joseph Howse's in the Proceedings of the Philological Society (vol. iv. pp. 104-112).
In Kinaná ' our Father,' I suspect the not uncommon mistake of employing the affixes of the inclusive plural, in the vocative. God may be properly spoken of, in the third person, as ' your and my (our) Father,' but may not be so addressed in the second person. The vocabularies, however, with a single exception, seem to indicate a disregard - or a very imperfect recognition of any distinction in the Sitsika dialect of the two forms of the first person plural. In Howse's (duplicate) vocabularies these forms are hopelessly confounded. Moncrovic gives: "God, Kinnan, or my Father," and for "my Father, Kinnan"; but for "my son, nocousse," " my sister, nisis," \&c. Dr. Hayden says nothing of a distinction by pronominai affixes, but gives some examples of a peculiar form of dual, in verbs - by the insertion, between the pronoun and the stem, of semi'sto " both, or two "; e. g. nitoyikhpinan 'we are eating,' $n$ 'semi'sto-yikhpinan 'we are both eating': $i a^{\prime} k s o y i k s$ ' they are going to eat,' ia'ksemistoyi'waks 'they two are going to eat'; and in some of his examples of verbs, the 1 st and 2 d persons plural appears to be both exclusive -' we ourselves alone,' and 'you yourselves alone.' When the language is more thoroughly investigated, it will probably exhibit, in its dual and plural forms, closer affinities to the Dakota and Iroquois than to the eastern Algonkin.

The prefixed pronouns excepted, only two or three words in the whole of this version strike the eye as unmistakably Algonkin :

Kitzinnekazen 'thy name,' is Alg. kit'ijinikazoin (v. 23); ninikōs' "name," sintikōs'" his name" (Hayden) - but these mean, rather, 'I am called,' ' thou art called.'

Ennoch for 'to-day,' in the 4th petition, is the equivalent of Cree annooch 'at present' (Howse) ; see v. 20b. Nökh* 'now' (Hayd.) anouk 'to-day' (M.). [Dakota, na'ka, nakaH', 'just now, to-day, lately.']

[^57]Nietziewae 'so as' (pet. 4); comp. komo-nietriewae (pet. 6): where $i e t z i=$ Chip. $i j i$ 'so, like' - but suggests Dakota hechin, hechecha, echen, 'so,' and Assinib. aitchaizi 'so,' 'so as.' Nitu'i ' like,' nato'tsi ' so, in like manner' (Hayd.); in compos. niïtso-, notse-, ' like.'
In other words, the family likeness is less elearly traced: spoegsts ' ou high' ("in heaven," De S.), represents Chip. ishpiming, Shawano spimiki, Pota. shpumuk (v. 30) : comp. ${ }^{s p \delta h}$ 'tsi ' above,' spokhts ' sky,' spi ' high' (Hayd.).

Tzittapigpi" who art" (De Sm.) : etapi ' to live,' kitzeta'tapi 'you live,' pi'it 'sit down' (Hayd.); Alg. epi-an from api 'he sits, remains' (v. 23): sahkaitahpai 'he lives' (Howse), apiu ' to sit' (Hale).

Tzagkom "on earth," is from sa'ko 'ground' ' country'; sakomi-itsio 'in the ground' (Hayden); comp. akh'o 'land' sukh'um 'earth' (ksahkoom, Gal.) We have in this last only a faint reminder of Shaw. assiski, Cree aski, Chip. aki-to which Mr. Gallatin refers it. It is perlaps more nearly related to Chip. -kamig, an inseparable generic denoting 'place' and sometimes ' ground, land,' as in Chip. anamakamig ' under ground,' mino-kamiga 'the ground is good'; Cree waskitaskamik 'on the [surface of the] earth.'

Ikogkiowa, which Mr. De Smet translates by "all wo need," is $i k a k u$ 'yi (Hayden) 'food,' literally, 'plenty to eat,' from akau'i ' much, a heap,' and o'yi 'he eats.' [So, Dakota taka yutapi 'food, something to eat,' yu'ta 'to eat,' ya'ta 'to speak,' $y a$ (prefix) deuoting action of the month, Riggs.]

The 5 th, 6th, and 7th petitions are hopelessly tangled, and it is not surprising that Father De Smet quite lost trace of the original and mis-placed his interlinear translation. What he supposed to be the 6th was intended for the last clause of the 5th petition: the words -netziewae nistow $\hat{a}$ [nistu'a' 1 , me'] for 'as we,' soparate istapikist-omokit nagzik-amobt from nagkez istapikest-emoбg.

Makapi for "evil"; makaps' 'bad' (adj.), bakaps' 'bad, lazy'; maksinum' ' mean,' nitokaps' 'I am bad,' (Hayd.); pakapse ' bad,' machapse 'ugly' (Moncr.).


[^0]:    * Presented at the New Haven session, July, 1871, and subsequently revised and extended.

[^1]:    * Relation de la Nouvelle 'rance en l'année 1635, p. 17.

[^2]:    * In the library of Mr. James Lenox, New York. The other copy is in the British Museum.

[^3]:    * Biard's Relation de la Nouvelle France (1611).

[^4]:    * Gov. Stevens's Report on the Indians of Washington Territory, in the Re. port of the Commissioner of Indian Affuirs, 1854. (p. 420.)

[^5]:    * Mithridates, Th. i. (Anhang) p. 667. In a letter from Bayer to La Croze, in 1719 (for knowledge of whieh I am indebted to Professor Abbot of Harvard) this version "Gaspesinnorum seu Crucioctonum" is referred to, as already known to J. L. Friseh, by whom it may have been communicated to La Croze. Thesaurus Épistol. Lacrozianus, vol. i., p. 44.

[^6]:    * Grammaire de la langue Mikmaque, par l'ablé Maillard, redigée par J. M. Bellenger. (J. G. Shea, New York, 1864.)
    $\dagger$ Maillard uses the italic $k$ (for which I substitute $\boldsymbol{x}$ ) as " rather a sign of strong aspiration than a letter," and says, "our aspirated $h$ might be substituted for it." Father Demilier (Ann. de la Propagation, viii. 197) observes that the Micmae language has an agreeable sound "though almost wholly made up of gatturals."

[^7]:    * Alnambuy Uli Awikhigan. Indian Good Book, made by Eugene Vetromile, S. J., Indian Patriarch, \&e. (3d edition, New York, 1858.)

[^8]:    * Vocabulary of "Language of the New Brunswick Indians," in Proceedings of the Philological Society, vol. iv. (1850), pp. 104-112.

[^9]:    * Biard says Niscaminou was a name of the Sun, which the Indians of Acarlic regarded as a god.-Relation (1616), p. 20.

[^10]:    * Pronounce: $a$ as in $a l m s ; ~ \breve{a}$ as in am; $e$ as $a$ in age; $\breve{e}$ as in edge; $\bar{i}$ as $\rho$ in eat; $i$ as in $i l ; 0$ as in open; $\infty$ as oo in food; $u$ as in $u p$ (the nentral short vowel whieh some writers represent by $a$, others by $v$, and others by an apostrophe

[^11]:    * $Q^{\prime \prime}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ of the inclusive plaral is wrongly used for $N^{\prime}$ of the exclusive; see note after velsions $8,9$.

[^12]:    * The writer uses the French $q u$ for $k$, mad his tinul $e$ (as in eyane) is mute, unless accented.

[^13]:    * Waudasi Layidameoganek \&e. [Holy Laws, Ten Commandments, with Explanations, for Christimn Instruction.] P. P. Wzokhilain. (Boston, 18:30.)

[^14]:    * In the Chippeway, there are two forms of these verbs - which Baraga terms "personifying," hecuse " they serve to represent an inanimate thing as doing the action of an animate being," - one ending in magad, the other in on.-Otch. G'ram. 85, 409.

[^15]:    * In the collection bf J. Wingate Thornton, Esq., of Boston.

[^16]:    * Observations on the Language of the Muhhekaneew Indians. By Jonathan Edwards, D. D., New Haven, 1788. Re-printed, with notes and appendix, by Dr. J. Pickering, in Mass. Ilist. Collections (2d Series), x. 81-154. "After I had drawn up these observations, lest there should be some mistakes in them, I carried them to Capt. Yoghnm, a principal Indian of the tribe, who is well versed in his own language and tolerably informed concerning the English; and I availed myself of his remarks and corrections" (p. 3).

[^17]:    * Mr. Schoolcralt (Indian Tribes, iv. 539) mentions another-and apparently an earlier-edition of the Mohegan Catechism, in a copy of which he found a MS. note, that the translation was made "by John Quimey and Captain llendrick." The latter was a chief of the Stockbridge Mohegans.

    To the edition of 1795 is appended (pp. 27-a1) a tramslation - probably hy nother hand - of Dr. Watts's Shorter Catechism for Children.

    Sehooleralt priated (Indian Tribes, v. 591) what was meant to be a cony of the above version - with a statement that it was made by "the thenlogian Jonathan Edwards," \&e.; but his text is full of mistakes and his interlinear " tramshation" worthless.

[^18]:    * "Some IIelps for the Inditus; shewing them hov to improve their Natural Reason, \&c., . . . By Abraham Peirson, Pastor of the Chureh nt Branforl." Cambridge, 1658. [Re printed in the 3 d volume of the Connecticnt Historical Society's Sollections (not yet published), and separately, Hartford, 1873.]
    $\dagger$ See De Lact, Nieuwe Wercldt (1630), b. iii., c. viii.

[^19]:    * Lutheri Cittechismus, iffwersatt pa American-Virginiske Sprahet. Stockholm, 1696. Vocabulurium Barthrov-Virgineorum is appended. The latter was again printel, with some additions, at the end of Kort Beskrifuing om Provincien Nye Swerige, by Thomas Campanius (a grandson of Johr, the compiler), Stockholm, 1704, and was translated by Duponeenu for the Memoirs of the Historical Society of lenusylvamia, vol. iii. pt. 1. The elder Campanins was minister of the Swelisis colony on the Delaware for six years, 1643-48. His trumsation of of Lather's Catechism (with the Vocabulary) remained in MS. till 1696, when it was printed, liy the care of his gramdson, at the cost of the King of Sweden.
    $\dagger$ Account of the IIstory $\S \mathrm{c}$. of the Indian Tribes (1819), p. 25.

[^20]:    * Introduction to Indian Names of Livers Sc. in Pennsylcania.
    $\dagger$ Ilistory of the Iulian Tribes, p. 316.
    $\ddagger$ Noens Orhis (1633), lib. iii., e. 12 ; pp. 75, 76.
    § Hazard's Annals of Pemnsylvania, 582. Ileckewelder (Indian Names \&c.) gave from deeds four forms of this name, one of which is Makeericle Kïton. He has mis-translated it, helieving that "it was intended for Trenton Falls."

[^21]:    * See Notes on Words derivel from N. A. Indian Languages, in this volume, p. 10.

[^22]:    * Loskiel's History of the Mission of the United Brethren, transl. hy Latrobe, (London, 1794), ii. 84, 8.5, 117; 73.
    $\dagger$ Ib. i. 127, 128 ; ii. 32.
    $\ddagger$ " Even as hate as 1742, the Minsi had a town, with a large peach orehard, on the tract of land where Nazareth, in Pennsylvania, has sinee been built; another on the Le!igh, nad others beyond the Blue Ridge," de.-Heckewedder's II ist. Accome, 34 .

    S'To the present time, the remnants of these two tribes maintain their ancient alliance: "considernble intimaty exists and intermarringes ocem between the

[^23]:    Shawnes and Dehawares. There is ako some resemblance in personal apperance, both wearing the monstache." - Whipple and 'Tarner's Vocabularies, in Riport upon the Imdian Tribes (Washington, 1856). Zeisberger's first publication (the Delaware-Indian Spelling Book) was made after the removal of the Christian Indians (in 1722) from Pemmsylamia to the Muskingum.

    * Loskiel, Ilistory of the Mission of the U. Brethren, ii. 103.
    $\dagger$ A Grommar of the Lemmi Lemope or Delaurare Indians. Transhated from the German mamseript of the late David Zeisherger, by l'. S. Duponcean. 'Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, iii. 65-250 (amd separately, Philadelphin, 1827).

[^24]:    * I have not overlooked what Heckewelder wrote to Duponcean about "the shades of litference between these several expressions" (xiven by Zeisherger, for "father") being "so nice and delieate" as to be of diffeult explanation, \&e. Mr. Heckewedder doubtless had a sutticiently good knowledge of "Lemni Lenape" Delaware as a spoken dialect, but his analyses are absolntely worthless.

[^25]:    * The examples which Zeisberger gives in his Grammar (I. c.) are all really passive verbmuls; e. g. "wulakeningussowigan, the being praised," "schingalgussowigan, the leing taken," "pilsohalyassowayan, purity" (lit. being made pure), \&e. But these have the elaracteristic (-gusso) of the passive voice, preceding the formative (-wigan) of the verbal noun.

[^26]:    * Gallatin's Synopsis of the Indian 'r'ribes (1836), p. 23.

[^27]:    * The Book of Common Prayer, . . . iranslatal into the language of the Cree Indiuns of the Diucese of Rupert's Land, North West America. London Soc. for Prom. Chr. Knowledge, 1859. 12mo. Printed in Evans's syllabie chameters.

[^28]:    * The future sign gă (Chip. kah) used before the tirst and second persons, is changed into kulla (gälă), Chip. lah, before the thitd person, sing. and plural." - Howse, 214.

[^29]:    * Vocabulary of the Indians inlabiting the western shores of Inudson's Bay, in Appendix to Lieut. Edward Chappell's Voyage to Iludson's Bay (London, 1817).

[^30]:    * Father Enemond Massé, S. J. came to Port Royal in 1611, with Biard, and for a year or two prosecuted the study of the Souriquois (Miemne) language. When the French post at St. Sauveur was broken up by Cupt. Argal, Massé returned to France. He came back in 1625, und labored among the Algonkins and Montagnais, near Quebec, till 1629, when the town was taken by the English. See Shea's am. Catholic Missions, 134.

[^31]:    * The Algonkin name for the 'south' or 'sonth-west,' - whence the denomination of 'southern' tribes, variously corrupted as "Chaouanons," Shawanos, Shawnees, Savanoes, Chawonocks, ete., - eomes from the same rout as Chip. jawen-dan. Comp. Nurr. sowwanishen 'the wind is from the south-west': "This (says Roger Williams, Key, 86,) is the pleasingest, warmest wind in the Climate, most desired of the Indians, making fuir weather ordinmrily; and therefore they have a tradition, that to the sonth-west, which they eall Sowaniu, the gods chiefly dwell, and hither the souls of all their great and good men and women go." To the Indian, sowan-auki was, primarily, 'the pleasunt country', 'hippy lamd,' und sowananitou ("Sowwandind, the sonthern God," R. W.) was 'the kincl, beneficent, manilou.

[^32]:    * For the use of this rure volume - reputed to be the tirst book printed at Quebee-I am indebted to Mr. George Brinley.

[^33]:    * The same version, with a French translation, is printed in Ingement Erroné de M. lirnest l'rnan sur les Langues Sanoges, par l'Antenr des Eludes Philologiques (2me éd. Montreal, 1869), p. 100. It is nlso printel in a R. C. Recueil de Prières, "a l'usage des Sanvages de Temscoming, d'Abbitibi, dn Grand Lac, de Mataocan, et du Fort William," published (by authority of the Vicar-General) at Montreal, 1866.

[^34]:    * Shen's IIstory of Am. Cethlolic Missions, 333, 834.
    $\dagger$ Relations, 1658, p. 22; 1670, p. 78.

[^35]:    * "Études Philologiques sur quelques Langues stuvages de l'Amerique; par N. O., ancien missionnaire." (Montreal, 1866.) See page 9, ante.
    $\dagger$ "Jugement Erroné de M. Ernest Renan sur les Langues Sanvages, par l'auteur des Eiudes Philologiques." 2me ed. refondue. Montrcal, 1869.

[^36]:    * The Eskimo language has a donhlo third person, as Egede (Grönl. Gram. 113) pointed ont. The principal and subordinate are distingnished bos suffixes, $a$ and $e$ : the latter is employed whentrer the object belongs to the subject of the verb: Litor, â turnicâ 'he gave it to his (amother person's) child,' kiforne urnied 'he gave it to his (own) child': arke taici 'he called his (another's) name,' arke taiva " he called his (own) name.' Sce Kleinschmidt's Grammatik d. grönl. Sprache (Berlin, 1851), §§ 33, 72 ff., 103.

[^37]:    * Father (afterwards Bishop) Baraga was a missionary to the Ullaures at L'Arbre Croche and Grand River, on the east shore of Lake Michigan, from 1831 to 1841. In 1841, he began a new mission, to the Chippewoys at Lapointe (Wisconsin) on Lake Superior, whenee, after eight years' residence, he removed in 1849 to another Chippeway village at L'Anse, the head of Keewenaw Bay, Lake Superior. The dialects with which he was most familiar were those of the southern shore of Lake Superior, and the cast shore of Lake Michigan.

[^38]:    * The sense is not clear: "At thy appearance, may we be here" In the Potawatomi version (31), the corresponding word is pigak (from n'pia 'I come'), 'thou mayest come to us'; but cbiiany cannot have this meaning.
    $\dagger$ Shei's History of Am. Calhulic Missions, 391.

[^39]:    * In the text from which I copy, $u$ represents $o o$ (in poo!) and $w$, and the char. acter $\psi$ is used for the neutral vowel, or-according to the Key - for Engl. $\check{u}$ in but.

[^40]:    * It was adopted, after revision, by the Am. Bible Society, in the first issue of the Ojibwa Testament, its orthography having been conformed to Mr. Pickering's system (with some molification). The other gospels and the Acts of the apostles were translated for this Testament by George Copway (Kah-ge-ga-grah-bowh, a

[^41]:    Missisanga Chippeway of Rice Lake village, Ontario, and the Rev. Sherman IIall, missionary at Lapointe, Lake Superior. The whole work has been repeatedly revised, and the alterations and corrections were so numerous and important in the edition of 1856 ats to entitle it to be regarded as a new version.

[^42]:    * 'The unliheness of Chippeway as written by John and Peter Jones to that of the Bible Society's versions, may be seen in forms of this verb in John xvii. 15; where Jones has weengro chemeduhguanahmahuahdah, for win go jomituguenmazavtua, of the Bible Society's 'lestament of 1844 (changed to uin jimitaynenvina, in the revised edition), for "thon shouldst keep them from (it)." In Baraga's notation, we should have: wingo echi milaguenimawadwa.

[^43]:    * Aii (a-i-i) thing; diminntive, aïns 'little thing'; derogative o: contempthous, aitwish 'bat, mean, or worthless thing.'

[^44]:    * From a re print, in Shea's Mislory of Am. C'utholic Missions, 3:9.
    $\dagger$ II istory of the Intian Tribes (Collections \&c., vol. vi), p. 464, note.

[^45]:    * 'The New Testament tramblated into the Ottawa Language, hy dotham Mecker . . . revisal, and compared with the (areck lif Rev. Francis Barker." Shawano Baph. Mission l'iess, 1841. Only Mathew's and John's gospels were printed (1841, 1844).

    In this version, as in nll other publieations of the Baptist Shawanoe Mission,

[^46]:    Meeker's system of phonetic notation (see note after version 30) was adopted; rmun stathds for 'amen,' nofo for nonyo in the fourth petition, and kuor, wkif, rep resent the somels of the Bible Soeiety's and Baraga's guie aking. I have transliterated the prayer to the orthography of the Am. B:ble Society's versions (see v. 27), retaining Meeker's $w$ for " ("oo in pool, or u in fill") and Meeker's u ("as in tu',") for the Bible Society's $\gamma$, (which is roally the neutral vowel Batrigut's a) and distinguishing his " $i$ as in pin" as $\begin{gathered}\text { i. }\end{gathered}$

[^47]:    * Relation de la Nouvelle l'rance, 1667 (Quebee ed.), p. 18.
    † Howse, Cree Grammar, 13.

[^48]:    * Read : kit okimauwin.
    $\dagger$ Relations de la Nouv. F'rance, 1640 (p. 25), 1658 (p. 21), 1671 (p. 42).

[^49]:    * Shea's History of Catholic Missions, pp. 392, 393.
    $\dagger$ In 1855, Mr. Bonduel published, in France, as a "Souvenir d'unc Mission Indienne," a drama cutitled " Nakam et Nigabianong son fils, ou l'Enfant perdu," -with a quasi-historical introduction. I mention it here as confirming my impression that the Menomoni dialect was not generally used by the missionaries: for the Menomonies. Nakam, "issue d'une famille illustre de la grande tribu des Indiens Ménnomonies," and her son, and his uncle Kashagashigé, a Menomoni clief, and his grandsire Shoninèw, "guerrier très-renommé," all-to judge from the specimens of their language introduced in the drama-usually spoke bad Chippewny instead of their vernacular. Kashagashigè prays to the Kijèmanito (Great Spirit) as "kossinan gijiojong ébid," our father who art in heaven, (and forgets the dialectic " nhonninaw kishiko epian"), while he falls into the mistake of employing the inclusive plural in address, kossiuan for nossinan, 'your father and mine' for 'thon, our father.' The other characters of the drama evince similar ignotance of their owa language, and disregard of grammatical proprieties.

[^50]:    * Re-printed in Mithridutes, iii. (3), 358, but with several additional errors the fifth and sixth petitions joined in one, and the eighth divided in two.

[^51]:    * Gen. Richard Butler was one of the Commissioners who concluded the treaty with the Shawnees (Shawanoes) in 1786, by which they received an allotment of lands west of the Miami River.

[^52]:    * In the key to pronunciation prefixed to Lykins's Shawano primer (Siwinowe Eawekitake) printed in 1834, the sounds of the vowels are as follows: $a$ as in mane, $i$ as $a$ in far, $e$ as in $m e, o$ as in $n o, w$ as $o$ in move.

[^53]:    * Oratio Dominica in CLV Linguas (Parmæ, 1806) : "Savahanice; Ex Chamberlaynio."
    $\dagger$ Spraehenhalle. Das Vater-Unser in mehr als 200 Sprachen und Mundarten, u. s. w. No. 595.

[^54]:    * Shea's History of Au. Calholic Missions, pp. 414, 415 [from Father Marest in Lettres Edifiantes].
    $\dagger$ I have eited this MS. Dictionary ns $G r$.
    $\ddagger$ Formerly the " Mouingonan River."

[^55]:    * He gives: "Inooea, Ilinois, peuple": "Irinoon, un homme fait": "Irenoocooa, il parle Ilinois"; "nit-erenooe, je parle Ilinois, je parle ma languc."
    $\dagger$ Rclation, 1667, p. 21.
    $\ddagger$ Narrative, in Shea's Discovery of the Mississippi, 245.

[^56]:    * Pronounce : $g$ always hard ( $=g h$ of Gravier) ; $w$ as in English ( $=8$ of Gra_ vier, ou of v. 36). $\quad \dagger$ Shea's History of Am. Cath. Missions, pp. 461-465. $\ddagger$ Report of the Commissioner, of Indian Affairs, 1851, pp. 7, 90.

[^57]:    * Kh "as in Gaelic Loch"; ch as in chin, church.

